




The Measurement of **FILIPINO** **WELL-BEING**

Ly **SyCip**
Maruja **Asis**
Emmanuel **Luna**

THE
MEASUREMENT
OF FILIPINO
WELL-BEING



THE MEASUREMENT OF FILIPINO WELL-BEING

Technical Report

Ly SyCip
Project Coordinator

Maruja Asis
Emmanuel Luna
Team Members

Copyright 2000

Published by the University of the Philippines
Center for Integrative and Development Studies
Diliman, Quezon City

ISBN 971-742-049-1

The Measurement of Filipino Well-Being: technical report/Ly SyCip, editor.

p. cm.

1. Quality of life--Philippines. 2. Basic needs-- Philippines.
I. SyCip, Ly. II. Asis, Maruja. III. Luna, Emmanuel.

HN 714 M46 2000

Cover and Book Design: Ariel Manuel

Printed in the Philippines



CONTENTS

Acknowledgments	ix
Introduction.....	1
From Well-being to <i>Magandang Buhay</i> : A Background	1
<i>Magandang Buhay</i> , <i>Magandang Lipunan</i> : Views of Social Thinkers	3
Organization of the Report.....	6
The Development Project: Defining and Measuring Its Outcome.....	7
Methodology.....	10
Project Activities	10
The Well-being Questionnaire	13
Sampling Design and Procedures	14
Data Gathering	15
Analysis of Data	16
Findings of the 1997 Nationwide Survey	19
Objective Indicators of Well-being	19
Housing and Quality of Neighborhood	19
Employment and Quality of Working Life	21
Personal and Household Savings and Wealth.....	23
Leisure and Health	26
Religious Life and Political Participation	28
Global Assessments of Well-being	29
The Filipino Well-being: Importance and Satisfaction Ratings	34
Importance and Satisfaction Ratings: How Well Do They Match?	44
Housing and Quality of Neighborhood.....	45
Employment and Quality of Working Life	46
Savings, Wealth and Assets	47
Social Relationships	47
Leisure and Spare Time Activities	48
Physical Health	49
Religion and Spiritual Life	49
Psychological/Mental Health and Emotional Life	50

Information and Knowledge	50
Political Participation	51
Government Performance	52
Factor Analysis of Importance Ratings	53
Preliminary Regression Analysis	61
Research Implications	67
Is There a Filipino Concept of Well-being?	67
A Validation of the Measures of Quality of Life	67
Value Added: More Than the Global Measures	68
Implications for Policy Makers and Local Administrators	69
Institutional Concerns: The Establishment of a University-based Survey Center	71
Combining Teaching and Research	71
Student Involvement	72
Meeting Bureaucratic Requirements	72
Mobilizing an External Research Unit	73
Plans and Suggestions for the Future	73
References	75

TABLES

1	Summary of the Results of Interview Visits by Area	15
2	Housing and Quality of Neighborhood by Region	18
3	Employment and Quality of Working Life by Region	20
4	Personal and Household Savings and Wealth by Region	22
5	Respondents' Leisure and Health Activities by Region	25
6	Respondents' Religious and Political Participation by Region	27
7	Self-Ratings of Economic Status by Region	29
8	Self-Ratings of Happiness by Region	30
9	Outlook and Key Factor for the Future by Region	31
10	Perceptions of Quality of Life: 1997, 1998 and 2002, by Region	32
11	Top 20 Components: <i>Mahalagang-mahalaga</i>	33
12	Top 20 Components: <i>Mahalagang-mahalaga</i> and <i>Mahalaga</i>	35
13	Bottom 20 Components: <i>Mahalagang-mahalaga</i>	36
14	Bottom 20 Components: <i>Mahalagang-mahalaga</i> and <i>Mahalaga</i>	38
15	Top 20 Components: <i>Lubos na Nasisiyahan</i>	39

16	Top 20 Components: <i>Lubos na Nasisiyahan</i> and <i>Nasisiyahan</i>	40
17	Bottom 20 Components: <i>Lubos na Nasisiyahan</i>	41
18	Quadrants for “Success” and “Danger Zones”.....	43
19	Percentage Married by Region.....	45
20	Educational Attainment of Respondents.....	48
21	Summary of Domains and the Components Requiring Intervention....	51
22	Summary of Factor and Reliability Analysis.....	52
23	Item Loadings for Each Factor Identified.....	53
24	Factor Means by Province.....	58
25	Correlations of Objective Indicators with Overall Satisfaction.....	61
26	Summary of Regression Results.....	62

APPENDICES

A	The Well-being Questionnaire
B	The List of Barangays Sampled
C	Experiences in the Field: Some Notes
D	Marginals by Area*
E	Mean Importance Ratings by Area, Gender, Urban-Rural Place of Residence, Civil Status, Working/Not Working, Poor-Not Poor Perceptions, and Age Groupings
F	Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings by Area
G	Mean Satisfaction Ratings by Area, Gender, Urban-Rural Place of Residence, Civil Status, Working/Not Working, Poor-Not Poor Perceptions, and Age Groupings
H	Percentage Distribution of Satisfaction Ratings by Area
I	Charts of Percentage Rating Items as ‘Very Important’ vs. Percentage Rating Items as ‘Very Satisfied’ by Domain and Area*
J	Factor Loadings from the Varimax Rotations of Importance Ratings
K	Reliability Analysis of Factors from the Varimax Rotation
L	Error Plots for the 95 Percent Confidence Interval of Factor Means by Area and Domain*
M	Correlation Matrix of Objective Predictors in a Tentative Model for Predicting Overall Satisfaction/Happiness*

* These appendices cannot be reproduced here but are available for browsing at the UP-CIDS Library. Those that are in this report, however, retain their original letter designations.



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The 'well-being' team would like to gratefully acknowledge the help and participation of the following individuals and institutions, without whom this project would never have gotten off the ground or been completed...

Former UP President Jose V. Abueva, whose idea it was to include this as part of the UP State of the Nation Assessment Program and whose encouragement and support were instrumental in finally getting this report written;

Dr. Emmanuel Lallana, former Director of UP-CIDS, who was responsible for bringing the team together;

Dr. Cynthia Bautista, former Director of UP-CIDS under whose able leadership this project flourished and was completed;

Dr. Maria Carmen Jimenez, current director of UP-CIDS whose support and encouragement helped transform the technical report into something publishable;

Dr. Teresa Encarnacion-Tadem, former UP-CIDS Deputy Director for Research Monitoring and Evaluation, who helped get us through the RTD and project review phase;

Dr. Anna Tabunda, Dean of the UP Statistical Center, who did our sampling design for us and helped us work out all the problems during the actual fieldwork, and who also gave us valuable insights into how we could best handle the data;

Our 'Academic' Field Coordinators – from UP Visayas, Dr. Cynthia Ticao, from UP Baguio, Prof. Maria Ana Babaran-Diaz, and formerly with Ateneo de Zamboanga, Dr. Linda V. Mortezo—without whose efforts and supervision the fieldwork phase of the 1997 survey could not have been undertaken and completed with such speed and precision;

Our 'Professional' Field Coordinator, Ms. Angie Silao of AE Research Exponents, Inc., who handled the NCR portion of data-gathering and who bore with us through the trials of the DBM and COA paper-chase;

Our able research assistants – from the initial survey of literature and early fieldwork activities, Ms. Carmela Patron and Ms. Regina Hechanova-Alampay; to the 1993 Laguna survey, Mr. Fritz Bermejo; to the 1997 nationwide survey, Ms. Gina Ann Valderrama and Ms. Cherrie Joy Billedo; and from start to finish, our most knowledgeable source of COA and DBM rules and regulations and of the UP Accounting system of vouchers and reimbursements (not to mention how much money the project still had left), Ms. Josephine Padolina;

Our encoder, Mr. Emmanuel Sandibay D. Carbonell;

And of course to all the members of the UP-CIDS family (staff, coordinators, program heads, consultants and internal and external reviewers alike) who encouraged us to carry on and helped us in numerous ways throughout the many, many phases of this long-running project – to all of you we say, YES, it is finally finished!



INTRODUCTION

From Well-being to *Magandang Buhay* : A Background

Well-being and its related concepts of quality of life and life satisfaction have been the subject of research in many disciplines (*see* SyCip, Asis and Luna, 1993). As topics of research here in the Philippines, their definition, operationalization and measurement did not seem problematic, largely because the meaning of these concepts was assumed to be universal. Moreover, since the indicators were usually “objective,” most research proceeded to apply and measure indicators developed elsewhere. The assumption that development means the same across cultures is one of two major questions pursued in this research. Is the concept of well-being indeed universal or could there be a Filipino concept of well-being? How do Filipinos conceive of well-being, and how do we then measure the Filipino well-being?

In thinking about the Filipino concept of well-being, the researchers ran into what seemed to be initially a problem of translation: what is the Filipino term for well-being? Considering the variety of linguistic groups in the Philippines, how does well-being translate into other Philippine languages? Beyond translation concerns, there was also the question of the meanings and nuances of well-being in various Filipino languages. Is well-being conceived of and understood in the same way by different language groups? These issues were addressed by conducting several focus group discussions or FGDs (*see* Methodology Section), the results of which provided some insights on terms related to well-being, the “basket of goods” that comprise well-being, their rankings in terms of the importance that people attach to them, and the sense of satisfaction of people with each of these components. The literature review as well as several roundtable discussions (RTDs) provided other important viewpoints from academics and practitioners alike. For example, in one RTD, it was pointed out that the use of the term *magandang buhay* may not be as good as *kaginhawaan* in terms of its ability to capture the true meaning of well-being. *Kaginhawaan* is a core concept found in Andres Bonifacio’s *Ang Dapat Mabait ng mga Tagalog*. A research project on various ethnolinguistic groups in the Philippines found linguistic commonalities among the different

groups. For example, the words and expressions used in referring to well-being – i.e., “described as ease or the feeling of lightness one experiences when everything aspired for is in order or easily attainable” – are common among several groups. The Tagalog term *kaginhawaan* may be linked to similar terms in Hiligaynon, Romblonanon, Sorsogonon, Sebwano, Sambal. Another related term, *kasaganahan* or prosperity, shares similarities with the Tausug, Badyaw, Naga Bikol and Sorsogon terms that mean prosperity, peacefulness, order (Paz 1998). Part of the research thus attempted to survey the ideas of Filipino social thinkers and leaders on the subject. In the end, the term *magandang buhay* was eventually adopted for the survey because it encompassed the material and non-material components that defined well-being. It was also the term that came up most frequently in the FGDs.

Magandang Buhay, Magandang Lipunan : Views of Social Thinkers and Popular Movements

The concepts of *magandang buhay* and *magandang lipunan* were among the key ideas expounded by Filipino social thinkers; the embodiment and realization of these ideas were also central in the social movements that drew the participation of the Filipino masses. Among the ideas presented here are those of Rizal, Mabini, Aguinaldo and Bonifacio, and the persistent calls for change of radical movements at that time.

Rizal's ideas on the subject can be gleaned from his many writings, particularly from *Noli Me Tangere*, his letter to the women of Malolos, his essays (particularly in “The Philippines A Century Hence”), and his rejoinder to the alleged indolence of the Filipino people. A section in chapter 49 of the *Noli* provides some indication of the good society according to Rizal: one that is characterized by unity based on shared aspirations for the country and a government that facilitates the realization of these goals (“*isang kapisanan ng mga tao na ang pagkakabuo ay nakabatay sa pagkakatugma ng mga mithiin ng bayan at pamahalaan na nagkakaunawaan at nagkakatulongan. Kung ano ang bayan ay gayon din ang pamahalaan*”). He put a lot of emphasis on the power of the government in molding society: “*Sa isang pamahalaan na may masamang hilig ay bagay ang isang bayang walang tuos; sa pangasiwaang walang budhi ay mga mamamayang maninibad at mapangayupapa sa loob ng bayan, ngunit mga tulisan at magnanakaw sa mga kabundukan! Kung ano ang panginoon, gayon din ang alipin*” (cited in Teodoro 1992: 22). Also crucial to the making of a good society is freedom and the role of education–

that without these, there is no possibility for change nor the means to achieve aspirations (“... *Datapwat inilalagay kong batayan ang pagkakatuto ng bayan, upang sa pamamagitan ng pag-aaral at paggawa ay magkaroon siya ng sariling katauhan at maging karapat-dapat siya sa mga iyon. Ipinayo ko sa aking mga sinulat ang pag-aaral, ang mga katangiang pambayan sapagkat kung wala ang mga ito ay wala namang katubusan*”) (cited in Teodoro 1992: 21).

Mabini, too, identified national independence as necessary to well-being, beyond the narrow boundaries of personal and family interests. However, he also recognized that independence was not sufficient to guarantee the enjoyment of well-being (he recognized, for example, that social inequalities are incompatible with a genuine national life); for him, the national community was merely a tool to attain the life of the individual and the well-being of society (Majul 1963: 218).

Although known more as a man of action rather than of ideas, Emilio Aguinaldo, president of the first republic of the Philippines, also had a vision of the good society. In his call to the cause of freedom, October 21, 1896, Aguinaldo envisioned a society of liberty, equality and fraternity (Cortes, 1992). The same themes were also evident when he exhorted Filipinos to be of courage and honor, written while he was in retreat in Biak-na-bato, July 1897: “... we aspire to ... obtaining liberty, independence and honor for the country ... we aspire to have a common law, created for all citizens, which will serve them as a guarantee and assurance of respect, without exception. We aspire to have a government which will represent all the active forces of the country ... We desire that no friar set his foot on any part of the Archipelago ... Under our flag, justice will always govern ... we who, scorning all kinds of hardships give our blood for the good of our country, for the welfare of our fellow citizens and the redemption of our children” (Cortes 1992: 15). In addition, Aguinaldo appreciated the municipal organization as the foundation of national government. Also notable was his vision of an all-embracing nation sensitive to the non-Christian communities (Corpuz as cited in Cortes 1992: 15).

In *Ang Dapat Mabatid ng Mga Tagalog*, Andres Bonifacio presented the conditions of the Philippines before the arrival of the Spanish colonizers, the present state, and the future. The pre-Spanish Philippines was characterized by *kasaganaan* and *kaguinhawaan*; under Spanish rule, these were taken away from the people; but a better future is also possible: “*tinuturo ng katuiran na hindi darating ang ipinangakong guinhawa, na ang tayo’y umasa sa ating sarili at huag antain sa iba ang kabuhayan; na tayo’y magkaisang loob magkaisip*

at akala ng tayo'y magkalakas na maihanap ang naghaharing kasamaan sa ating bayan..." (Ileto 1989: 85). Departing from the standard interpretation of the Revolution of 1896, i.e., that it became a national revolution with the participation of the elite, Ileto (1989: 117-118) advances the view that it was the effectiveness of the Katipunan's message that caught fire among the people: "The revolutionary message of the 1896 Katipunan - that independence (*kalayaan*) would bring about a condition of brotherhood, equality, contentment (*kaginhawaan*) and material abundance (*kasaganaan*) - had been communicated so effectively to the people that by the time separation from Spain was fully attained in 1898, broad economic and social changes were expected by many rank-and-file Katipuneros and peasant fighters."

The message of the Katipunan indeed continued to animate the radical movements that emerged between 1930 and 1955. Analyzing the songs of the movements, Maceda (1996: 214) noted that: "*pare-pareho ang mga katangian ng mga tinuturing na kalaban ng mga anakpawis o anak mahirap: ganid, gahaman, mangamkam, mandaraya, dambuhalaang tampalasan, masusuba, taksil - dahil dito, nagkakaroon ng di pagkapantay-pantay at humahadlang sa uring mahirap upang mabuhay nang matiwasay at may dangal.*" Maceda laments the tendency of the government to regard these radical movements as problems of peace and order when they are essentially cries to address persisting inequalities in Philippine society.

Organization of the Report

This report is organized into the following sections:

- A background discussion on the definition and measurement of development;
- Methodology Section: This section describes the various activities undertaken by the project, the questionnaire, sampling design and procedures, the conduct of the 1997 nationwide survey, and the stages of data analysis undertaken;
- Findings of the 1997 Well-being Nationwide Survey: This section is further subdivided into the following major headings: Objective Indicators of Well-being; The Filipino Well-being: Importance and Satisfaction Ratings; Importance and Satisfaction: How Well Do They Match; a Factor Analysis of Importance Ratings; and a tentative regression analysis to look for predictors of overall satisfaction and happiness;
- Conclusions and Recommendations - Research Implications.



THE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT: DEFINING AND MEASURING ITS OUTCOME

The state's pursuit of national development is part of the continuing revolution of Philippine society. Having achieved independence, the next step is overcoming want and poverty, a national goal that also corresponded with what eventually became a global concern. With the worldwide attention given to development issues since the 1960s, defining and measuring development have basically followed what are assumed to be universal goals and pathways of development. Note that the concept of development has been broadened over the years. From purely economic goals and measures, development has been expanded to include equity concerns in the 1970s, to sustainable development in the 1980s, to human development in the 1990s (which emphasizes the widening of human choices and access to various options for progress).

These varying notions of development have had implications for its measurement. As the project's literature review pointed out, the Philippines has undertaken steps to measure development since the 1970s. These include the development of social indicators to measure quality of life and poverty (e.g., the use of the poverty line or threshold; self-ratings; etc.), and more recently, the construction of the Human Development Index or HDI (*see SyCip, Asis and Luna, 1993:9-16*). As has been noted, the findings and recommendations from these undertakings were not openly discussed during the Martial Law years. The restoration of democracy in 1986 signaled a more open approach in tackling the development agenda. Since then, government agencies, the private sector and academe, independently or jointly, have been monitoring development trends.

It was during the Aquino administration (1986-1992) that the poverty line was used to monitor the development situation in the country. Other initiatives followed such as the Social Welfare Indicator System (SWIS) developed by the Department of Social Welfare and Development and the National

Statistical Coordinating Board. SWIS was intended to focus on monitoring the changes in the quality of life of the disadvantaged population. The Ramos administration (1992-1998) continued the goal to improve the quality of life of the ordinary Filipino under the Social Reform Agenda (SRA), anchored on the Minimum Basic Needs (MBN) approach (Proclamation No. 548, March 6, 1995). The MBN approach is "a strategy of prioritizing primary requirements to ensure that the basic needs for survival, security from physical harm, and enabling needs of the individual/family/community are attended to" (MBN Technical Working Core Group, April 1995:1). As its name suggests, MBN addresses primary needs first and foremost before secondary ones. The primary needs of the individual/family include: 1) survival needs which ensure life sustenance like *food and nutrition, health, water and sanitation and clothing*, 2) security through *income and employment, shelter, and peace and order*, and 3) enabling activities like *basic education and literacy, community participation and psychosocial/family care needs* (MBN Technical Working Core Group, April 1995:2). A total of 33 indicators measure the 10 primary needs (in italics above). Overall, an improved quality of life is indicated by reduced poverty, morbidity, mortality, assault against persons and property, and by improved literacy and community participation (*A Primer on The Philippine MBN Approach*, DILG). Among others, the MBN approach has been helpful in identifying provinces that are in most need of attention (e.g., 20 poorest provinces).

With the change in administration in 1998, little has been heard of the MBN. The Estrada administration, brought into power by its promise of "*Erap para sa mahirap*," also pledged to fight poverty. There seems to be some continuity, however, on addressing basic needs, as suggested by the current administration's thrust on food security. A year later, findings from the *Ulat ng Bayan*, a survey conducted by Pulse Asia between May 21 to June 7, 1999, revealed that the poverty of the masses is the foremost concern of Filipinos. According to the report: "Avoiding illnesses/staying healthy (71 percent), having enough to eat every day (58 percent), finishing one's schooling/providing schooling for one's children (54 percent) and having a secure, well paying job or source of income are among the most urgent concerns of the public." Of 10 national concerns, except for peace, respondents perceived the rest to have deteriorated in the last 12 months. The deterioration was most pronounced for the following: prices of basic goods (-53 percent), unemployment/underemployment for many Filipinos (-48 percent), and the great poverty of many Filipinos (-31 percent) (Miranda 1999:8). The crisis that began in 1997

has, of course, affected the quality of life of Filipinos in the past two years. However, despite the fact that the Philippines is often regarded as less affected by the crisis compared to its neighbors, the 1998 Human Development Report shows that the Philippines (ranked 98th) continues to rank lower than Thailand (59th), Malaysia (60th) and Indonesia (96th).

To summarize, the variety of approaches to measure development, well-being, quality of life and related concerns in the Philippines has been helpful in deriving a *national picture* of the country's situation. Aggregate measures, however, mask regional and individual differences. Relatively unexplored are measures which take into account how well-being is conceived of and assessed at the level of the individual. Although the "people's voice" is occasionally heard in some surveys such as those undertaken by the Social Weather Stations, the attempts thus far have been limited to global statements pertaining to well-being. Another limitation is that government efforts to sustain these initiatives have been limited as each new administration comes up with its own programs and agendas, thereby undermining the continuity of past efforts. Thus, the development and monitoring of other indicators have not been pursued regularly and systematically.

This project hoped to respond to some of the gaps in well-being research. First and foremost, people's perspectives had to be brought in. If people are the object of development, their views about development should matter. Also, it would provide an opportunity to validate the general assumption that well-being and its components are universal. Much of the work done in this area seems to rest on the assumption that basic needs are common to all cultures and societies and that they must be addressed before other needs. However, some studies have also shown that this is not unassailable. For example, a survey conducted in the early 1990s showed that Filipinos and Indonesians are the happiest people in Asia while the Japanese were the least happy. Although there are other factors that may explain this puzzle, the lack of fit between happiness and development in this instance is part of the larger debate about the consistency between objective and subjective conditions. As was mentioned earlier, one of the questions that this project hopes to address is whether there is a uniquely *Filipino* concept of well-being. Once some baseline information has been established, it can then be used as a reference point in later studies. As a university-based project, it is also hoped that follow-up studies can be pursued to track the nation's situation at different points in time.



METHODOLOGY

Project Activities

The project began in 1992 and went through several phases until the conduct of the nationwide survey in 1997. The time period of the study corresponded with most of the Ramos administration's. The nationwide survey itself was conducted and completed by the first quarter of 1997, some months before the Asian crisis began in July 1997.

The study of a multi-dimensional and much-researched concept such as well-being would be best approached by a variety of methods of data collection and analysis. As the following account shows, a combination of qualitative and quantitative research methodologies was used in carrying out the project. The survey became the major method for the collection of data in order to establish nationwide baseline data on well-being.

Following is a summary of the major activities undertaken by the project: Initially, a literature review focusing on studies related to well-being was undertaken. The body of research on the topic and related concerns, the range of theoretical and methodological issues, and the vast experience of research on the topic in the Philippines and internationally are detailed in the publication, *The Meaning and Measurement of Well-being* (SyCip, Asis, and Luna, 1993). The review alerted the research team to the debates surrounding the definition and measurement of well-being, which came to bear on the conceptualization and research design of the project. The literature review also identified the various domains that were said to comprise well-being, which provided the organizing concepts in the construction of the questionnaire. It must be mentioned that roundtable discussions (RTDs) were an essential part of the project's activities. Several rounds of RTDs were conducted, particularly at critical points, to share, discuss and critique the content and direction of the project.

In response to these concerns, the project undertook a series of focus group discussions (FGDs) in 1992 and 1993 to explore the varieties and range of terms related to well-being in Filipino. FGDs were conducted in various contexts: among urban poor and urban middle class participants in Metro Manila, and a coastal community and a farming community in Capiz (in Hiligaynon).

Having done some literature review, the FGDs also served the purpose of validating the components or dimensions of well-being in the Philippine context. The FGDs were supplemented by the conduct of a class exercise among undergraduate psychology students in UP. Despite the different backgrounds of the participants, their responses showed some convergence.

The FGDs generated insights on how lowland Christian Filipinos defined well-being, the terms they use to refer to it, and the factors that contributed to their well-being. The FGDs also served to validate the domains of well-being identified in the literature. Moreover, the FGDs hinted at potential items or issues that may be culture-bound. For example, despite the diverse sectors represented by participants, the importance of having a “legal” job surfaced in all the groups, an item that was not identified in the literature. In addition to the FGDs (where the participants were mainly married adults), a class exercise among psychology undergraduate students was conducted; the exercise yielded terms referring to well-being in Pilipino, and it also helped to clarify items under the different domains of well-being.

Among the terms uncovered by the FGDs as being associated with well-being were the following: *magandang buhay*, *maginhawang buhay*, *matiwasa na buhay*, *masaganang buhay*. Of the many terms that surfaced, *magandang buhay* was mentioned most frequently. In Capiz, “*maayo nga pangabuh*” (good life) was articulated by many participants. “*Maayo nga pangabuh*” would seem to correspond to “*mabuting buhay*” in Pilipino, but the latter has moralistic underpinnings. On the other hand, the Hiligaynon equivalent of “*magandang buhay*” would be “*manami nga pangabuh*” (pleasant life), but “*manami*” connotes pleasure, and is generally used to refer to good food. Since data from FGDs could not be generalized to the larger population (especially since we were not able to conduct FGDs for other groups), the project tested the meaningfulness of *magandang buhay* in a pre-test of the questionnaire in early 1993 and in the initial survey in Laguna in October 1993. The results of these activities suggested that the concept of *magandang buhay* was familiar to and well-understood by most respondents. Thus, the term *magandang buhay* (and its equivalent in Hiligaynon, Cebuano, Chavacano and Pangasinense) was retained for the nationwide survey carried out in 1997. There were plans to conduct special studies of particular groups to further explore the nuances of well-being, especially among groups that would be less likely to be captured by the survey method. In other words, these studies were meant not simply to supplement the nationwide survey but also as an independent source of data

on well-being. The more qualitative modes of data collection, however, did not push through.

The literature review, the FGDs and the RTDs helped refine the items in the construction of the questionnaire. The instrument was then pre-tested (30 respondents) in several communities including Smokey Mountain, San Pablo City (Laguna) and Guimba (Nueva Ecija), to determine the meaningfulness of the questions to respondents and to test the feasibility and manageability of using a fairly long questionnaire with Filipino respondents. Following the pre-test, the questionnaire was modified for the survey undertaken in Laguna in October 1993. Laguna was selected as the testing ground for the planned nationwide survey because it hosts a diversity of community contexts, including urban and industrial centers as well as rural communities. Of the targeted sample size of 500, 498 interviews were successfully completed. The Laguna survey showed that the language and the items in the questionnaire could be understood by respondents, that it was manageable, and that it was capable of generating data that could yield potentially meaningful insights. The results of the Laguna survey were also shared in an RTD, and suggestions and recommendations from this forum were considered in the formulation of the instrument and of the plans for the nationwide survey.

The project suspended its activities for close to a year between the second half of 1994 and the first half of 1995. When it resumed, preparations began for the nationwide survey. The questionnaire went through another round of reviews, following the experience in Laguna. The final version of the questionnaire did not depart significantly from the one used in the Laguna survey. For the 1997 survey, the questionnaire integrated what used to be four separate domains, Peace and Order, Government Performance, Personal Savings and Wealth and Household Savings and Wealth, into two domains (Government Performance and Savings and Wealth). The decision to do this was based on the results of a factor analysis performed on the items in the well-being scale. The questionnaire for the nationwide survey thus had 11 domains, compared with the 13 domains in the Laguna survey.

The Well-being Questionnaire

The questionnaire was essentially a product of many processes, including the literature review, the FGDs and the RTDs. In the pre-tests and the 1993 Laguna survey, there were 13 domains that defined well-being which were

then reduced to 11 in the 1997 nationwide survey. As mentioned earlier, Peace and Order and Government Performance, and Personal and Family Savings and Wealth were each combined into one domain in the 1997 survey. Each domain consisted of several key items. In the 1993 survey, there were 104 items while in the 1997 survey, there were 102 items. Some items were dropped, a few were added, and some were reworded in the final version of the questionnaire fielded in 1997 (a copy of the instrument is provided in Appendix A).

The 11 domains and the number of items per domain are as follows:

1. Housing and Quality of Neighborhood	13 items
2. Employment and Quality of Working Life	16 items
3. Savings and Wealth	7 items
4. Social Relationships (Marriage, Family and Friends)	13 items
5. Leisure and Spare Time Activities	9 items
6. Physical Health	9 items
7. Psychological/Mental Health and Emotional Well-being	8 items
8. Religion and Spiritual Life	4 items
9. Information and Knowledge	5 items
10. Political Participation	4 items
11. Peace and Order and Government Performance	14 items
Total	102 items

Altogether there were 271 questions in the 1997 version of the questionnaire, organized into four blocks:

1. Socio-demographic background of the respondent
2. Respondent's perceived importance of the various components of the 11 domains of well-being
3. Objective indicators of well-being: quality of housing, quality of working life, personal and household expenditures, leisure and health, religious life, and political participation.
4. Respondent's perceived satisfaction with the various components of the 11 domains of well-being.

Sampling Design and Procedures

A sample size of 1,200 respondents was targeted for the nationwide survey with 300 each from Luzon, Visayas, Mindanao and NCR (the Social Weather Station surveys, whose sampling design and data gathering are undertaken by Trends, use the same sample size and broad distribution of sample areas). The selection of respondents proceeded by multi-stage sampling. First, a province was randomly selected from each region (except in NCR). Pangasinan, Iloilo and Zamboanga del Sur were randomly selected from the provinces in Luzon, Visayas, and Mindanao, respectively. For each area (NCR was considered as one area), 20 barangays were randomly selected, with 15 respondents per barangay. At the barangay level, households were randomly selected, and within households, a respondent was randomly selected from among all the eligible respondents, i.e., adult members of the selected household in the age range of 18-65 years, with a sampling plan that sought to ensure equal numbers of male and female respondents (i.e., for odd numbered questionnaires, an eligible male respondent was required while for even-numbered questionnaires, an eligible female respondent was required). In Pangasinan, Iloilo and Zamboanga del Sur, 40 percent of barangays represented urban areas while 60 percent represented rural areas. NCR was, of course, 100 percent urban. A complete list of the sampled barangays can be found in Appendix B. The sampling frame was designed in order to obtain data that would allow for regional, rural-urban and gender comparisons.

Once the sampling scheme had been finalized and the provinces per region identified, some decisions were made concerning the conduct of the survey. The original idea was to involve other UP units in the project. Thus, UP College Baguio was tapped to undertake the Pangasinan survey while UP Visayas was tapped for the Iloilo segment. In Mindanao, the survey in Zamboanga del Sur was carried out by a contact connected with Ateneo de Zamboanga, although there was no actual institutional link-up. For NCR, the team decided to contract the survey to a market research group, in part to further explore this option, and partly because of administrative difficulties. The Laguna experience brought out positive and negative points of carrying out the survey ourselves. For example, while it provided students some training in survey research, it exacted much in terms of meeting administrative and accounting procedures. The team also anticipated several complications in involving students (or even full-time interviewers) because the survey was going to be carried out in the

middle of the semester. Additional personnel would have to be hired to coordinate, monitor and conduct spot-checks, a less than ideal situation, since the investigators were teaching full-time. In the case of UP Visayas, the interviewers were students and new graduates, while UP Baguio involved mostly students. The contact person in Zamboanga del Sur hired full-time interviewers and several students (some reflections on the field experience are described in Appendix C). The research team handled the training for all the groups that would do the data collection. The translation of the questionnaire into other Philippine languages was handled by the partner institutions or area contact. The questionnaire was translated into Hiligaynon (for Iloilo), Chavacano and Cebuano (for Zamboanga City and Zamboanga del Sur), and Pangasinense (for Pangasinan).

Data Gathering

Data collection was undertaken during the months of January to March 1997. A total of 1,200 interviews were completed, 300 each from the four regions, stratified by residence (urban vs. rural), gender and age (15% from 18-25 years, 15% from 50-65 years and the remaining 70% from 26-49 years). Substitutions or replacements were allowed only if the chosen respondents could not be contacted after two call-backs (i.e., after three attempts, including the initial visit), had refused to be interviewed or if they were eventually unavailable for interview (due to being out-of-town for an extended period). The average time it took to complete the interview was 49 +/- 14 minutes (the shortest interview took only 20 minutes - Pangasinan; while the longest interview lasted for 3 hours - NCR). There was some variation observed for the different sites: 53 +/- 16 minutes in NCR, 48 +/- 15 min in Iloilo, 46 +/- 12 minutes in Pangasinan, and 50 +/- 12 minutes in Zamboanga. Encoding, data cleaning and editing, data processing, and analysis were undertaken throughout the rest of the year and into 1998-1999.

Table 1 presents the outcomes of the interview visits for each of the areas. It is apparent that Pangasinan interviewers had the 'easiest' time in securing interviews - having been able to gather complete information on the household in 284 out of 300 interviews on the first visit, while those from NCR had to make the greatest efforts - only 152 out of 300 interviews were completed on the first visit. Respondents appeared to be generally cooperative, however, with only 21 refusals altogether, 18 of them from NCR. It would appear that

NCR respondents were thus more difficult to catch at home and they also tended to be less inclined to grant interviews. Altogether, there were 71 substitutions due to ineligibility of respondents or to refusals.

Analysis of Data

There are many possibilities for analyzing the large amount of data generated from the survey. For this technical report, the following basic analyses were undertaken:

1. Examination of simple marginals by survey area for all variables (Appendix D);
2. Comparison of means for the global indicators of satisfaction, well-being, quality of life and perceptions of poverty;
3. Comparison of mean importance ratings for items under the well-being domains (Appendix E);
4. Examination of the percentage distributions for importance ratings by area (Appendix F);
5. Comparison of mean satisfaction ratings for items under the well-being domains (Appendix G);
6. Examination of percentage distributions for satisfaction ratings by area (Appendix H);
7. Joint graphical analysis of importance and satisfaction ratings (Appendix I);
8. Factor analysis of importance ratings (the rotated factor loadings are in Appendix J);
9. Reliability analysis of the resulting factors (Appendix K);
10. Error plots of the 95% confidence intervals for factor means (Appendix L);
11. Correlations of variables involved in the regression analysis (Appendix M); and
12. Regression analysis focusing on objective predictors for overall satisfaction or happiness.

In the initial stages of the analysis, comparisons were made across gender, age groups, rural-urban place of residence, region, marital status and poor-not poor perceptions. Although there were some significant differences that emerged, the number of comparisons being made across the numerous items

TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS OF INTERVIEW VISITS BY AREA

VISITS		NCR	PANG	ILO	ZAMB	TOTAL
First -	Completed	152	284	231	269	936
	Callback	2	10	27	30	69
	Refusal	4	1	1	-	6
	Not available	114	-	4	-	118
	Terminated/ No qualified R	19	3	33	-	53
	Missing Data	2	-	-	-	2
Second -	Completed	82	9	55	27	173
	Callback	1	-	3	3	7
	Refusal	12	-	1	-	13
	Not available	38	-	1	1	40
	Terminated/ No qualified R	10	-	3	-	13
	Missing Data	1	-	-	-	1
Third -	Completed	30	-	7	4	41
	Callback	-	-	1	-	1
	Refusal	2	-	-	-	2
	Not available	21	-	-	-	21
	Terminated/ No qualified R	3	-	2	-	5

seemed to endanger the statistical robustness of the findings. Since area differences appeared to be the most pronounced, these eventually became the focus of later analyses. For future work, however, there is still much that can be done with regards to the initial differences observed. For example, aside from the pronounced differences across the areas, age differences also surfaced. Younger respondents (18 to 25 years of age) tended to give generally higher importance and satisfaction ratings overall than older ones (26 to 49 years and 49 years and up). It still remains to be seen, however, whether there is a response style being picked up or whether a real generational difference exists

in terms of how respondents view those things that are important to their well-being. It was observed, however, that most of the differences were in the domains involving social relationships, information and knowledge and government performance. It is quite likely that these differences provide indirect proof that respondents answered the questionnaire seriously. That is, the differences observed may simply be indicative of the greater concern of younger respondents for a good education, quality family relationships and the ideals of good government (unlike older respondents who may have become more cynical about such things).



FINDINGS OF THE 1997 NATIONWIDE SURVEY

Objective Indicators of Well-being

Part of the survey included questions that looked into objective indicators of selected components of the respondent's well-being: housing and quality of neighborhood, employment and working life, personal and household savings and wealth, leisure and health, and religious life and political participation. These provide a context against which their subjective perceptions and assessments of their well-being might be compared and validated (*see* Table 2).

A. Housing and Quality of Neighborhood

Housing is more than just shelter. Although it is commonly viewed as a basic need, it is actually multi-dimensional because it includes other components such as tenure, the quality of the dwelling unit, facilities, accessibility to public places (i.e., schools, church, clinics, markets and work) and the quality of the social and physical environment or neighborhood. Table 2 summarizes the housing conditions and the quality of neighborhood of the respondents.

- Seventy-seven percent of respondents had security of tenure in terms of housing. There was considerable variation in home tenure, from a low of 56.4 percent in the NCR, to a high of 91.3 percent in Zamboanga del Sur.
- Although tenure is problematic in the NCR, the houses of NCR respondents are generally made of better quality materials (i.e., in terms of roofing and walls when compared with respondents' houses in the other areas. A greater percentage of those in NCR have access to such facilities as drinking water, electricity and toilets.
- NCR respondents also tended to depart from the national pattern and to be different from respondents from the other areas in terms of the conditions of the social and physical environment. Whereas only about 25 percent of all respondents had ever experienced theft, 32 percent of NCR respondents reported having been robbed. Similarly,

TABLE 2.
HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD BY REGION
(PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION)

Housing Characteristics	NCR	PANG	ILOILO	ZAMB	ALL
Tenure: own the house	56.4	85.0	78.0	91.3	77.7
Shelter materials					
Heavy roofing	87.3	70.3	40.3	46.3	61.1
Heavy wall	85.3	59.7	19.0	22.0	46.5
Facilities					
Own faucet	61.0	23.3	13.0	25.7	30.8
Own deep well	12.3	55.0	27.3	2.7	24.3
Use electricity	98.3	83.0	69.7	59.0	77.5
With toilet	93.3	47.3	53.7	23.7	54.5
Social and physical environment					
Experienced theft	31.7	25.0	23.0	18.7	24.6
Experienced flooding in barangay	34.8	49.7	40.5	33.7	39.6
Experienced flooding inside the house	48.7	53.6	34.7	59.1	48.0
Experienced fire	33.3	19.7	28.0	21.1	25.5
Garbage disposal					
Burned	19.3	81.3	76.0	81.0	64.4
Collected	81.0	10.0	5.7	8.0	26.2
Buried	1.3	16.3	36.3	4.0	14.5
Accessibility (walk)					
School	62.3	63.8	81.6	88.3	74.0
Clinic	53.0	8.8	59.0	43.6	41.2
Church	66.7	19.9	60.9	84.3	58.0
Market	52.8	10.5	27.5	68.9	39.9
Work	19.5	47.4	53.7	65.7	47.9

more NCR respondents (33.3 percent) had experienced fires compared with 26 percent overall. Flooding is a problem for almost all areas, but most especially for those in Pangasinan, where almost 50 percent said they had experienced flooding in their barangays. For those who experienced flooding in their barangays, over 50 percent in Pangasinan and Zamboanga also had their homes flooded. In terms of garbage disposal, the most common mode is garbage collection for NCR respondents while burning is the most common method for respondents in the provinces.

- NCR respondents tended to live away from their places of work with less than 20 percent of working respondents indicating that they usually walked to work as compared to those from the provinces where the majority of working respondents said that they walked to work. Schools, clinics, churches and markets, however, tended to be found in or close to the communities since most respondents indicated that they generally reached these places by walking, except for those from Pangasinan wherein less than 20 percent said that they usually walked to church, to the market or to the clinic. In Iloilo, less than 30 percent said that they walked to the market.

B. Employment and the Quality of Working Life

The survey was able to get data on the occupation of the respondents and their spouses. Related data on tenure, safety of the workplace, accessibility of the workplace, working hours and work schedule were also obtained (see Table 3).

- There were obvious gender differences in employment activity. More males performed the role of breadwinner compared with female respondents. This trend was much more apparent in Zamboanga (and to a lesser extent in Iloilo), both among the respondents and their spouses.
- There were also differences in the major occupations for working respondents and their spouses, depending on whether they lived in a primarily rural or urban area (i.e., farming, fishing and forestry for Zamboanga vs. managerial and crafts for NCR).

TABLE 3.
EMPLOYMENT AND THE QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE BY REGION
(PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION)

Quality of Working Life	NCR	PANG	ILOILO	ZAMB	TOTAL
Working – Males	69.3	68.1	75.3	88.0	75.3
Females	38.0	31.4	29.3	28.7	31.8
Spouse working – Males	40.4	34.3	37.6	16.7	31.2
Females	87.5	85.1	90.5	91.5	88.6
Occupation					
Respondent					
Not working	54.0	50.2	47.7	53.5	51.3
Executive, managerial	9.0	1.7	2.0	3.0	3.0
Sales, service	15.3	13.8	18.7	8.4	8.4
Farming/fishing/forestry	0.0	14.5	14.7	20.9	20.9
Crafts	8.7	4.4	4.0	3.7	3.7
Machine operators	5.0	6.4	7.3	5.4	5.4
Spouse					
Not working	47.5	52.2	48.3	42.7	47.7
Executive, managerial	7.0	2.0	3.7	4.7	4.3
Sales, service	17.4	15.1	16.8	13.7	15.7
Farming/fishing/forestry	0.3	12.0	16.4	25.0	13.5
Crafts	9.4	3.7	5.7	3.0	5.4
Machine operators	9.4	7.7	3.0	5.3	6.4
Permanent in work	71.2	66.9	66.5	54.4	64.4
Met accident at job site	17.5	18.0	24.3	18.6	19.7
Means of getting to work					
Walk	19.5	47.4	53.7	65.7	47.9
Takes bus, jeepney or Tricycle	51.9	35.3	30.2	28.3	35.8
Working hours					
8 hours	31.6	46.3	31.4	22.4	32.1
No definite time	30.4	22.8	43.1	58.8	39.9
Shifting	4.4	16.3	4.6	10.3	8.5
More than 8 hours	10.1	6.5	7.2	4.2	7.0

- Respondents from the NCR (71.2 percent) and Pangasinan (66.9 percent) had the highest percentage of having permanent work, surpassing even the national rate (66.4 percent).
- About one in five respondents in the different areas, except in Iloilo (where it was one in four), had met accidents at the jobsite.
- Close to half of all respondents (48 percent) simply walk to their place of work, except in NCR where only one in five respondents does so. One possible explanation for this is that many of the respondents in the provinces are in farming, fishing and other related agricultural work, which do not require them to commute.
- Nationally, only about a third of all respondents had a regular 8-to-5 work schedule. Most respondents (39.9 percent) had no definite work schedule, and this was particularly high among Zamboanga respondents (58.8 percent). NCR respondents, on the other hand, tended to work more than eight hours compared to other respondents.

C. Personal and Household Savings and Wealth

Table 4 summarizes the data on the economic dimension of well-being: personal income, family income, savings, debts, debts payable in a month, home ownership, and ownership of selected appliances. The economic dimension assumes a central place in quality of life studies. From the minimum basic needs approach to broader concepts of well-being, economic sufficiency is deemed important to achieve some satisfaction in other components or domains of life.

- First, a note on income data obtained from the survey. As in most surveys, data on reported income may not be very accurate. The general trends observed in provincial differences, however, would seem to indicate that the reported income was a fair representation of the respondents' SES. The income distribution was also positively skewed, with most respondents earning on the lower end of the scale and a few earning on the higher end (as evidenced by the large difference between the mean and median incomes). Information on personal income and contribution to the household budget was asked only of those who said they were currently working.

TABLE 4.
PERSONAL AND HOUSEHOLD SAVINGS AND WEALTH BY REGION

Savings and Wealth	NCR	PANG	ILOILO	ZAMB	TOTAL
Median family income (P)	7000	3500	3000	2500	3500
Mean personal income (P)	6550	3593	3436	3034	4142
Mean personal contribution (P)	4104	2670	2018	1430	2495
Mean family/HH income (P)	10283	5412	4414	3444	5840
Mean personal expenses (P)	1353	993	826	305	866
Median personal expenses (P)	1000	700	500	200	500
Mean family expenses (P)	7604	3494	2750	2061	3969
Median family expenses (P)	5000	3000	2000	1500	3000
% with savings	40.7	31.7	26.0	25.7	31.0
% with debts	54.7	62.0	67.3	77.3	65.3
% with debts not payable in 1 mo.	56.0	47.2	48.6	61.5	53.5
% who can expect help from:					
Spouse	12.3	44.7	38.3	66.0	40.3
Children	7.0	23.7	16.7	12.7	15.0
Parents	40.0	45.3	48.7	73.0	51.8
Siblings	47.0	56.3	53.0	72.3	57.2
Relatives	44.3	62.3	38.3	67.7	53.2
Friends	23.3	43.7	34.7	54.3	39.0
Office	14.3	8.0	9.7	13.7	11.4
% who own:					
House	56.4	85.0	78.0	91.3	77.7
Radio	91.7	86.0	77.7	74.4	82.5
Electric fan	90.3	64.0	38.7	29.3	55.6
TV	91.0	71.3	44.7	34.3	60.3
Refrigerator/Freezer	73.3	36.0	27.3	24.0	40.2
Telephone	41.0	7.5	3.3	2.3	14.5
Motorized vehicle	28.7	26.0	4.7	6.7	16.5

- Respondents and their families from NCR earned about twice as much as those from the other areas, and respondents from NCR also contributed about twice as much to household expenditures.
- While earning power was greater in NCR, so were expenditures. Respondents and their families from NCR spent more than double what those from other areas did. Respondents and their families from Zamboanga earned and also spent the least – less than a third of what those from NCR spent.
- Reported incomes (personal and family) were more than reported expenditures, though less than half of respondents in NCR (about 41 percent) indicated that they were able to save, and only about a quarter of those from the other areas said that they had savings.
- On the other hand, close to two-thirds of respondents (65.3 percent) said they had debts. Those from Metro Manila (54.7 percent) and those from Zamboanga (77.3 percent) represented those who had the lowest and highest percentages of those with debts.
- Seventy-seven percent of all respondents owned the house they lived in. Home ownership was lowest among Metro Manila respondents (56.4 percent), and was highest among Zamboanga respondents (91.3 percent).
- NCR respondents, however, had a striking advantage over other respondents in terms of ownership of appliances. More of them owned appliances, from radios to motorized vehicles, compared to the national average and to the respondents from the provinces. In terms of non-economic supports, however, many more from Zamboanga indicated that they could expect help from others (family and friends) as compared with those from NCR.

D. Leisure and Health

How healthy and rested were the respondents? Aside from being important in their own right, the leisure and health domains of well-being can contribute to the physical and the emotional state of the person. Table 5 provides a picture of the health and leisure conditions of the respondents.

- It may be recalled that in the FGDs undertaken before the survey, many participants defined good health in terms of not being sick. In

the survey, 78 percent of all respondents said they were not sick enough that they had to miss work or refrain from doing any household chores in the past month. Based on this indicator, Zamboanga respondents (83.7 percent) might be considered the healthiest, followed closely by those from NCR (83 percent).

- Almost half of the respondents do not exercise although this is probably not a good indicator of health since many of them seem to do a lot of walking (to work, to school, to market, etc.). Differences in lifestyles are also quite apparent with almost half of respondents from Zamboanga indicating that they do not regularly engage in recreational activities (44 percent) as compared with less than 10 percent for the other areas. The most popular recreational activity is watching TV, especially for those from NCR (where almost everyone has electricity and owns a TV), whereas for those from Iloilo, where a significantly smaller percentage have TVs, listening to music/the radio is slightly favored. Be that as it may, these are basically passive activities and only a few seem to be interested in, for example, sports activities (i.e., only about 5 percent overall indicated that they played basketball, which was by far the most 'popular' sport mentioned).
- Although the majority of the respondents eat more than three times a day, there are some who eat only twice a day. The figure for the latter may be small, 1.7 percent overall, but this is significant because it refers to something very basic.
- In terms of hours of sleep, 86.3 percent of respondents from Zamboanga were able to have adequate sleep of 7-9 hours per day. In comparison, one in three NCR respondents sleeps less than 7 hours per day.
- Whether or not the respondents smoked, drank alcohol, or played *jueteng*, lotto and similar games was asked in the survey and the results are presented here by gender. These items may be viewed on the one hand as "vices," as possible threats to good health, or simply as *dibersyon*. Note that there is not much variation in these indicators by area. Marked variations by gender are, however, clearly evident. In general, many more males than females engage in smoking, drinking alcohol, and games of chance (lotto, *jueteng* and similar activities). There was also a question on the taking of prohibited drugs but due to some confusion in the respondents' interpretation of this question, it was not included in the analysis.

TABLE 5.
RESPONDENTS' LEISURE AND HEALTH ACTIVITIES BY REGION
(PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION)

Leisure and Health	NCR	PANG	ILOILO	ZAMB	TOTAL
Percentage not exercising	41.3	41.0	40.7	66.3	47.3
Percentage exercising daily	22.0	27.0	22.7	6.3	19.5
No weekly recreation	5.3	7.3	1.7	44.3	14.7
Hours spent for recreation					
Weekly (mean)	13.4	17.3	13.2	5.2	12.3
Main recreation activities					
Listening to music	7.3	9.7	29.7	2.3	12.3
Watching TV	46.7	30.7	28.0	13.0	29.6
Basketball	6.3	4.7	2.0	7.3	5.1
Eating frequency					
Two times a day	2.3	1.3	2.3	0.7	1.7
Three times a day	19.7	27.3	44.7	28.3	30.0
More than 3 times/day	78.0	71.3	53.0	71.0	68.3
Hours of sleep/day					
7-9 hours	59.0	55.0	59.8	86.3	65.5
Less than 7 hours	33.6	29.5	24.0	6.3	23.1
Smoke- Females	15.3	12.8	15.3	9.3	13.2
Males	50.0	46.5	59.3	57.7	53.5
Drink alcohol- Females	9.3	10.3	8.0	9.3	13.2
Males	63.0	54.2	70.0	55.3	60.7
Play jueteng/lotto-Females	9.3	9.7	10.1	4.0	8.3
Males	9.6	11.3	14.3	13.3	14.7
Did not get sick last month	83.0	75.3	69.7	83.7	77.9

E. Religious Life and Political Participation

The religious and political characteristics/activities of respondents are presented in Table 6.

- Majority of respondents are Catholic (86.3 percent). Interestingly, in some areas, the number of non-Catholics is greater than the national total. For example, the percentage who are Protestants in Zamboanga (9 percent) is higher than the national total of 5.7 percent; and the percentage who are *Iglesia ni Cristo* (4.7 percent) in Pangasinan is larger than the national total of 2.1 percent.
- Data on religious practice, measured here in terms of going to church, suggest that respondents are more likely to practice their faith than not. Only 6.2 percent of all respondents reported they had not gone to or attended church in the past month. On the other hand, 36.4 percent said they went to or attended church four times in the past month (or presumably once a week). By region, NCR respondents are the most likely to attend church four times in a month (43 percent) compared to respondents in the provinces.
- Less than a third of all respondents belong to a religious organization. The notable exception is Zamboanga where 44.7 percent of respondents are members of a religious organization.
- More respondents belong to a political organization than to a religious organization. Forty percent of all respondents reported that they were members of a political organization. NCR respondents appear to be the least politically involved (19.7 percent), while those from Zamboanga seem to be on the other end of the spectrum (40.3 percent). Likewise, on another measure of political participation, voting in the 1992 elections, these two groups also represented the least (72.3 percent in the NCR) and most active (89.7 percent). Overall, 83 percent of all respondents voted in the last election.
- In terms of doing volunteer work and giving financial contributions, 57.1 percent of all respondents had done so in the past month. Iloilo respondents were the most active in this (63.7 percent), while Zamboanga respondents were the least active (50.7 percent).

TABLE 6.
RESPONDENTS' RELIGIOUS AND POLITICAL PARTICIPATION BY REGION
(PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION)

Characteristics	NCR	PANG	ILOILO	ZAMB	ALL
Religion					
Catholics	90.0	82.3	91.3	81.7	86.3
Protestants	5.0	5.7	4.0	9.0	5.7
<i>Iglesia ni Kristo</i>	1.3	4.7	1.7	0.7	2.1
Going to church					
Never	5.0	5.3	9.7	4.7	6.2
Four times a month	43.0	33.7	32.0	37.0	36.4
Membership in religious organization	23.3	24.7	23.7	44.7	29.1
Membership in community organization	19.7	32.7	27.7	40.3	30.1
Voted last election	72.3	84.7	85.0	89.7	82.9
Given financial or voluntary service	59.3	54.7	63.7	50.7	57.1

Global Assessments of Well-being

One issue in measuring well-being concerns the use of either a single item or multiple items. As mentioned in the literature review, each approach has particular strengths and limitations. Single items or global measures have the advantage of brevity. However, given the multi-dimensional nature of well-being, multiple measures have the advantage of tapping the different components which can later on be combined in some index or a summary score (*see* SyCip, Asis, Luna, 1993).

The survey combined both approaches.

Several global measures were included in the questionnaire, namely:

1. Self-ratings on personal and family economic status: A card was shown to respondents with a line in the middle and the categories *hindi mahirap* and *mahirap*, above and below the line, respectively. Respondents were asked:

- a. *Kung ilalarawan ninyo ang inyong katayuan sa kasalukuyan, saan ninyo ilalagay ang inyong sarili?*
 - b. *Kung ilalarawan ninyo ang katayuan ng inyong pamilya sa kasalukuyan, saan ninyo ilalagay ang inyong pamilya?*
2. Ladder scales: A card with a 5-step ladder was shown to respondents and they were asked to locate themselves on the ladder.
Sa kard na ito ay makikita ang isang hagdan na ang pinakamababang baitang ay nagsasaad ng HINDING-HINDI MASAYA at ang pinakamataas na baitang naman ay MASAYANG-MASAYA.
Kung susuriin ninyo ang kabuuan ng inyong buhay sa kasalukuyan saang baitang ninyo ilalagay ang inyong sarili? (A follow up question was also asked: *Bakit ninyo nasabing nasa baitang na ito ang inyong buhay?*)
3. Questions regarding the future
 - a. close-ended type
Sa inyong palagay, ano po ang magiging uri ng inyong buhay sa susunod na taon (1998)? Masasabi ba ninyo na ito ay bubuti, pareho lang, sasama?
Ano naman po sa inyong palagay ang magiging uri ng inyong buhay limang taon mula ngayon (2002)? Masasabi ba ninyo na ito ay bubuti, pareho lang, sasama?
Alin sa mga bagay na aking babanggitin ang magkakaroon ng pinakamalakas na impluwensiya sa magiging uri ng inyong buhay sa susunod na taon (1998): sariling sikap, pagbabago sa politikal na sitwasyon sa bansa, o pagbabago sa pambansang ekonomiya?
Alin sa mga bagay na aking babanggitin ang magkakaroon ng pinakamalakas na impluwensiya sa magiging uri ng inyong buhay sa limang taon mula ngayon (2002): sariling sikap, pagbabago sa politikal na sitwasyon sa bansa, o pagbabago sa pambansang ekonomiya?
 - b. Ladder scale
Sa kard naman na ito ay makikita ang isang hagdan na may sampung baitang na ang pinakamataas na baitang (10) ay

nagsasaad ng PINAKAMAGANDANG URI NG BUHAY. Saang baitang ninyo ilalagay ang inyong sarili sa: kasalukuyan (1997)? Susunod na taon (1998)? Limang taon mula ngayon (2002)? Saang baitang naman ninyo ilalagay ang inyong pamilya sa: kasalukuyan (1997)? Susunod na taon (1998)? Limang taon mula ngayon (2002)?

The Social Weather Station has used self-ratings of poverty as another indicator. In comparing the self-rating approach with the poverty incidence, the former tends to be higher than the latter (Mangahas, 1988a:8 and Arroyo, 1990c as cited in SyCip, Asis, and Luna, 1993). As discussed below, the results of the 1997 survey also show the same trend. Respondents' self-ratings of their individual and family economic status are shown in Table 7.

TABLE 7.
SELF-RATINGS OF ECONOMIC STATUS BY REGION
(PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION)

	NCR	Pang	Iloilo	Zamb	ALL
INDIVIDUAL					
Not poor	27.3	18.1	9.4	5.7	15.1
On the line	36.0	44.1	30.4	29.7	35.1
Poor	36.7	37.8	60.2	64.7	49.8
FAMILY					
Not poor	27.1	17.7	11.4	8.3	16.1
On the line	41.5	47.5	35.6	33.3	39.5
Poor	31.4	34.8	53.0	58.3	44.4

In general, respondents' self-ratings of their economic status hewed closely to their perceptions of their family's economic status. Contrary to the pattern observed by the Social Weather Station, the self-ratings obtained from the 1997 survey tend to be more optimistic than that suggested by the poverty incidence. The national picture presented here suggests a poverty incidence of 44.4 percent compared with 35.5 percent (based on 1994 as the reference period) reported by the National Statistical Coordinating Board (as of 8 January 1998). The regional picture shows considerable variation. The most optimistic picture

is obtained for NCR where less than a third (31.4 percent) considered their families poor. Pangasinan respondents, too, generally saw their families in a favorable economic situation, with a little over a third (34.8 percent) perceiving their families to be poor. NCR and Pangasinan also had more respondents who considered themselves and/or their families as not poor. The data for Iloilo and Zamboanga tend to be closer to generally known data on poverty incidence: more than half of Iloilo and Zamboanga respondents considered their families poor. These regional trends are consistent with the findings of a survey undertaken by Pulse Asia in May and June of this year, although the percentage considering themselves to be poor or very poor appears to have risen tremendously to 70 percent (Miranda, 1999).

Responses to the 5-step ladder question further support the observation that Filipinos generally tend to be optimistic (Table 8). If we consider the two extreme response categories, Filipinos who are not at all happy are indeed a minority – only 4.1 percent of respondents placed themselves in this category, while about one in four respondents considered themselves very happy (26.1 percent). Across the regions, Iloilo had the highest percentage of respondents who considered themselves very happy, despite the fact that Iloilo also obtained the second highest percentage of respondents who considered themselves poor. The mean scores also bear this out. Except for Zamboanga, respondents from the other regions had mean scores of happiness way above the national score of 3.57.

TABLE 8.
SELF-RATINGS ON HAPPINESS BY REGION
(PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION)

	NCR	Pang	Iloilo	Zamb	ALL
1. Not all happy	1.7	4.0	6.4	4.4	4.1
2.	9.0	9.7	6.0	10.4	8.8
3.	39.3	35.1	24.2	50.3	37.2
4	29.3	23.7	25.5	25.8	26.1
5. Very happy	20.7	27.4	37.9	9.1	23.8
MEAN	3.58	3.61	3.83	3.25	3.57

Optimism regarding better things in the future is also suggested by the responses to the question of what respondents expected in the coming year (1998) and in five years' time (Table 9). In the immediate (1998) and in the not-so-distant future (2002), respondents expected things to turn for the better. Respondents looked to their own efforts as the factor that would exert the most influence on the quality of life they would have in the future. However, beyond 1998, more respondents cited changes in the country's political and economic situation as an important determinant of what their life would be like in the year 2002.

TABLE 9.
OUTLOOK AND KEY FACTOR FOR THE FUTURE BY REGION
(PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION)

Outlook: Future	NCR	Pang	Iloilo	Zamb	ALL
1998					
Worsen	0.0	2.3	3.2	0.0	1.4
Same	25.0	34.8	43.4	66.7	42.4
Better	75.0	62.9	53.4	33.3	56.2
2002					
Worsen	0.0	2.0	4.7	0.3	1.7
Same	18.7	25.7	31.5	47.7	30.9
Better	81.3	72.3	63.8	52.0	67.4
Key Factor					
1998					
Own efforts	77.3	81.9	68.2	88.0	78.9
Pol. situation	6.0	7.0	8.4	4.7	6.5
Econ. situation	16.7	11.0	23.3	7.3	14.6
2002					
Own efforts	60.5	68.6	62.1	84.3	69.0
Pol. situation	11.0	11.0	11.4	5.0	9.6
Econ. situation	28.4	20.1	26.6	10.7	21.4

The last set of global measures, presented in Table 10, is a ladder scale of respondents' perceptions of the quality of their life – personally and that of their family's – currently (1997), a year after (1998), and five years hence (2002). The future holds much promise for better times for all respondents: expectations are that 1998 would be better than the present time, and furthermore, that 2002 would even be better than 1998. In general, respondents' assessment of their family's future rates higher than their expectations for themselves.

TABLE 10.
PERCEPTIONS OF QUALITY OF LIFE, 1997, 1998, AND 2002, BY REGION
(PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION)

	NCR	Pang	Iloilo	Zamb	ALL
SELF					
Current	5.54	6.02	6.03	5.40	5.75
1998	6.63	6.78	6.73	6.52	6.66
2002	8.00	7.65	7.68	7.70	7.76
FAMILY					
Current	5.86	6.21	6.29	5.70	6.01
1998	6.93	7.05	6.88	6.77	6.91
2002	8.21	7.86	7.86	7.97	7.98

The Filipino Well-Being: Importance and Satisfaction Ratings

We turn now to the importance that respondents attached to the components defining the different domains of well-being. For each component, respondents were asked, "*Gaano po kahalaga sa inyo ang mga sumusunod upang masasabi ninyong maganda ang inyong buhay?*" The degree or the level of importance was measured by a four-point scale: (1) *hindi mahalaga*, (2) *medyo mahalaga*, (3) *maalalaga*, at (4) *maalalagang-mahalaga*.

Inasmuch as the various components are part of a basket of goods that contribute to well-being, all the 102 components may be regarded as important.

TABLE 11.
TOP 20 COMPONENTS: MAHALAGANG-MAHALAGA

Rank	Component	%	Domain
1	Faith in God	78.9	Spiritual
2	Prayer and reflection	74.7	Spiritual
3	Long life	68.4	Physical Health
4	Doing good to others	68.2	Spiritual
5	Going to church activities	67.3	Spiritual
6	Good marital relations	66.7	Social Relationships
7	Love of spouse	66.1	Social Relationships
8	Love of children	65.2	Social Relationships
9	Regular food	61.5	Physical Health
10	House ownership	60.9	Savings and Wealth
11	Being with children	60.4	Social Relationships
12.5	Respect from family	59.3	Mental Health
12.5	Love of parents & siblings	59.3	Social Relationships
14	Being Filipino	59.2	Government Performance
15	Nutritious food	58.5	Physical Health
16	Being with spouse	58.2	Social Relationships
17	Freedom from sickness	58.1	Physical Health
18.5	Having children	58.0	Social Relationships
18.5	Ability to read & write	58.0	Information & Knowledge
20	Security from crime	57.0	Government Performance

An analysis of means and percentages bears this out (Appendices E and F), where the absolute differences are generally small. To identify the most important components among this basket of goods, we present the top 20 components that were considered *mahalagang-mahalaga* by the respondents.

The top 20 components considered *mahalagang-mahalaga* to well-being cluster around seven domains: spiritual, physical health, social relationships, economic, housing and neighborhood, mental health, and government performance. Maslow's hierarchy of needs as well as the minimum basic needs approach assumes that basic needs must be addressed first before individuals aspire to meet other needs. The data below indicate that certain basic concerns - shelter, food - are indeed essential to have a good life, but if we consider the rankings, these essentials are preceded by other components. Spiritual components dominate the top five considered by respondents *mahalagang-mahalaga* to have a good life: faith in God, prayer and reflection, doing good to others, and going to church activities. Next to spiritual concerns, social relationships, i.e., those pertaining to the web of family relationships, pervade the list of what respondents consider very important to their well-being. Seven of the top 20 components are part of the domain of Social Relationships.

The idea that almost all of these components are important to well-being is supported by the high percentage of respondents who agreed that these are at least important (i.e., the combined percentage of respondents who said the item was *mahalaga* or *mahalagang-mahalaga*) to have a good life. Except for 14 items (see complete list in Appendix F) which obtained less than 80 percent of respondents saying it is important or very important, the rest of the components garnered at least 80 percent of respondents who considered them important or very important.

Combining the responses of *mahalagang-mahalaga* and *mahalaga* yields a slightly different picture of the hierarchy of importance. The same domains dominate the top 20 list, but the rankings of the individual components have shifted (the complete listing can be found in Appendix F). The first three components, for example, do not only signify changes in rankings, they also pertain to different components. It is also important to note that although "being Filipino" figured in the earlier list, it rose to rank number three in the new list. Common to both lists is the observation that basic needs do not seem to be the topmost priority in the Filipinos' conception of important things that contribute to their well-being.

TABLE 12.**TOP 20 COMPONENTS: MAHALAGANG-MAHALAGA AND MAHALAGA**

Rank	Component	%	Domain
1	Respect from family	99.9	Mental Health
2	Faith in God	99.5	Spiritual
3	Being Filipino	98.9	Government Performance
5	Prayer and reflection	98.8	Spiritual
5	Love of parents,siblings	98.8	Social Relationships
5	Regular food	98.8	Physical Health
8	House ownership	98.6	Savings and Wealth
8	Security from crime	98.6	Government Performance
8	Unity of Filipinos	98.6	Government Performance
10.5	Love of children	98.5	Social Relationships
10.5	Long life	98.5	Physical Health
12.5	Peace of mind	98.4	Mental Health
12.5	Doing good to others	98.4	Spiritual
14	Good marital relations	98.1	Social Relationships
15	Being with children	98.0	Social Relationships
16	Nutritious food	97.9	Physical Health
17	Love of spouse	97.8	Social Relationships
18	Regular water	97.5	Housing and Neighborhood
19	Clean surroundings	97.4	Housing and Neighborhood
20	Share in decisionmaking	97.2	Social Relationships

If the above components pertain to the important requisites of the good life, what are the components which are not given much importance by Filipinos? In other words, if the top-ranking components are the things Filipinos could not do without if they were to have a good life, the list of the bottom 20 components suggests the components Filipinos could perhaps do without.

TABLE 13.
BOTTOM 20 COMPONENTS: MAHALAGANG-MAHALAGA

Rank	Component	%	Domain
20	Learn from other sources	28.5	Information and Knowledge
19	Regular exercise	27.5	Physical Health
18	Leisure w/ parents, sibs	27.0	Leisure
17	News from media	25.2	Leisure
16	High position at work	24.9	Employment and Working Life
15	Time for rest	24.4	Leisure
14	Being w/ friends	22.6	Social Relationships
13	Length of work time	21.2	Employment and Working Life
12	Household member works abroad	20.1	Employment and Working Life
11	Ownership of vehicle	19.9	Savings and Wealth
10	Join in comm org	19.0	Political Participation
9	Telephone	17.7	Housing and Neighborhood
8	Time alone	15.3	Leisure
7	Access to credit	14.2	Savings and Wealth
6	Leisure from media	13.0	Leisure
5	Leisure w/ friends	11.8	Leisure
4	Drug use	10.1	Physical Health
3	Play <i>jueteng</i> , lotto	1.9	Leisure
2	Smoking	1.7	Physical Health
1	Drinking	0.7	Physical Health

Combining the ratings of *maalalagang-mahalaga* and *maalalaga* (see list below), the bottom 20 components which garnered the lowest percentages are fairly consistent with the list above. Leisure is one domain that has the most entries in the bottom 20 lists, suggesting that for the respondents, these concerns are among the least of their priorities. Economic-related domains (i.e., Employment and Working Life, and Savings and Wealth) also figure rather prominently in the

bottom 20 lists. As regards Employment and Working Life, it would seem that respondents were not that concerned about work conditions (particularly the length of working time) nor prestige. The domain of Social Relationships was well-represented in the top 20 components, but these were confined to family relationships. The supreme importance of the family to respondents is in striking contrast to the importance of friends in their lives (i.e., being with friends and leisure with friends are among the components considered as *mahalagang-mahalaga* by less than a quarter of all respondents). We should note, however, that practically all 102 items contained in the 'basket of goods' were at least considered to be important (*mahalaga*) by the respondents, except for those that pertained to what are usually considered as 'vices' (drug use, drinking, smoking, games of chance) and a sometimes hard-to-secure convenience even in Metro Manila – a telephone (i.e., these were the items with less than 50 percent marking them as important or very important to their well-being).

Respondents' level of satisfaction with the components of well-being was measured on a five-point scale: (1) *lubos na hindi nasisiyahan*, (2) *hindi nasisiyahan*, (3) *maaaring nasisiyahan*, *maaaring hindi nasisiyahan*, (4) *nasisiyahan*, (5) *lubos na nasisiyahan*. As with the importance ratings, a majority of respondents usually indicated that they were either *lubos na nasisiyahan* or *nasisiyahan* with most components of well-being. In order to distinguish those who may have been truly *lubos na nasisiyahan* from those who simply tended to give a positive response, the discussion will be limited to those who answered *lubos na nasisiyahan* (although the list of those who answered either *lubos na nasisiyahan* or *nasisiyahan* is also provided below). Much like the importance ratings, the Spiritual and Social Relationships domains are those which respondents are most satisfied with. These two domains suggest that the sources of life satisfaction for most Filipinos seem to be anchored on relationships – vertically, with a Supreme Being, and horizontally, with their circle of family members (particularly relationships with one's spouse and children).

Equally telling are the domains not represented among the top 20, namely, government performance, leisure and spare time activities, political participation, savings and wealth, and housing and quality of neighborhood. These are the ones that are represented among the bottom 20 components in importance. Low satisfaction levels with government performance, for example, were obtained in delivery of services, police efficiency, and in promoting both a stable and progressive national and local economy.

TABLE 14.
BOTTOM 20 COMPONENTS: MAHALAGANG-MAHALAGA
AND MAHALAGA

Rank	Component	%	Domain
21	Length of work time	85.3	Employment & Working Life
21	Leisure w/ parents and sibs	85.3	Leisure
21	Learn from other sources	85.3	Information and Knowledge
19	Attended good school	85.2	Information and Knowledge
17.5	Freedom from debt	84.0	Savings and Wealth
17.5	News from media	84.0	Leisure
16	Furniture and appliances	83.5	Savings and Wealth
15	Join in community orgs	80.4	Political Participation
14	Being w/ friends	77.5	Social Relationships
13	Regular exercise	77.3	Physical Health
12	High position at work	75.6	Employment & Working Life
11	Time alone	72.1	Leisure
10	Leisure w/ media	66.5	Leisure
9	Leisure w/ friends	64.3	Leisure
8	Household member works abroad	59.3	Employment & Working Life
7	Access to credit	56.6	Savings and Wealth
6	Ownership of vehicle	56.4	Savings and Wealth
5	Telephone	48.4	Housing & Neighborhood
4	Drug use (non-use)	14.8	Physical Health
3	Play jueteng, lotto	10.8	Leisure
2	Smoking	8.6	Physical Health
1	Drinking	4.7	Physical Health

TABLE 15.
TOP 20 COMPONENTS: *LUBOS NA NASISIYAHAN*

Rank	Component	%	Domain
1	Faith in God	68.3	Spiritual
2	Prayer and reflection	64.7	Spiritual
3	Love of spouse	61.5	Social Relationships
4	Having children	60.9	Social Relationships
5	Good marital relationship	59.9	Social Relationships
6	Love of children	59.4	Social Relationships
7	Going to church activities	59.0	Spiritual
8	Having a spouse	53.8	Social Relationships
9	Being w/children	51.7	Social Relationships
10	Long life	49.1	Physical Health
11	Love of parents and sibs	48.7	Social Relationships
12	Doing good to others	48.2	Spiritual
13	House ownership	47.2	Savings and Wealth
14	Being w/ spouse	47.0	Social Relationships
15	Being Filipino	46.8	Government Performance
16	Freedom from sickness	45.4	Physical Health
17	Nutritious food	43.4	Physical Health
18	Share in decision-making	43.0	Social Relationships
19	Respect from family	42.2	Mental Health
20	Legal work	40.9	Employment & Working Life

Components of well-being which did not obtain high ratings of satisfaction suggest areas of life which may be in need of improvement, particularly if they also happen to count among the components that respondents consider most important to their well-being. Considering the tendency of Filipinos to respond positively, the low ratings respondents attached to these components may suggest very serious gaps and barriers in the attainment of the good life. From the list below, a few general observations can be drawn. Eight of 11 domains are among the components that respondents said they were not that happy with, including Leisure (5), Government Performance (4), Political Participation (3), Physical Health (2), Savings and Wealth (2), and one each for Housing and Neighborhood, Social Relationships, Information and Knowledge, and Savings

and Wealth. As the list below suggests, the least satisfaction was reported with items in the domains of leisure and savings and wealth (particularly those that have to do with access to credit and freedom from debt). Comparing these results with the 1993 Laguna survey, it is interesting to note that in the earlier survey, the components that registered the least satisfaction were those requiring government intervention (i.e., local economy, national economy, police efficiency, speed in the delivery of government services, efficient national officials, efficient local officials and efficient judiciary). Although some of these also figured among the components that respondents were least satisfied with, their rankings have shifted.

TABLE 16.
TOP 20 COMPONENTS: LUBOS NA NASISIYAHAN AND NASISIYAHAN

Rank	Component	%	Domain
1	Faith in God	99.1	Spiritual
2	Love of children	98.4	Social Relationships
3	Having children	98.2	Social Relationships
4	Prayer and reflection	98.0	Spiritual
5	Being w/ children	97.0	Social Relationships
6	Respect from family	96.9	Mental Health
7	Regular food	96.8	Physical Health
8	Long life	96.6	Physical Health
9.5	Good marital relationship	96.5	Social Relationships
9.5	Love of spouse	96.5	Social Relationships
11	Being Filipino	96.3	Government Performance
12	Love of parents and sibs	96.0	Social Relationships
13	Nutritious food	95.9	Physical Health
14	Importance given to self	95.1	Mental Health
15	Share in decision-making	94.7	Social Relationships
16.5	House ownership	94.6	Savings and wealth
16.5	Peace of mind	94.6	Mental Health
18	Doing good to others	94.3	Spiritual
19.5	Drug use (non-use)	94.2	Physical Health
19.5	Mental alertness	94.2	Mental Health

Unlike the components that respondents said they were most happy with – mainly their relationship with God and family – many of those that they were not satisfied with fall under institutions that are outside the ambit of their control: access to credit, news and leisure from the media, the state of the local and national economy, government services, police efficiency. Several components also received low ratings of satisfaction although they were not important to the respondents' well-being. For instance, respondents were still asked to assess their satisfaction with the use of drugs, smoking, and playing *jueteng*, lotto and similar games, even if most respondents generally considered these components not important to their well-being. A more detailed discussion linking importance and satisfaction ratings is presented in the next section.

TABLE 17.
BOTTOM 20 COMPONENTS: *LUBOS NA NASISIYAHAN*

Rank	Component	%	Domain
20	Fast delivery of government services	15.6	Government Performance
19	Police efficiency	15.4	Government Performance
18	Telephone	15.1	Housing & Neighborhood
17	Learn from other sources	15.0	Information & Knowledge
16	Drinking	14.9	Physical Health
15	Stable/prog natl economy	14.8	Government Performance
13.5	Being w/ friends	14.2	Social Relationships
13.5	Freedom to express pol idea	14.2	Political Participation
12	Join in community org	14.0	Political Participation
11	Stable/prog local economy	13.6	Government Performance
10	Aware natl events	13.3	Political Participation
9	Time alone	13.1	Leisure
8	News from media	13.0	Leisure
7	Length of work time	12.9	Employment & Working Life
6	Leisure w/ friends	11.4	Leisure
5	Leisure from media	10.7	Leisure
4	Access to credit	7.5	Savings and Wealth
3	Smoking	4.3	Physical Health
2	Freedom from debt	3.5	Savings and Wealth
1	Play <i>jueteng</i> , lotto	3.2	Leisure

Importance and Satisfaction: How Well Do They Match?

Having identified the components that are important to their well-being and their assessment of these components, we now consider importance and satisfaction jointly. Such an approach would provide insights on the match between importance and satisfaction. Included in the analysis are the highest ratings, *very important* and *very satisfied*, with 50 percent as the cut-off point. This joint comparison yields four outcomes, as shown in the table below. Of particular interest are the outcomes which we refer to here as “success zones” (quadrant II) and “danger zones” (quadrant IV). The former (success zones) contain components which respondents considered very important to their well-being, and which they were also very satisfied with. The latter (danger zones), on the other hand, refer to those components which respondents considered very important but with which they were not very satisfied. The two other quadrants, named here as “potential success zones” and “potential danger zones” are those components where the mismatch between importance and satisfaction may not have immediate implications for interventions or programs (particularly quadrant III). It is possible that over time or under changed circumstances, some of the things that were not considered important may assume importance. If these components are presently rated as very satisfactory, an upward shift in their importance ratings, assuming satisfaction ratings remain high, would mean a shift to quadrant II. On the other hand, an upward shift in importance ratings in quadrant III, assuming satisfaction ratings are unchanged, would mean a move into quadrant IV.

A. Housing and Quality of Neighborhood

In general, although several components of this domain are very important to more than half of the respondents (except in Pangasinan and Zamboanga where the importance ratings were below 50 percent), the components generally had low satisfaction ratings – i.e., all the components received ‘very satisfied’ ratings from less than 50 percent of all respondents.

The national picture indicates that four components are very important to the Filipino well-being (according to importance): water supply, clean surroundings, security from crime, and toilet. In terms of satisfaction, these four primary components have less than 35 percent of respondents who rated them as very satisfactory. Of the four, security from crime had the highest ranking, followed by clean surroundings, water supply and toilet facilities. All other

TABLE 18.
QUADRANTS FOR SUCCESS AND DANGER

Component Very satisfied with?	Component Very important?	
	Below 50%	Above 50%
	I	II
	"Potential success zone "	"Success zone"
Above 50%	Components rated imp. by less than 50% & sat. by more than 50%	Components both rated imp. & sat. by 50% or more
	III	IV
	"Potential danger zone"	"Danger zone"
Below 50%	Components both rated imp. & sat. by less than 50%	Components rated imp. By 50% more & rated sat. by 50% or less

components under this domain were considered very satisfactory by less than half of all respondents. Aside from material conditions, it appears that good neighborly relations also leave room for improvement. The one component that ranks very low in terms of importance and satisfaction is having a telephone.

Regional variations in this domain suggest interesting contrasts. Less than half of Pangasinan and Zamboanga respondents considered all components under housing very important and very satisfactory. For NCR and Iloilo respondents, a mismatch between importance and satisfaction points to several components needing attention. In NCR, there are nine out of 13 components considered very important by more than 50 percent of respondents; but less than 35 percent rated them as very satisfactory. Some very basic social services are among the components which obtained low satisfaction ratings (e.g., water supply was important to more than 70 percent of respondents but less than 25 percent said they were very satisfied with it). Relationship with neighbors ranked lowest both in terms of importance (about 51 percent) and satisfaction

(about 17 percent rated it as very satisfactory). Another reflection of the urban character of NCR is suggested by the response pattern to telephone ownership. It is only in NCR where the telephone ranked higher in importance and satisfaction (though still below 50 percent). In Iloilo, 10 of 13 components under housing fall under the "danger zone." Although it is less urbanized than Metro Manila, it is interesting to note that relationship with neighbors (which ranked third highest in importance in this domain), ranked second lowest in terms of satisfaction among Iloilo respondents.

B. Employment and Quality of Working Life

Of the 16 variables under this domain, four fall under the "danger zone," namely, safe workplace, regular income, permanent job, and legal work. These four had more than 50 percent of respondents who considered them very important. Satisfaction-wise, regular income is lowest (about 21 percent said they were satisfied with this component) followed by having a permanent job (with satisfaction ratings of about 27 percent), safe workplace (with about 29 percent satisfaction ratings), and legal work (with about 42 percent satisfaction ratings). The roots of dissatisfaction in this domain seem to derive from job insecurity, as indicated by the minority of respondents (22 to 27 percent) who were very satisfied with their regular income and permanent job. It may be recalled that 51.3 percent of respondents were not working at the time of interview, and of those who were working, 64.4 percent had permanent jobs. Concerning the importance of and satisfaction with legal work, this may be related to their involvement in the informal economy, rather than with income-generating activities that contravene the law. A fifth component, high income, had 50 percent of respondents considering it very important but only about 16 percent who were very satisfied with it. The majority of components obtained less than a quarter of respondents who were very satisfied with them, and at the same time, there were less than 50 percent of respondents who considered them to be very important.

By region, this domain was not important for half of Pangasinan and Zamboanga respondents. NCR respondents would seem to require more from their work compared to other respondents, including the need to have more income (this also showed up among Iloilo respondents) as well as non-monetary considerations such as the possibility of learning other skills from one's job.

C. Savings, Wealth and Assets

House ownership, land ownership and being able to save were deemed important by more than half of respondents, but they had 'very satisfied' ratings of less than 50 percent (about 49 percent were very satisfied with house ownership and only 22 percent were very satisfied with their ability to save). These three are components that are important to most Filipinos, but which, judging from the low satisfaction ratings, are beyond the reach of many, particularly the capacity to save. Although the rest of the components were considered to be very important by less than 50 percent of respondents, and also had 'very satisfied' ratings below 40 percent, two components – access to credit and freedom from debt – reflect the economic vulnerability of most respondents. Only nine percent expressed satisfaction with their access to credit (11 percent considered it very important), and about 4 percent were very satisfied with their debt situation (41 percent considered it very important). These two components obtained similar rankings across all regions. NCR respondents seemed to be the only ones who scored favorably on land ownership and house ownership (under "success zones").

D. Social Relationships

The nuclear family is a class by itself among the many significant others in the Filipino's web of social relationships. It is also quite evident that for these respondents, it is an important aspect of their well-being. Thus, the majority of respondents are married (Table 19), although to a slightly lesser extent in NCR (78 and 57 percent for NCR females and males respectively as compared with 81 and 70 percent for females and males overall). Generally, females had a higher probability of being married (81 percent for females vs. 70 percent for males), although in Zamboanga, females and males had an almost equal probability of being married (83 and 84 percent for females and males).

TABLE 19.
PERCENTAGE MARRIED BY REGION

	NCR	Pangasinan	Iloilo	Zamboanga	Overall
Females	78	83	81	83	81
Males	57	69	71	84	70

Love of spouse, a good marital relationship, love of children, having children, being with children and having a spouse were considered both very important and very satisfactory by the majority of respondents. As the graphs in Appendix I (Figures 4.1 to 4.5) show, relationships and time spent with the nuclear family are considered far more important and satisfying when compared against love of parents and siblings, love of relatives, love of friends and being with friends, all of which were rated very important by less than half of respondents, and at the same time regarded as very satisfactory by less than half of respondents. Aspects of social relationships that need some reexamination are the love of parents/siblings, being with spouse and share in decision-making. The pattern of responses for NCR and Zamboanga respondents closely parallels that of the national pattern. Contrary to the general perception that the importance of the family may be eroded in urban contexts, relationships in the nuclear family seem to be very central to the well-being of NCR respondents. It is also in NCR where there is a marked absence of components in the "danger zone." Among the regions, Iloilo respondents seem to have the most problems in this area. The family rates high in importance among Iloilo respondents, but between 35 to 49 percent reported they were not satisfied with relationship or time spent with members of their nuclear family.

E. Leisure and Spare Time Activities

As the previous discussion underscored, this domain does not rank among the priorities of respondents. For the country as a whole, this domain falls in the "potential danger zone" – it is considered important by less than 50 percent of respondents, and at the same time, less than 40 percent said they were very satisfied with its various components. The importance of the family is evident even in leisure activities. The leisure activities that ranked relatively high in satisfaction are those spent with children, spouse and parents/siblings (between 29 to 38 percent), while those spent for rest, time alone, leisure derived from the media and friends, and playing games of chance rated much lower in satisfaction (between 3 and 19 percent). Similar trends were obtained among the regions, except in the NCR, where leisure with children is the lone component that is part of the "success zone."

F. Physical Health

Food, something so basic a need and a requisite to existence, is a component considered satisfactory by only about 40 percent of respondents (specifically, being able to eat three meals in a day). It also appears that having nutritious food falls short of the desired as less than half (45 percent) rated this component as satisfactory. These two components, along with freedom from sickness and the desire to have a long life, fall in the “danger zone.” Being able to sleep soundly and exercising regularly, for most respondents, were not considered very important to their well being nor were they very satisfied with these components at the time of interview. Across the regions, NCR respondents are the exception in that they had higher satisfaction ratings for the said components. In fact, these components fall in the “success zone” for NCR respondents. What they considered a threat to their physical health is not being able to sleep soundly: although majority considered this to be very important, only 45 percent said they were very satisfied with this component. Respondents in Iloilo and Pangasinan were also very satisfied with the prospect of having a long life, but they had to do more to address the threats of food and sickness. In contrast, the physical health of respondents in Zainboanga seemed to be less threatened by food and sickness. The prospect of having a long life is, however, in the “danger zone,” with about 29 percent of respondents saying that they were very satisfied with this component.

G. Religion and Spiritual Life

Three out of four components in this domain are part of the “success zone.” Between 58 to 68 percent considered the following components – faith in God, prayer and reflection, and going to church activities – as both very important and very satisfactory. Doing good to others rated high in importance (about 68 percent), but fell short of 50 percent (about 46 percent) in terms of satisfaction, suggesting that this is perhaps one area that many respondents may wish to give more attention to. Similar patterns can be observed across the different regions. Iloilo somewhat departs from the general patterns in terms of having lower percentages of respondents who considered faith in God, prayer and reflection, and going to church activities as very important and very satisfactory.

H. Psychological/Mental Health and Emotional Life

None of the components in this domain clearly ended up in the "success zone." Only 'respect from family' comes somewhat close with 42.2 percent indicating that they were 'very satisfied.' Though there is just this one item that seems on the 'verge' of success, it seems to be a promising sign considering the centrality of the family to the respondents' sense of well-being. In fact, more respondents found respect from family to be very important as compared with respect from others (59.2 vs. 45.8 percent) and importance given to the self (43.8 percent). In terms of satisfaction ratings, all the other components were clearly below the 50 percent cut-off for satisfaction. These other components require greater self-reflection from the respondents and focus more on self-development aspects. These results may therefore indicate that most respondents found satisfaction primarily from their relations with others rather than with being able to better understand themselves or assert their own ideas. Similar patterns can be observed for the other regions, except in Zamboanga where not a single component in this domain ranked among the very important and very satisfactory ones to respondents.

I. Information and Knowledge

Although the Philippines used to have high literacy levels compared to other Asian countries, this advantage has been narrowed down by the remarkable progress that other Asian countries have achieved in education. For the respondents of the 1997 survey, 32 percent either had no education or had only reached the elementary grades, 39 percent had completed high school or

TABLE 20.
EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT OF RESPONDENTS

	None-elementary		High school, vocational		College+	
NCR	45	(15.2)	114	(38.4)	138	(46.5)
Pangasinan	79	(27.7)	139	(48.8)	67	(23.5)
Iloilo	111	(37.8)	109	(37.1)	74	(25.2)
Zamboanga	140	(47.3)	94	(31.8)	62	(20.9)
Overall	375	(32.0)	456	(38.9)	341	(29.1)

(Percentages in parenthesis)

had some vocational training, and 29 percent had some college or more. The differences across regions were quite striking as 46.5 percent of NCR respondents had some college or more as compared to only 20.9 percent of those from Zamboanga (see Table 20). On the other hand, very few respondents from NCR had only an elementary education or less (15 percent) whereas close to half of those from Zamboanga had only attained this much education if at all (47 percent). NCR respondents tended to have the highest educational attainments, followed by those from Pangasinan and then Iloilo. Those from Zamboanga were definitely at a disadvantage in terms of their educational attainment.

In terms of their perceptions, the ability to read and write and being able to finish college are important to respondents, although they rank low in terms of satisfaction, particularly for the item on the attainment of a college education (26 percent – note that this is close to the percentage who actually had some college education). Being able to apply knowledge learned from school, the quality of education (as indicated by attending a good school), and the opportunity to learn from other sources were neither very important nor very satisfactory to respondents.

In light of the above distribution for the educational attainment of the respondents, their sentiments as expressed in their satisfaction ratings are understandable. The educational advantage enjoyed by NCR respondents (i.e., by virtue of access to education and other sources of learning) is also manifested in their subjective perceptions. Thus, NCR respondents had much higher satisfaction levels with having the ability to read and write (the only region where it exceeded 50 percent). Only 32 percent of NCR respondents, however, were very satisfied with the attainment of a college education, perhaps indicating higher aspiration levels to go with the better learning opportunities. It is also only among NCR respondents where the application of knowledge learned is problematic, as suggested by the low satisfaction ratings (28 percent) in tandem with high importance ratings (52 percent). Among Zamboanga respondents, all the components fall in the “danger zone.”

J. Political Participation

Political participation rated poorly in importance (between 19 and 31 percent) vis-à-vis even poorer satisfaction (between 13 and 17 percent). Although the rankings of the four components vary across the regions, the data consistently show the low importance respondents attached to political

participation, and their even lower sense of satisfaction in activities pertaining to this domain.

K. Government Performance

In the domain of government performance, the components are either in the "danger zone" (n=4) or the "potential danger zone." The four components which are part of the "danger zone" include being Filipino, security from crime, being far from war or confrontation, and unity of Filipinos. The low satisfaction levels for being Filipino and unity of Filipinos would seem to suggest the perceived need to strengthen both the individual as well as collective identity as Filipinos. It is interesting to note that these issues were deemed to be more problematic by respondents than the state of the economy, the political situation, the justice system, gender relations, and government services. Although these components were rather important to respondents, only 13 to 20 percent rated them as very satisfactory (except peaceful vicinity which obtained a satisfaction rating of 25 percent). It is possible that the low satisfaction respondents had with these components may have affected their assessment of their importance. Among the regions, NCR, Zamboanga and Pangasinan each has one component that respondents considered both important and satisfactory: being Filipino for NCR and Zamboanga, and security from crime for Pangasinan. Iloilo respondents, on the other hand, considered eight components as very important but which were not that satisfactory, suggesting the need for intervention in the areas of being Filipino, security from crime, being far from war or confrontation, unity of Filipinos, peaceful vicinity, police efficiency, efficient justice system and fast government services.

To summarize, it is only in the domains of social relationships and religion and spiritual life where there is a high level of correspondence between importance and satisfaction. At the other extreme are leisure and spare time activities and political participation which respondents did not seem to care strongly about. Less than 50 percent of respondents regarded them as either very important or very satisfactory. All the other domains had one or several components which call for some intervention considering their importance to respondents' sense of well-being vis-à-vis low satisfaction ratings. These domains and their particular components are listed in Table 21.

TABLE 21.
SUMMARY OF DOMAINS AND THEIR COMPONENTS
REQUIRING INTERVENTION

Domain	Component
Housing and quality of neighborhood	security from crime, clean surroundings, water supply
Employment and quality of working life	legal work, safe workplace, permanent job, regular income
Savings, wealth and assets	house ownership, land ownership, to save
Physical health	long life, freedom from sickness, nutritious food, regular food
Information and knowledge	ability to read and write, to finish college
Government performance	being Filipino, security from crime, far from war confrontation, unity of Filipinos

Factor Analysis of Importance Ratings

To validate the original well-being dimensions identified, a factor analysis on the importance ratings for the 102 items was performed. Only the importance ratings were used because not all respondents were asked about their satisfaction with each of the items. That is, only those items that seemed applicable to them were asked (i.e., unmarried respondents were not asked about their satisfaction with marital life or children and non-working respondents were not asked about their satisfaction with work-related items).

A principal components analysis with a varimax rotation (for simple structure) was performed. Based on the loadings from the rotated matrix, scales

were constructed to represent each of the identified factors. Items that loaded most highly on a factor were included in that particular scale even though the loading may have been less than .500 (i.e., factor loadings as low as .304 were used when the item in question did not appear to load on any other factor). After the items belonging to each scale were identified through the factor analysis, scale reliabilities were computed using coefficient alpha. The results of the factor and reliability analyses are presented in Appendices J and K and in Tables 20 and 21.

TABLE 22.
SUMMARY OF FACTOR AND RELIABILITY ANALYSIS

Factor No.	Factor Label	No. of Items	Alpha
1	Government performance*	14	.9476
2	Nuclear family relations	8	.9039
3	Housing	8	.8661
4	Work - 'extras'	8	.8499
5	Mental health*	8	.8843
6	Status	8	.7780
7	Spirituality*	4	.8770
8	Social relations - extended	5	.8314
9	Leisure - social aspect	5	.7661
10	Political participation*	4	.8041
11	Health**	7	.6982
12	Education*	5	.8211
13	Work - 'basics'	5	.8069
14	Security	6	.7253
15	Leisure - activities***	3	.6278

* These scales are exactly the same as the original dimensions (i.e., no changes in item content occurred).

** The alpha for this scale goes up to .7281 if the item on 'drug use' is removed. This item had very low loadings on all of the factors and appeared to define a dimension of its own - though its highest loading was with the items that made up this factor.

*** The alpha for this scale goes up to .6811 if the item 'playing *jueteng*/lotto' is dropped. Again, however, this item had its highest loading with the other two items defining this factor (smoking and drinking).

The reliability analysis indicated that the various subscales identified contained items that correlated well with each other (i.e., most alphas ranged from a high of .9476 to a low of .7253, with only two alphas falling below .70). In fact, for almost all of the subscales identified, removal of any item from the scale would have resulted in the lowering of alpha (indicating that the item was contributing significantly to the overall cohesion of the scale). The only exceptions occurred in those scales wherein items with loadings lower than .40 were included (i.e., health and leisure). The inter-item correlations also revealed some possible redundancy in item content so that in the future, some scales can be simplified by dropping some of the redundant items (i.e., importance ratings for the items on an efficient justice system and the fast handling of cases yielded a correlation of .7591 while the items on an efficient local and national government yielded a correlation of .7281).

TABLE 23.
ITEM LOADINGS FOR EACH FACTOR IDENTIFIED

Factor 1: Government performance

Peaceful vicinity	.633
Security from crime	.655
Far from crime/confrontation	.658
Efficient local govt officials	.701
Efficient national govt officials	.752
Stable/progressive local economy	.759
Stable/progressive national economy	.778
Fast handling of cases	.739
Efficient justice system	.775
Police efficiency	.740
Fast govt services	.743
Equality among men and women	.610
Being Filipino	.534
Unity of Filipinos	.612

Factor 2 - Nuclear family relations

Having spouse	.702
Having children	.722

Good marital relations	.766
Love of spouse	.808
Share in decision-making	.444
Love of children	.725
Being with spouse	.741
Being with children	.704

Factor 3 – Housing

Sufficient space	.494
Sturdy house	.568
Toilet facility	.692
Regular water	.660
Regular electricity	.595
Clean surroundings	.657
Pollution-free environment	.646
Good relations with neighbors	.554

Factor 4 – Work, 'extras'

Job suited to education	.459
Good relations with one's boss	.646
Good relations, co-workers	.656
Praised at work	.610
Regular work schedule	.635
Length of work time	.589
Having a job that teaches skills	.586
Enough income for one's needs	.405

Factor 5 – Mental health

Giving importance to one's self	.565
Mental alertness	.555
Ability to decide for one's self	.606
Respect from one's family	.584
Respect from others	.487
Ability to carry one's problems	.591
Ability to face changes	.653
Peace of mind	.605

Factor 6 – Status

Telephone	.592
High position at work	.484
Having HH member working abroad	.521
Land ownership	.432
Having furniture/appliances	.553
Ownership of a vehicle	.638
Being able to save	.304
Having time alone	.336

Factor 7 – Spirituality

Faith in God	.747
Prayer & reflection	.805
Going to church/mosque	.748
Doing good to others	.651

Factor 8 – Social relations, extended

Love of parent/siblings	.428
Love of relatives	.679
Love of friends	.719
Being with parents/siblings	.567
Being with friends	.699

Factor 9 – Leisure, social aspects

Leisure with spouse	.693
Leisure with children	.649
Leisure with parents/siblings	.657
Leisure with friends	.591
Leisure from media	.449

Factor 10 – Political participation

Aware of national events	.584
Voter participation	.562
Freedom to express political ideas	.604
Joining community organizations	.633

Factor 11 – Health

Time for rest	.417
Regular exercise	.416
Long life	.511
Regular food	.560
Nutritious food	.486
Sound sleep	.530
Drug use	.319

Factor 12 – Education and knowledge

Ability to read and write	.395
Finishing college	.680
Attending a good school	.660
Learning from other sources	.428
Being able to apply learnings	.594

Factor 13 – Work, basic aspects

Having permanent job, job security	.404
Having a regular income	.482
Having a high income	.559
Working in a safe place	.409
Having legal work	.491

Factor 14 – Security

Tenure, security in housing	.368
Living in an accessible place	.383
Living in place secure from crime	.609
Living in place secure from calamities	.604
Freedom from debt	.339
Freedom from sickness, perm disability	.352

Factor 15 – Leisure, activities

Playing <i>jueteng</i> , lotto, etc.	.557
Smoking	.808
Drinking	.811

Fifteen subscales or dimensions were identified from the initial principal components analysis, using the point at which there was a noticeable drop in the eigen values for succeeding factors. There are thus three additional dimensions identified through the factor analysis, and from the varimax rotations, it would appear that this was due to a finer delineation in terms of items that had originally been considered to be part of the same dimension. On the whole, however, the factors that emerged seemed to validate to a large extent the dimensions that had been identified through the focus group discussions and survey of literature.

For the social relations dimension, those items having to do with immediate family (spouse and children) vs. those having to do with the more 'extended' relations (parents, other relatives, friends) loaded on different factors. Items that were originally together on the savings and wealth, work, and housing and environment dimensions also separated out into different factors. For example, items concerned with security from debt, from calamities, from illness, and security in one's housing loaded on the same factor although they were originally considered to belong to three separate dimensions. This seemed to indicate an underlying dimension concerning security from natural or man-made disasters.

Items on the work dimension also tended to separate into those that might be considered to be more basic to survival (i.e., permanent job, regular and high income and a safe workplace) vs. what one might expect in a more satisfying and pleasant work environment (i.e., good relations with one's co-workers and one's boss, a regular work schedule, appreciation for one's work, possession of appropriate skills, sufficient income) vs. those that might be more associated with status (high position). This last item tended to go together with other items that might have the same connotation (having a phone, land and home ownership, ownership of furniture and appliances, having a household member who works abroad).

Some items also appeared to have a different connotation from what was originally intended (i.e., time alone - originally under leisure, loaded with getting enough rest and sleep - under health; smoking and drinking loaded together with playing lotto and *jueteng* - implying a more recreational connotation to these behaviors - i.e., a way to pass the time rather than activities that might directly impinge upon one's health). What is interesting though is the finding that items from the following dimensions remained intact: government performance, mental health, spirituality, political participation, and education

and knowledge (*see* Table 23). This could indicate that these are really well-defined dimensions whose meanings transcend cultural and sociopolitical differences.

Total scores were computed to represent scale scores (i.e., importance ratings for each of the items in the scale were simply added together). These total scores were then standardized for the number of items belonging to each scale by dividing the total score by the number of items. The final scale scores can therefore be interpreted in terms of the original 4-point importance scale used. These scale scores were then compared across regions and across dimensions. Overall and regional means for these scale scores are found in Table 24 below. If 3.0 is to be used as a cut-off to distinguish important and very important dimensions from the rest, then the dimensions containing items having to do with status (2.91), social leisure activities (2.98) and leisure activities (1.29) appeared to be of little or much less importance to the respondents overall. Regional differences are also indicated in this table, though these are more clearly seen in the error plots found in Appendix L.

TABLE 24.
FACTOR MEANS BY PROVINCE

	NCR	PANG	ILO	ZAMB	ALL	RANK*
Government performance	3.71	3.36	3.45	3.25	3.44	4.5
Nuclear family relations	3.63	3.46	3.50	3.59	3.55	2
Housing	3.58	3.37	3.49	3.27	3.43	6
Work – ‘extras’	3.34	3.16	3.22	3.08	3.20	10
Mental health	3.63	3.34	3.43	3.35	3.44	4.5
Status	3.15	2.93	2.84	2.71	2.91	14
Spirituality	3.75	3.63	3.65	6.77	3.70	1
Social relations – extended	3.38	3.15	3.26	3.36	3.29	9
Leisure – social aspect	3.03	3.02	2.83	3.04	2.98	13
Political participation	3.26	2.98	3.11	3.06	3.10	11
Health	3.16	3.03	3.21	2.89	3.07	12
Education	3.49	3.35	3.28	3.10	3.31	8
Work – ‘basics’	3.65	3.34	3.42	3.38	3.45	3
Security	3.51	3.22	3.42	3.31	3.36	7
Leisure – activities	1.30	1.29	1.35	1.24	1.29	15

* Rankings are based on overall means.

Consistent with the findings from the analysis of percentage distributions for importance ratings, spirituality emerged as the most important factor, followed closely by social relations involving the nuclear family. Dimensions having to do with government performance, housing, mental health and basic work environment were a close third in terms of overall importance. Those involving security, education, extended social relations and the more 'hygienic' work factors tended to rate similarly in importance at fourth spot, while those involving leisure, health, status and political participation did not appear to be very important to the respondents.

There were also regional differences that emerged, which are clearly visible from the error plots (*see* Appendix L), but which can also be seen in the above table. These error plots are based on the 95 percent confidence interval for the mean and when a bar is entirely above or below another bar it indicates a *statistically* significant difference. NCR respondents gave government performance, mental health, status, political participation, education, security and factors relating to both basic as well as a more pleasant work environment significantly greater importance than the other regions. Housing was also more important for those from NCR and Iloilo. It is, of course, possible that, for example, NCR respondents tended to give higher importance ratings overall. It may thus be better to concentrate more on the relative positions of the factors among themselves.

Preliminary Regression Analyses

Using the Minimum Basic Needs (MBN) approach as a framework for analysis, certain objective indicators were used to try to predict the respondents' overall feelings of satisfaction or happiness with their lives. The following variables were constructed from the objective data collected:

Church	the number of times a month R went to church or to the mosque; values ranged from 0 (none) to 5 (5 or more times a month)
Roofing	1 = heavy roofing materials, 0 = all others
Walling	1 = heavy walling materials, 0 = all others
Own faucet	1 = has own faucet, 0 = all others
Electricity	1 = uses electricity as the main power source, 0 = all others
Own house	1 = owns house, 0 = all others
Toilet	1 = has own toilet, 0 = all others

Phone	1 = has own phone, 0 = none
Theft	1 = has experienced theft, 0 = not yet
Flood	1 = barangay has been flooded, 0 = not yet
Garbage	1 = collected regularly, 0 = all others
Permanent	
Job	1 = R has a permanent job, 0 = no permanent work
Savings	1 = R has savings, 0 = none
Debts	1 = R has debts, 0 = none
Vote	1 = R voted in the 1992 election, 0 = did not vote
Community	
Org	1 = R is a member of a community organization, 0 = nonmember
Service	1 = R has offered voluntary service or contributions, 0 = none offered
Male	1 = R is male, 0 = R is female
Married	1 = R is married, 0 = R is not married
Child	1 = R has children, 0 = R has no children

Educ 3 levels of education (0 = none, 1 = elementary, 2 = high school and vocational, 3 = college and beyond)

These variables were meant to represent various aspects of the needs identified by the MBN approach as being necessary to an improved quality of life. Survival needs were represented by the variables of own faucet (water), regular garbage collection and having one's own toilet (sanitation). Security needs were represented by the variables of having a permanent job (employment), having savings and being free of debts (income), having experienced theft or flooding (safety), and having one's own house with a durable roof and durable walls (housing). Enabling needs were represented by the variables of educational attainment (education), membership in a community organization and having voted in the last election (people's participation). In addition, there were some other variables included which are not part of the MBN framework, but which were intended to represent a domain that emerged as being of significant importance to the respondents - spirituality. Thus, frequency of church-going behavior and whether or not one had engaged in voluntary service were also looked at. Having electricity and a phone were also included to represent some other aspects of one's housing environment.

TABLE 25
CORRELATIONS OF OBJECTIVE INDICATORS WITH OVERALL
SATISFACTION/HAPPINESS

Variable	Correlation
Frequency of going to church	.108**
Durability of roofing material	.091**
Durability of walling material	.133**
Having one's own faucet	.036
Having electricity as the main power source	.146**
Having one's own house	-.058*
Having one's own toilet	.143**
Having a phone	.072*
Experience of theft	-.014
Experience of flooding	-.027
Having garbage collected	.005
Having a permanent job	.077
Having savings	.084**
Having debts	-.054
Having voted in last election	.024
Membership in community organization	.026
Having offered service or made contributions to the community	.129**
Being male	.055
Being married	-.033
Having children	-.043
Educational attainment	.104**

* $p < .05$ ** $p < .01$

Additional background variables which might prove salient were also investigated: gender, marital status and whether or not one had children.

These variables were initially correlated with a global measure of satisfaction or happiness which used a 5-point scale. Respondents were asked to respond to the following question (which is now paraphrased in English):

TABLE 26.
SUMMARY OF REGRESSION RESULTS

Regression 1 - entering all predictors that had significant bivariate correlations with Ratings of overall satisfaction/happiness

$R = .212$ ($F = 4.549$, $p < .000$, $N = 979$)

<u>Predictors:</u>	<u>Significance</u>
Frequency of going to church	.030
Durability of roofing material	.328
Durability of walling material	.219
Having electricity as the main power source	.026
Having one's own house	.977
Having one's own toilet	.089
Having a phone	.873
Having savings	.696
Having offered service or made contributions to the community	.007
Educational attainment	.282

Regression 2 - step-wise based on above predictors $R = .203$

<u>Predictors left (using $p \geq .10$ for removal):</u>	<u>Significance</u>
Electricity as main power source	.006
Having offered service	.006
Having one's own toilet	.019
Frequency of going to church	.020

Regression 3 - using remaining predictors but run as a separate analysis

$R = .216$ ($F = 14.264$, $p < .000$, $N = 1165$)

<u>Predictors:</u>	<u>Significance</u>
Electricity as main power source	.002
Having offered service	.000
Having one's own toilet	.007
Frequency of going to church	.021

If you were to examine your life as a whole, on which step of the ladder would you place yourself (the lowest step represents being very, very unhappy or dissatisfied and the highest step represents being very, very happy or satisfied).

The correlations of the various objective indicators with ratings of overall satisfaction or happiness are shown in Table 25. Most of the indicators showed correlations that were in the expected direction (i.e., mostly positive except for indicators like having experienced theft or flooding or having debts). The indicator on home ownership, however, also showed a surprisingly significant negative correlation (i.e., those who did not own their homes were significantly more satisfied than those who did). This might be understood in the context of who tended to own their own houses – more of those from the non-NCR, non-urban areas – and those whose houses were not constructed of durable materials (i.e., this variable had significant negative correlations with durability of roofing and walling materials, having one's own phone, having electricity, having a toilet and having a phone; see Appendix M for the complete correlation matrix). This is probably another manifestation of the general trend shown earlier wherein NCR respondents, who were less likely to own their own houses, tended to be more satisfied and more optimistic than those from the other areas.

Those variables that correlated significantly with satisfaction/happiness were then entered into a regression equation. Initially, all the variables were entered simultaneously as predictors and this model yielded a multiple R of .212, but when a stepwise regression was performed, only four predictors remained in the model: frequency of going to church, having electricity, having offered service to the community and having a toilet. This model yielded a multiple R of .203. When the regression was rerun with just these four variables, it yielded a multiple R of .216 (due to the difference in df – i.e., in the first two regressions, cases that had missing data on any of the predictors were left out of the analysis, whereas in the third and last analysis which involved fewer variables, there were fewer missing cases, *see* Table 26).

What these analyses appear to be telling us is that enabling needs do not seem to impinge significantly on the respondents' feelings of satisfaction. Instead, what are important are being able to manifest one's spirituality (by going to church or offering service) and having some basic survival needs met (sanitation by way of having a toilet and perhaps an adequate power source

with which to accomplish the daily tasks – electricity). Of course, the other factors of housing, education and income also figured significantly in the initial correlational analysis. When viewed together with the other variables, however, it would appear that having access to electricity seemed to ensure that a lot of the other basic necessities would also be met (i.e., note the significant correlations of electricity with housing characteristics, regular garbage collection, having savings and one's educational attainment).

Thus, in partial confirmation of the MBN approach, survival and security needs are indeed factors to consider in improving the quality of life. Enabling needs, however, do not seem to be as apparent (save for education). Rather, it is the fulfillment of spiritual needs that seems to play a bigger role in enhancing the quality of one's life. It should be noted that the regressions performed may not have been exactly appropriate for some of the predictors used (i.e., dichotomous variables may have more precisely called for a logit-type regression). These preliminary regressions were done, however, simply to check for what predictors would eventually remain in the model – and not to obtain precise estimates.



RESEARCH IMPLICATIONS

Is There a Filipino Concept of Well Being?

The difficulty in coming out with more objective and empirical indicators for well-being or quality of life that are more universally applicable has resulted in the definition of these concepts using general attributes or limited proxy indicators that are tied in to the individual's economic and material conditions. This is also a consequence of the tendency to compare the performance of nations in relation to their people's well-being. This globalization of the concept of well-being or quality of life somehow makes one wonder about the specifics that are seldom covered by the global measures. Apparently, more localized and contextual measures or indicators are needed, not just for policy and program administration purposes, but in order to deepen the understanding of our psyche, culture and national identity.

A Validation of the Measures of Quality of Life

The quality of life has been measured in different ways, at one extreme using very macro indicators and at the other, very micro ones. This project on the measurement of Filipino well-being has been able to validate the importance of some of the indicators implied by the more macro approaches such as the MBN and the HDI. There was some affirmation for the importance of the minimum basic needs of survival, security and enabling capacity, although the indicators that were used to represent enabling capacity did not emerge as strong predictors of overall satisfaction and happiness. On the other hand, the HDI indicators of life expectancy, literacy and GDP per capita were represented at the micro level by the respondents' educational attainment and the importance and satisfaction ratings given to the items in the domains of information and knowledge, savings and wealth, and health (i.e., the importance of having a long life). These were all considered generally important across all the regions.

On another level, the results of the research project validate the HDI findings regarding the relationship between development and quality of life.

NCR respondents represent the more developed group relative to those from the other regions. They were always significantly more optimistic and satisfied. They were happier overall with their lives and had a stronger national consciousness, as indicated by their significantly greater satisfaction with the items measuring perceptions towards 'being Filipino' and 'unity among Filipinos.' This positive relationship between the level of development of the area and the well-being of its people is not surprising. Many needs can be met in a more developed area like the NCR. There are greater opportunities for work and education that make people more hopeful. There are also more social and institutional mechanisms available to assist people in improving the quality of their life either through adaptation or innovation.

Value Added: More Than the Global Measures

If one were to consider the concept of well-being that emerges from this research, it is quite significant that the non-material dimensions such as spirituality, social relationships - especially with the immediate family - and the desire to do good to others, are at the core of the respondents' sense of well-being. These dimensions were considered by most of the respondents as very important to their well-being and these were also the dimensions that they tended to find very satisfying. The importance given to these is a manifestation of the Filipino's need to be related to those around him or her, whether vertically (with God) or horizontally (with family). There is also an expressed need for these relationships to be positive (i.e., note the importance of 'doing good to others' and 'good relations with neighbors' and the emergence of community or volunteer service) in the regression to predict overall satisfaction or happiness. Such are the origins of the *bayanihan* spirit. In fact, the indigenous Filipinos are essentially like this (i.e., Zayas and Abaya, 1998), with spirituality intimately interwoven into their lives. In the modern Filipino, such inner longings are still present.

Related to the inclusion of non-material aspects in the measure of well-being is the relative importance of the different components or dimensions. These components were previously treated as if they were of equal importance, although more weight was often given to the material or economic indicators. The results of this study indicate that the various components are not necessarily of equal importance, and that material needs are often secondary to spiritual and emotional fulfillment through significant family relationships. It has been

shown that the Filipino concept of well-being involves more than the material 'basket of goods' that are often associated with government services. The respondents tended to give more importance to inner values such as spirituality, family orientedness and working for the common good. It is therefore not surprising that optimism should be so evident since their strong faith would allow them to always believe in a better tomorrow.

The research's concern for the level of satisfaction of the respondents with each component is an additional dimension that is also worth discussing. It provided a means for identifying the "success zones" in people's lives. That is, it was easy to spot those factors that people considered as very important and with which they were also very satisfied. The two domains that emerged were those covering spirituality and social relationships, particularly those involving the immediate or nuclear family. One possible explanation for this is the principle of accessibility or control wherein people who have access to and ready control over things that are deemed important to them would tend to be more satisfied. There tend to be fewer external and extraneous factors involved with spirituality and social relationships in the family. Things can be seen to be basically in the hands of the person - access to God through prayer or the decision to love and be loved by the family. Since these are within the people's access and control, they can creatively pursue these without requiring external inputs (i.e., government services or programs). It is thus easy to understand why there is a great deal of satisfaction in these areas. On the other hand, the components over which people tend to have much less control, or those that require more external inputs and resources such as housing, employment, savings, wealth and income, etc. are not satisfactory to the people.

Using the satisfaction dimension, "danger" and "potential success" zones can also be identified by policy makers or government administrators.

Implications for Policymakers and Local Administrators

The survey findings draw attention to the "danger zones," "success zones," and the "so what zones" (i.e., containing components that very few people consider to be important). The danger zones can serve as alarms to alert national and local government officials, while at the same time also affirming those services and goods that people have been demanding. The domains involved include housing and quality of neighborhood; employment and quality of working life; savings, wealth and assets; physical health; mental and emotional

health; information and knowledge; and government performance. The components of most of these domains are very basic, and the respondents also place a high importance on them. Unfortunately, most of them are also the items which very few respondents are very satisfied with. Most of these domains include services that the government – policymakers and local administrators alike – is supposed to be responsible for.

The “success zone” has already been discussed in a previous section. The people can obviously find fulfillment in the components under the domains of spirituality and social relationships without any real inputs being required from the government. Perhaps all that is needed is for the government to assure the people that it will respect their freedom to express and meet these needs.

The “so what zone,” on the other hand, presents what could be a disturbing finding for development workers and community organizers. This is the zone containing items that people do not find *that* important. Take for example items in the domains of leisure and political participation. Leisure is a function of time and money, while political participation is generally a function of one’s political consciousness. These findings with regards to leisure indicate that the idea of leisure activities is not central to the well-being of respondents – perhaps because such activities would only consume their income, something which they do not seem to have that much of (i.e., only 15 percent overall considered themselves as ‘not poor’). With employment and savings and wealth considered as more important, leisure might be perceived as the anti-thesis of the former. On political participation, the findings indicate the need for more educational work that would focus on the relevance of participation. It could also be an indicator of the peoples’ apathy, as well as lack of interest in public affairs. As a layperson might say, “*Sawa na, pagod na, bakit pa?*” This is in contrast to the politically active who might shout, “*Tama na! Sobra na! Kung hindi ngayon, kailan pa?*” Be that as it may, the enabling needs identified by the MBN are not strongly borne out by this study, and the expectations riding on people empowerment programs may require a lot more ground work to remove the apparent cynicism that seems to be manifest in the relatively low importance given to political and community participation.

With resources becoming more and more scarce, government entities concerned with the components in the “danger zone” would have a better gauge of what is at stake. The different agencies can use the findings of the

study to set their priorities, using the relative importance of various components to identify priority areas.

With the importance given to spirituality and social relationships within the immediate family as core components of well-being, there is the need for the government and other institutions to incorporate these in efforts aimed at increasing our collective identity as Filipinos. For example, the government could tap into the desire to 'do good to others' and harness volunteer efforts at the community level. Structural and political supports should be in place, however, so that such 'volunteer' activities or projects can be sustained and further developed. For example, leaders with the moral authority to ask service from the people must be identified and supported by the government. Government support might involve allowing them the freedom to disagree with proposed government policies or programs. In other words, to truly empower the people, programs and policies should more rightly emanate from the people and be for the benefit of the greater majority. They should not be something that is simply handed down from the top for 'immediate implementation.' The sincerity of the project organizers must also be evident, otherwise, people may then identify the effort as 'just another government project bound for failure.'

Institutional Concerns: The Establishment of a University-Based Survey Center

The research project was also a test of the feasibility of establishing a university-based survey center. The experiences of the past few years provide valuable insights which can help in assessing the feasibility of such a center. The following are some of the concerns and issues:

Combining Teaching and Research

The research project provided a wealth of materials and a great deal of actual field experience extremely useful for teaching. There were, however, some difficulties in having only part-time researchers in-charge of the survey. The field work involved in a nationwide survey requires full-time supervision of the field interviewers and the various institutions and characters involved in the effort. It is difficult to delegate responsibilities for checking and handling

problematic situations to field assistants, while the principal researchers are based in their universities due to teaching and advising responsibilities.

A university-based survey center would therefore require at least an office with full-time research staff who are well-versed in the process of carrying out fieldwork and in the paper chase that so often accompanies any government-funded undertaking. Integrating the research load into the academic load carried by full-time faculty is problematic in ensuring the efficient manageability of the research.

Student Involvement

There are some considerations that have to be put on the table in involving students in surveys, especially those with a nationwide scope. Theoretically, students can be involved in the research both as part of their learning process and as a strategy to minimize costs. This, however, poses time constraints, safety concerns and ethical considerations. One issue that can be raised is whether the students can refuse to be involved if the teacher is the researcher. As faculty are often reminded, teachers are not supposed to use their students for their researches.

Another issue is the quality of the output. While it is true that the research survey can be a venue for the students to learn, this requires additional training to meet the research requirements. The outputs of the students would most probably be of somewhat poorer quality when compared against the output of professional interviewers. As a transient population in the university, the students cannot provide the desired continuity to the research undertaking.

Meeting Bureaucratic Requirements

Doing a national survey is a complicated endeavor that requires compliance with the established rules and procedures of government accounting and auditing. The project had numerous experiences that provide good examples of the difficulties involved in dealing with the restrictions of both the Commission on Audit and the Department of Budget and Management. Among these were the restrictions on per diem rates and the types of expenses that one was allowed (i.e., how does one pay for meals or snacks which are part and parcel of initial meetings with *barangay* captains or community leaders who will eventually help in securing access to communities?). Official receipts were also not always

easy or possible to secure and this requirement actually led to higher costs since some places offering cheaper services also did not have official receipts. Many interviewers and supervisors would also forget about this in the field, considering all the other problems that they had to contend with. More annoying, however, was the realization that one almost had to hire a research assistant full-time just to keep track of expenses, receipts, vouchers and reimbursements. Bonding for cash advances and the difficulty of securing reimbursements for emergency and unforeseen expenses were also perennial headaches. As it turned out, it was much easier to contract the entire data gathering phase to an external and private research unit.

Mobilizing an External Research Unit

Contracting the data gathering to an external research unit also posed some difficulties since the bidding process for awarding government contracts had to be followed. In this connection, although the project was lucky to be able to secure relatively good services for a relatively low price, the lowest bidder may not necessarily be the most qualified. Many good survey groups are not used to the bidding process since surveys are usually contracted on the bases of the research design and track record of the group. It was therefore not an easy task to be able to have at least three bids before they could be evaluated.

Plans and Suggestions for the Future

1. It would be good if a regular survey could be undertaken periodically, for example, every three years, and if succeeding surveys could cover other provinces and regions. Whenever new areas are included in the survey, it would be good to gather data on importance ratings since regional differences appear to be somewhat pronounced. For an assessment of the well-being of Filipinos, however, it is possible that more focus be given to satisfaction ratings and subjective perceptions of overall well-being of happiness.
2. It is further suggested that these periodic surveys be undertaken by a team that has access to regular office space and a full-time staff of at least two research assistants so that the efficiency and continuity of the national survey can be ensured.

3. The survey instrument can also be simplified based on the intercorrelations among items – i.e., items that correlate highly with each other may be simplified into a single item.
4. A more focused survey can be done for other areas and groups like upland groups or indigenous peoples to provide a comparison, as well as more localized and contextualized well-being concepts.
5. The data generated from the project are extensive. It is worth having these data made available for further analysis to both undergraduate and graduate students enrolled in methods courses requiring large sets of data. Additional multivariate analyses might be undertaken and comparisons other than those already done can be investigated – i.e., gender and age differences can be more carefully looked at.



References

Cortes, Rosario Mendoza

- 1992 Emilio Aguinaldo y Famy: First and Only President of the First Republic and His Vision of the Good Society, *PSSC Social Science Information*, April-May, 12-16.

Department of Interior and Local Government (DILG)

- n.d. *A Primer on the Philippine Minimum Basic Needs (MBN) Approach to Improved Quality of Life*. The Local Government Academy, DILG.

Ileto, Reynaldo Clemencia

- 1989 *Pasyon and Revolution*. Third Printing. Quezon City: Ateneo de Manila University.

Maceda, Teresita Gimenez

- 1996 *Mga Tinig Mula Sa Ibaba*. Lunsod Quezon: UP Press and UP-CIDS Peace, Conflict Resolution and Human Rights Program.

Majul, Cesar

- 1963 *Apolinario Mabini, Revolutionary*. Manila: National Heroes Commission.

Manila Times, Nov. 9, 1997, pp. 8-9, Special Feature: "Filipino Values Month"

Minimum Basic Needs Technical Working Core Group

- 1995 "The Philippine Minimum Basic Needs (MBN) Approach to Improved Quality of Life." (Document) Local Government Academy, Department of Interior and Local Government.

Miranda, Felipe

- 1999 "Estrada keeps high popularity rating," *Philippine Daily Inquirer*, July 18, 1999:8.

Paz, Consuelo J.

- 1998 "*Ginhawa*. Well-being as Expressed in Philippine Languages." Paper read at the 1st International Conference on Philippine Studies, Reggio, Calabria, Italy, 27-29 November 1998.

SyCip, Ly; Maruja B. Asis and Emmanuel M. Luna

1993 *The Meaning and Measurement of Well-Being: A Review of the Research Literature*. Occasional Papers Series No. 93-002. University of the Philippines Center for Integrative and Development Studies.

Teodoro, Noel

1992 "Pananaw ni Rizal sa Mabuting Lipunan," *PSSC Social Science Information*, July-September, 18-22.

Zayas, Cynthia and Eufracio Abaya

1998 *Kaginhawawan: Mula sa Sinapupunan hanggang sa kabilang buhay*. Serye ng Lektura sa Konsepto ng Kaginhawahan (Well-being) at Kalayaang Pang-akademiko, Pamamalakad at Kapakanan ng Unibersidad, CSSP Publications, U.P. Diliman.



Appendices



Appendix A

The Well-Being Questionnaire

SCREENING FORM - FILIPINO

FINAL: 26 December 1996

INTERVIEWER: _____ HOUSEHOLD NO. _____

NO. STREET BARANGAY MUN PROV

Circle One: 1 RURAL 2 URBAN 3 METRO MANILA

VISIT	1	2	3
DATE			
TIME			
RESULT*			
INFORMANT			

*C Completed CB: Call Back R: Refusal O: Other (SPECIFY)

INTRODUCTION

Magandang umaga/hapon po. Ako po si _____ ng Unibersidad ng Pilipinas. Mayroon po kaming ginagawang survey dito sa inyong lugar at napili po ang inyong bahay para sa pag-aaral na ito. Maaari po ba

kayong makausap? Makakaasa po kayo na ang impormasyon na inyong ibabahagi sa amin ay gagamitin lamang sa pananaliksik at mananatiling kumpedensyal.

1. Ilan po ba ang nakatira rito? _____
(SPECIFY TOTAL NUMBER OF DWELLERS, INCLUDING INFORMANT)
2. Nabanggit po ninyo na _____ ang nakatira rito. Iisa lang po ba ang paghanda at pagluto ng inyong pagkain?
 - 1 Oo (PROCEED TO TABLE)
 - 2 Hindi – Ilan po kayo sa inyong pamilya o kasambahay? _____
(SPECIFY TOTAL NUMBER OF MEMBERS IN INFORMANTS HOUSEHOLD AND PROCEED TO HOUSEHOLD TABLE)

FOR INFORMANT'S HOUSEHOLD, ASK:

3. Maaari po bang malaman kung sinu-sino ang mga miyembro ng inyong pamilya na permanenteng nakatira rito? Umpisahan po natin sa pinakamatanda hanggang sa pinakabata.
(LIST ALL NAMES FROM THE OLDEST TO THE YOUNGEST, IF A MEMBER IS TEMPORARILY AWAY INCLUDE HIM/HER.)
4. Sino po ba ang pinuno ng inyong tahanan o household head?
(WRITE HHH ACROSS THE NAME OF THE PERSON IN COLUMN 5.)

FOR EACH NAME, ASK Qs 5-9 AND RECORD ANSWERS IN HOUSEHOLD TABLE

5. IF NOT OBVIOUS, ASK: Lalaki ba o babae si _____?
6. Ilang taon na po si _____? (AGE AS OF LAST BIRTHDAY)
WRITE IN THE AGES FOR MALES AND FEMALES IN SEPARATE COLUMNS. COLUMN 3 FOR MALES, COLUMN 4 FOR FEMALES.
7. Ano po ni (HOUSEHOLD HEAD) si _____?
(COLUMN 5)
8. May Asawa, Walang Asawa, Biyudo/Biyuda, O Hiwalay sa Asawa po ba si _____?
(WRITE ANSWER IN COLUMN 6)
9. Sino po sa inyong pamilya ang nagtatrabaho o kumikita ngayon?
(CHECK OFF NAMES OF THOSE WHO ARE WORKING, COLUMN 7)

10. Sinu-sino po sa inyong kasambahay ang pansamantalang nasa ibang lugar sa Pilipinas o nasa ibang bansa?
(CHECK IF ANYONE IS AWAY FOR THE MOMENT, COLUMN 8.)

11. Maliban po sa inyong pamilya, mayroon pa ba kayong ibang kasambahay?
(PROBE FOR UNRELATED MEMBERS.)

- 1 Oo May katulong ba kayo ? Ilan? _____
May boarders ba kayo? Ilan? _____
May iba pa bang kayong kasama? Ilan? _____
- 2 Wala Na

SUMMARY: FAMILY MEMBERS _____
UNRELATED MEMBERS _____
TOTAL HH MEMBERS _____

LIST DOWN ALL ELIGIBLE RESPONDENTS FROM OLDEST TO YOUNGEST IN THE TABLE BELOW. DRAW A LINE UNDER THE YOUNGEST PERSON ELIGIBLE FOR THE INTERVIEW. CHECK FOR THE LAST DIGIT OF THE RESPONDENT NUMBER AND LOCATE IT IN THE FIRST ROW OF THE NUMBERED COLUMNS. READ DOWN THE COLUMN CORRESPONDING TO THE LAST DIGIT OF THE 'RESPONDENT NUMBER' AND ENCIRCLE THE NUMBER IN THIS COLUMN WHICH IS OPPOSITE THE NAME OF THE YOUNGEST QUALIFIED RESPONDENT. LOCATE THIS NUMBER IN THE QPR NO.' COLUMN. THE PERSON CORRESPONDING TO THIS NUMBER IS THE PROBABILITY RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT NUMBER _____
QUALIFIED RESPONDENT: _____ Male _____ Female

PR TABLE

LAST DIGIT of Respondent Number

QPR												
NO.	NAME	AGE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0
1			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2			1	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	2
3			3	3	2	2	1	3	2	2	1	1
4			4	1	3	4	3	1	2	2	1	2
5			3	1	5	3	2	2	4	5	4	1
6			6	4	1	5	4	1	2	6	3	5
7			5	2	3	1	7	7	3	1	6	4
8			2	5	4	1	6	3	5	4	8	7
9			3	4	6	7	5	8	1	9	2	6
10			7	8	3	2	4	1	6	10	5	9

Nais ho naming mainterbyu si _____.

Kailan kaya siya puwedeng mainterbyu?

Date _____
Time _____

"EXPLORING THE MEASUREMENT OF FILIPINO WELL-BEING"
Survey Questionnaire

Center for Integrative and Development Studies
University of the Philippines
Diliman, Quezon City

RESPONDENT NO. _____

Respondent's Name	_____
Complete Address	_____

Province	_____
Region	_____
Type of residence	_____
Time Started	_____ Time Ended _____
Remarks	_____

INTRODUCTION

Magandang umaga/hapon po. Ako po ay si (pangalan) mula sa Unibersidad ng Pilipinas. Kami po sa UP ay kasalukuyang nagsasagawa ng isang pag-aaral tungkol sa uri at kalagayan ng pamumuhay ng mga Pilipino. Gusto po naming malaman kung anu-anong mga bagay ang mahalaga sa mga Pilipino para masabi nilang maganda ang kanilang buhay. Nais din naming malaman kung gaano sila nasisiyahan sa mga ito.

Isa po kayo sa iilang napili namin na makapanayam o ma-interbyu tungkol sa inyong pamumuhay sa kasalukuyan. Mayroon po akong mga katanungan tungkol sa inyong trabaho, pamamahay, pamilya, kalusugan at iba pang aspeto

ng inyong buhay. Nakakaasa kayo na ang inyong kasagutan ay mananatiling kumpidensyal o lihim at gagamitin lamang para sa pag-aaral na ito.

BLOCK 1: SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF THE RESPONDENT

GENDER OF RESPONDENT 1 Female 2 Male (ENCIRCLE)

1. Ilang taon na po kayo? _____ taon
2. Ano po ang inyong natapos sa pag-aaral? (PROBE SPECIFIC LEVEL OR COURSE) _____
3. May-asawa po ba kayo?
 - 1 Oo -- ENCIRCLE CODE FOR "NAP" IN Q.4 AND GO TO Q.5
 2. Wala
4. Kayo po ba ay dalaga/binata, hiwalay sa asawa, o isa nang balo (biyudo/biyuda)?
 - 1 Dalaga/binata -----
 - 2 Hiwalay sa asawa GO TO Q. 5
 - 3 Balo (biyudo/biyuda)
 - 4 Other (SPECIFY) _____
 - 9 Not applicable (NAP) -----
5. May anak ba kayo?
 - 1 Oo
 - 2 Wala
- 5.1 Ilan po ang inyong anak _____

IF RESPONDENT IS CURRENTLY MARRIED, ASK Qs. 6-8. OTHERWISE,
ENCIRCLE CODE FOR "NAP" IN Qs. 6 - 8 AND GO TO Q. 9.

6. Ano po ang trabaho ng inyong ASAWA?
 Occupation (SPECIFY): _____
 00 Walang trabaho
 01 Sa bahay lang (Housewife/homemaker)
 999 NAP
7. Nagtatrabaho po ba si mister/misis sa ibang lugar?
 1 Oo
 2 Hindi (SKIP TO Q9)
 3 NAP

8. Sa Pilipinas ba o sa ibang bansa?
 1 Ibang bayan o probinsya
 2 Ibang bansa
 3 NAP

FOR Qs. 9-10
 IF RESPONDENT'S PARENT IS RETIRED OR DECEASED, ask:
 Ano ang trabaho niya bago siya nagretiro/noong nabubuhay pa siya?

9. Ano ho ang pangunahing trabaho ng inyong AMA?
- _____

Walang trabaho 9997 Hindi alam

10. Ano naman ho ang pangunahing trabaho ng inyong INA?
- _____

0000 Walang trabaho
 0001 Sa bahay lang (Housewife/homemaker)
 9997 Hindi alam

11. Kayo po, nagtatrabaho ba kayo ngayon?
 1 Oo --- GO TO Q. 13
 2 Hindi --- GO TO Q. 12 AND ENCIRCLE CODE FOR
 "NAP" IN Q. S. 13-15

12. Ano po ang ginagawa ninyo ngayong wala kayong trabaho?

- 1 Sa bahay lang/gawaing-bahay (Housewife/homemaker)
- 2 Retirado
- 3 Nag-aaral
- 4 Hindi makapagtrabaho dahil may sakit o kapansanan
- 5 Naghahanap ng trabaho
- 6 Other (SPECIFY) _____
- 7 NAP

FOR Q. 13:
PROBE WHICH IS THE MAIN JOB IF MORE THAN ONE IS MENTIONED,
AND WRITE THIS ON THE SPACE PROVIDED.

13. Ano po ang inyong trabaho? _____

9999 NAP (IF NONE, SKIP TO Q17)

14. Magkano ho ang kinikita ninyo sa isang buwan?

Ang ibig po naming sabihin sa "kita" ay lahat nang pinagkakakitaan NINYO tulad ng inyong sweldo, allowances, pension, kita sa sideline, tubo sa pagtitinda o buy-and-sell, pagtatrabaho sa bukid o palaisdaan, ani sa pataniman, at iba pa.

PERSONAL INCOME: P _____ PER MONTH

9999 NAP

9998 DON'T KNOW

9997 OTHERS

15. Naghahanap pa rin po ba kayo ng ibang trabaho o iba pang mapagkakakitaan?

- 1 Oo
- 2 Hindi na
- 3 NAP

16. Nagbibigay po ba kayo ng panggastos sa bahay?

- 0 Hindi
- 1 Oo (GO TO Q. 16.1)

16.1 Magkano naman po ang ibinibigay/kinokontribyut ninyo kada buwan?

P _____ PER MONTH

Other _____

17. Magkano ho lahat ang kita na pumapasok sa inyong pamilya sa loob ng isang buwan?

FAMILY/HOUSEHOLD INCOME: P _____ PER MONTH

18. Kung ilalarawan ninyo ang inyong katayuan sa kasalukuyan, saan ninyo ilalagay ang inyong sarili?

(SHOW CARD 1 BUT DO NOT READ OUT THE CATEGORIES.)

3 Hindi mahirap

2 Sa linya

1 Mahirap

18.1 Kung ilalarawan naman ninyo ang katayuan ng inyong pamilya sa kasalukuyan, saan ninyo ilalagay ang inyong pamilya?

(SHOW CARD 1 BUT NOT READ OUT THE CATEGORIES.)

3 Hindi mahirap

2 Sa linya

1 Mahirap

BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

Ngayon naman po ay meron akong babasahing listahan ng mga bagay sa buhay ng tao na maaaring mahalaga o hindi mahalaga para sa inyo. Sa bawat bagay na aking babanggitin, sabihin po lamang kung ito ay (1) hindi mahalaga, (2) medyo mahalaga, (3) mahalaga o (4) mahalagang-mahalaga para sa inyo upang masabi ninyong maganda ang inyong buhay.

GAANO PO KAHALAGA SA INYO ANG MGA SUMUSUNOD
UPANG MASABI NINYONG MAGANDA ANG INYONG BUHAY?

Housing and Quality of Neighborhood

ENCIRCLE APPROPRIATE
NUMBER:

	HM	MeM	M	MaM
19. katiyakan sa tinitirhan (tenure)	1	2	3	4
20. pagtira sa lugar na madaling makarating sa trabaho, paaralan, pagamutan, libangan, sakayang pampubliko at iba pang pasilidad.	1	2	3	4
21. pagtira sa lugar na ligtas kayo at ang inyong pamilya sa krimen, drugs, sugal at iba pang masamang bisyo	1	2	3	4
22. pagtira sa lugar na ligtas sa sunog at baha	1	2	3	4
23. pagkakaroon ng sapat na lugar o espasyo sa bahay para sa mga miyembro ng pamilya	1	2	3	4
24. pagtira sa isang matibay na bahay	1	2	3	4
25. pagkakaroon ng toilet/banyo/palikuran sa bahay	1	2	3	4
26. pagkakaroon ng regular na supply ng tubig sa bahay	1	2	3	4
27. pagkakaroon ng regular na supply ng kuryente sa bahay	1	2	3	4
28. pagkakaroon ng sariling telepono (sa inyong tinitirhan)	1	2	3	4
29. pagtira sa lugar na malinis at walang basurang nakakalat	1	2	3	4
30. pagkakaroon ng kapaligirang ligtas sa polusyon	1	2	3	4
31. pagkakaroon ng magandang ugnayan sa inyong mga kapitbahay	1	2	3	4

**GAANO PO KAHALAGA SA INYO ANG MGA SUMUSUNOD
UPANG MASABI NINYONG MAGANDA ANG INYONG BUHAY?**

<u>Employment and Quality of Working Life</u>	HM	MeM	M	MaM
32. pagkakaroon ng seguridad sa trabaho o permanenteng trabaho	1	2	3	4
33. pagkakaroon ng regular na kita	1	2	3	4
34. pagkakaroon ng malaking kita	1	2	3	4
35. pagtatrabaho sa isang ligtas na lugar	1	2	3	4
36. pagtatrabaho sa isang lugar na malapit sa inyong bahay	1	2	3	4
37. pagkakaroon ng trabahong legal	1	2	3	4
38. pagkakaroon ng mataas na posisyon sa kumpanya o lugar na pinagtatrabahuhan	1	2	3	4
39. pagkakaroon ng trabahong angkop sa inyong pinag-aralan	1	2	3	4
40. pagkakaroon ng miyembro ng pamilya na nagtatrabaho sa abroad	1	2	3	4
41. pagkakaroon ng magandang ugnayan sa inyong bos/superbisor/amo	1	2	3	4
42. pagkakaroon ng magandang ugnayan sa inyong mga kasama sa trabaho	1	2	3	4
43. mabigyan ng papuri o pagpapahalaga sa trabaho	1	2	3	4
44. pagkakaroon ng regular na oras ng trabaho (halimbawa, 8 a.m.-5 p.m.)	1	2	3	4
45. haba ng oras ng inyong trabaho	1	2	3	4
45. pagkakaroon ng trabaho na nahahasa ang inyong galing at may natututunan kayong bago	1	2	3	4
46. pagkakaroon ng trabaho na nahahasa ang inyong galing at may natututunan kayong bago	1	2	3	4
47. pagkakaroon ng sapat na kita para sa sarili ninyong pangangailangan	1	2	3	4

Savings, Wealth and Assets	HM	MeM	M	MaM
48. pagkakaroon ng sariling lupa	1	2	3	4
49. pagkakaroon ng sariling bahay	1	2	3	4
50. pagkakaroon ng sariling kagamitan o aplayanses sa bahay	1	2	3	4

GAANO PO KAHALAGA SA INYO ANG MGA SUMUSUNOD
UPANG MASABI NINYONG MAGANDA ANG INYONG BUHAY?

Savings, Wealth and Assets	HM	MeM	M	MaM
51. pagkakaroon ng sariling sasakyan	1	2	3	4
52. maka-ipon	1	2	3	4
53. kawalan ng utang	1	2	3	4
54. maka-utang (access to credit)	1	2	3	4

Social Relationships	HM	MeM	M	MaM
55. pagkakaroon ng asawa	1	2	3	4
56. pagkakaroon ng anak	1	2	3	4
57. magandang pagsasama ng mag-asawa	1	2	3	4
58. pagmamahal ng inyong asawa	1	2	3	4
59. inyong partisipasyon sa paggawa ng desisyon sa inyong pamilya	1	2	3	4
60. pagmamahal ng inyong (mga) anak	1	2	3	4
61. pagmamahal ng inyong (mga) magulang at/o kapatid	1	2	3	4
62. pagmamahal ng iba pang kamag-anak	1	2	3	4
63. pagmamahal ng mga kaibigan	1	2	3	4
64. makapiling ang inyong asawa	1	2	3	4
65. makapiling ang inyong (mga) anak	1	2	3	4
66a. makapiling ang inyong (mga) magulang at/o kapatid	1	2	3	4
66b. makapiling ang inyong (mga) kaibigan	1	2	3	4

GAANO PO KAHALAGA SA INYO ANG MGA SUMUSUNOD
UPANG MASABI NINYONG MAGANDA ANG INYONG BUHAY?

<u>Leisure and Spare Time Activities</u>	<u>HM</u>	<u>MeM</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>MaM</u>
67. pagkakaroon ng panahon para makapag-isa	1	2	3	4
68. pagkakaroon ng panahon para magpahinga o mag-relaks	1	2	3	4
69. paglilibang kasama ang asawa	1	2	3	4
70. paglilibang kasama ang (mga) anak	1	2	3	4
71. paglilibang kasama ang (mga) magulang at/o kapatid	1	2	3	4
72. paglilibang kasama ang mga kaibigan	1	2	3	4
73. mga balita mula sa diyaryo, TV o radyo	1	2	3	4
74. mga libangan mula sa diyaryo, TV , radyo o sine	1	2	3	4
75. paglalaro ng jueteng, lotto, o pasasabong at iba pang gawain katulad ng mga ito	1	2	3	4
<u>Physical Health</u>	<u>HM</u>	<u>MeM</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>MaM</u>
76. pagiging ligtas sa sakit o permanenteng kapansanan	1	2	3	4
77. regular na ehersisyo	1	2	3	4
78. pagkakaroon ng mahabang buhay	1	2	3	4
79. regular na pagkain (VERB)	1	2	3	4
80. pagkakaroon ng masustansyang pagkain	1	2	3	4
81. pagtulog ng mahimbing	1	2	3	4
82. paninigarilyo	1	2	3	4
83. pag-inom ng alak	1	2	3	4
84. paggamit ng bawal na gamot o droga	1	2	3	4

GAANO PO KAHALAGA SA INYO ANG MGA SUMUSUNOD UPANG
MASABI NINYONG MAGANDA ANG INYONG BUHAY?

Psychological/Mental Health and
Emotional Well-Being

	HM	MeM	M	MaM
85. pagpapahalaga sa sarili	1	2	3	4
86. pagiging listo sa pag-iisip	1	2	3	4
87. pagkakaroon ng sariling desisyon	1	2	3	4
88. respeto ng inyong pamilya	1	2	3	4
89. respeto ng iba pang tao	1	2	3	4
90. makayanang dalhin ang inyong mga problema	1	2	3	4
91. makayanang harapin ang mga pagbabago sa inyong buhay	1	2	3	4
92. pagkakaroon ng mapayapa o panatag na kalooban	1	2	3	4

Religion and Spiritual Life

	HM	MeM	M	MaM
93. pagkakaroon ng pananampalataya sa Diyos/(Allah)	1	2	3	4
94. pagdarasal	1	2	3	4
95. pagsisimba/pagsasamba/(pagdasal sa mosque)	1	2	3	4
96. paggawa ng mabuti sa kapwa	1	2	3	4

<u>Information and Knowledge</u>	HM	MeM	M	MaM
97. kakayahang bumasa at sumulat	1	2	3	4
98. makatapos ng kolehiyo	1	2	3	4
99. pag-aaral sa isang mahusay na paaralan	1	2	3	4
100. matuto mula sa iba pang paraan bukod sa paaralan tulad ng panonood ng TV, pagbabasa ng diaryo, pagsali sa mga kooperatiba, pagdalo sa mga seminars o training, atbp.	1	2	3	4
101. magamit o maiapply ang inyong napag-aralan	1	2	3	4

**GAANO PO KAHALAGA SA INYO ANG MGA SUMUSUNOD
UPANG MASABI NINYONG MAGANDA ANG INYONG BUHAY?**

<u>Political Participation</u>	HM	MeM	M	MaM
102. pag-alam ng mga nangyayari sa bansa	1	2	3	4
103. pagboto/paglahok sa eleksyon	1	2	3	4
104. pagiging malaya para mapahayag ang inyong opinyong politikal	1	2	3	4
105. pagsali sa mga samahang pang-komunidad	1	2	3	4
<u>Government Performance</u>	HM	MeM	M	MaM
106. maging mapayapa ang inyong bayan	1	2	3	4
107. maging ligtas kayo at ang inyong pamilya sa krimen	1	2	3	4
108. maging malayo sa labanan ng militar at rebelde	1	2	3	4
109. magkaroon ng mahuhusay na lokal na pinuno	1	2	3	4
110. magkaroon ng mahuhusay na pambansang pinuno	1	2	3	4
111. magkaroon ng magandang lokal na ekonomiya	1	2	3	4

112. magkaroon ng magandang pambansang ekonomiya	1	2	3	4
113. mabilis na paglutas ng mga kaso sa bansa	1	2	3	4
114. mahusay na pamamalakad ng hustisya	1	2	3	4
115. magkaroon ng mahuhusay na pulis na magbibigay ng proteksiyon at seguridad sa mga mamamayan	1	2	3	4
116. mabilis na pagbigay ng gobyerno ng serbisyong pampubliko	1	2	3	4
117. magkaroon ng pantay na karapatan at oportunidad ang lalake at babae sa ating lipunan	1	2	3	4
118. ang pagiging Pilipino	1	2	3	4
119. magkaroon ng pagkakaisa ang mga mamamayan sa ating bansa	1	2	3	4

BLOCK 3A: OBJECTIVE INDICATORS OF QUALITY OF HOUSING

ASK Qs. 120-123 ONLY IF INFORMATION CANNOT
BE DETERMINED BY MERE OBSERVATION

120. MATERYAL PAMBUBONG NG BAHAY

- 1 (Heavy /Durable) (IF ANY OF THE FOLLOWING APPLIES)
galbanisado/aluminum tile/sementado/clay tile
kalahating galbanisado/kalahating konkreto
- 2 (Light/Not Durable) IF ANY OF THE FOLLOWING APPLIES)
kahoy/cogon/nipa/anahaw/makeshift/salvaged/improvised materials
- 3 kombinasyon (SPECIFY) _____

121. MATERYAL NA PANDINGDING

1. (Heavy/Durable) (IF ANY OF THE FOLLOWING APPLIES)
concrete/brick/stone/wood/glass/galvanized iron/aluminum

2. (Light/Not Durable) (IF ANY OF THE FOLLOWING APPLIES)
bamboo/sawali/cogon/nipa/salamin/makeshift/salvaged/
improvised materials
3. Other/Combination (SPECIFY) _____

122. PINAGKUKUNAN NG TUBIG NA INUMIN
(ENCIRCLE ALL ITEMS MENTIONED)

- 01 Own use, faucet (NAWASA/MWSS)
- 02 Shared, community faucet
- 03 Own use, deep well
- 04 Shared, community deep well
- 05 Shallow well
- 06 Dug well
- 07 Spring, lake, river, rain
- 08 Peddler

123. PINAGKUKUNAN NG ILAW (ENCIRCLE ALL ITEMS MENTIONED)

- 1 Elektrisidad (kuryente)
- 2 Gaas (kerosene)
- 3 Langis (oil)

124. Sa inyo po ba ang bahay na ito? Ang ibig ko pong sabihin, kung sarili ninyo ang bahay na ito o kasalukuyan ninyong hinuhulugan?

- 1 Oo ENCIRCLE CODE FOR "NAP" IN Q. 125
AND GO TO Q. 126.

2 Hindi

125. Kung hindi, kayo po ba ay nangungupahan, nakatira ng libre sa bahay ng inyong magulang, kapatid o kamag-anak o iba pa? (PROBE IF NECESSARY)

- 1 Nangungupahan
- 2 Nakatira ng libre sa bahay ng magulang
- 3 Nakatira ng libre sa bahay ng kapatid
- 4 Nakatira ng libre sa bahay ng iba pang kamag-anak
- 5 Nakatira ng walang pahintulot ng may-ari (Squatter)
- 6 Other (SPECIFY) _____
- 7 NAP (Not Applicable)

126. May kubeta ba kayo sa loob ng bahay?

- 1 Oo
- 2 Wala

127. Sa kasalukuyan, meron po ba kayong gumaganang (CHECK IF YES.)

- a. Radyo/cassette _____
- b. Electric fan _____
- c. TV _____
- d. Refrigerator/freezer _____
- e. Telepono _____
- f. Sasakyan (any motorized vehicle) _____

128. Nanakawan na po ba ang inyong bahay kahit minsan?

- 1 Oo
- 2 Hindi pa

129. Binabaha po ba ang lugar (barangay) na ito?

- 1 Oo
- 2 Hindi ENCIRCLE CODE FOR "NAP" IN Q. 130
AND GO TO Q. 131.

130. Binaha na po ba ang inyong bahay kahit minsan?

- 1 Oo
- 2 Hindi pa
- 3 NAP (Not Applicable)

131. Nagkasunog na po ba dito sa inyong lugar kahit minsan?

- 1 Oo
- 2 Hindi pa

132. Ilang minuto po ang biyahe ninyo o pagpunta mula sa inyong bahay hanggang pinakamalapit na (PROBE MODE OF TRANSPORTATION AND CHECK WHETHER RIDE OR WALK.

- a. Eskwelahan ___ mins. () Ride () Walk
- b. Pagamutan o clinic ___ mins. () Ride () Walk
- c. Simbahan ___ mins. () Ride () Walk
- d. Palengke/Pamilyahan ___ mins. () Ride () Walk

133. Ano naman po ang karaniwan ninyong ginagawa sa inyong basura? Saan o paano po ninyo ito itinatapon? (PROBE AND ENCIRCLE ALL ITEMS MENTIONED)

- | | | |
|---|--|------------------|
| 1 | Tinatapon sa labas ng bahay | ENCIRCLE |
| 2 | Sinisilbihan | CODE FOR |
| 3 | Binabaon sa lupa | "NAP" IN |
| 4 | Binubulok (pagkatapos ginagamit na abono kinokompost | Q. 134 AND GO TO |
| 5 | Pinapakain sa hayop | Q. 134 AND |
| 6 | Tinatapon sa ilog | GO TO Q. 135 |
| 7 | kinokolekta ng magbabasura | |

ASK Q 134 ONLY IF RESPONSE TO Q 133 IS '07'

134. Ilang beses sa isang linggo kinokolekta ang inyong basura?

_____ beses/linggo

Hindi regular na kinokolekta ang basura

NAP (garbage collection not mentioned)

BLOCK 38: OBJECTIVE INDICATORS OF QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE

ASK Qs. 135-140 IF RESPONDENT IS CURRENTLY WORKING.
OTHERWISE ENCIRCLE "NAP" IN Qs. 135-140 AND GO TO Q. 141.

Pag-usapan naman po natin ang inyong trabaho. Nasabi po ninyo kanina na kayo ay kasalukuyang nagtatrabaho o kumikita bilang _____.

135. Permanente po ba kayo sa inyong trabaho?

1 Oo

2 Hindi

3 NAP (Not Applicable)

136. Naaksidente na po ba kayo, kahit minsan, sa lugar na inyong pinagtatrabahuhan?

1 Oo

2 Hindi

3 NAP (Not Applicable)

137. Paano kayo pumapasok sa inyong trabaho?

1 Naglalakad lang

2 Nagbubus, nagdidyip, nagtatraysikel

3 May shuttle service ang opisina

4 May sariling sasakyan

5 Iba (SPECIFY) _____

6 Nap (Not Applicable)

138. Ilang minuto po ang inyong biyahe sa inyong bahay papunta sa inyong trabaho?

_____ mins.

999 NAP (Not Applicable)

139. Ano po ang oras ng inyong trabaho?

1 8 a.m. to 8 p.m.

2 Shifting/paiba-iba

3 Iba (SPECIFY) _____

4 "Walang oras"

5 NAP (Not Applicable)

140. Ilang oras naman po kayong nagtatrabaho sa isang araw?

_____ HOURS PER DAY

99 NAP (Not Applicable)

BLOCK 3 C OBJECTIVE INDICATORS OF PERSONAL
AND HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURES

141. Magkano po ang karaniwan ninyong gastos sa isang buwan para sa SARILI ninyong pangangailangan tulad ng pagkain, pamasaha, gamot at iba pa?
PERSONAL EXPENSES: P _____/MONTH

142. Magkano naman po ang karaniwang gastos ng inyong PAMILYA sa isang buwan para sa lahat ng inyong mga pangangailangan?
TOTAL HOUSEHOLD EXPENDITURE: P _____/MONTH

143. Meron po ba kayong naipon kahit kaunti sa ngayon?

1 Meron

2 Wala

144. Meron po ba kayong utang ngayon?

1 Meron

2 Wala (SKIP TO I. 146)

145. Kaya po ba ninyong bayaran ito sa loob ng isang buwan?

1 Oo

2 Hindi

146. Kung mangangailangan po kayo ng tulong dahil kayo ay may sakit, kapos sa pera o may iba pang problema, sinu-sino po ang maaasahan ninyong tutulong sa inyo?

Sources of Help

CHECK ALL SOURCES
MENTIONED

a. Asawa

b. Anak

c. Magulang

d. Kapatid

e. Iba pang kamag-anak

f. Kaibigan

g. Opisina/kumpanya

h. Iba (SPECIFY)

BLOCK 3D: OBJECTIVE INDICATORS OF LEISURE AND HEALTH

147. Bukod sa pagtulog sa gabi, ilang oras sa isang linggo ang nilalaan ninyo para magpahinga o mag-relaks?

_____ ORAS SA ISANG LINGGO

Oo - Wala

148. Anu-ano pong mga bagay ang inyong pinagkakalibangan?
(INDICATE ALL)

Oo - Wala

149. Ilang beses naman po sa isang linggo kayo nag-eehersisyo?

_____ BESES SA ISANG LINGGO

95 Hindi ako regular na nag-eehersisyo

00 Hindi ako nag-eehersisyo

150. Ilang beses po kayo karaniwang kumakain sa isang araw, kasama na po ang merienda?

_____ BESES ISANG ARAW

151. Ilang oras naman po kayo karaniwang natutulog sa loob ng isang araw?

_____ ORAS SA ISANG ARAW

152. Kayo po ba ay naninigarilyo?

1 Oo Regular ba o hindi?

1 Regular Ilang sticks sa isang araw? _____

2 Hindi regular

2 Hindi

3 Others (SPECIFY) _____

153. Kayo po ba ay umiinom ng alak?

1 Oo Regular ba o hindi?

1 Regular Ilang beses sa isang linggo? _____

2 Hindi regular

2 Hindi

3 Others (SPECIFY) _____

154. Kayo po ba ay regular na naglalaro ng jueteng, lotto o pagsasabong at iba pang gawain katulad ng mga ito?

1 Oo Ilang beses sa isang buwan? _____

2 Hindi

3 Others (SPECIFY) _____

155. Nitong nakaraang buwan, ilang araw kayong hindi nakagawa ng gawaing-bahay o hindi nakapagtrabaho dahil sa sakit?

_____ ARAW

BLOCK 3E: OBJECTIVE INDICATORS OF RELIGIOUS LIFE AND POLITICAL PARTICIPATION

156. Ano po ang inyong relihiyon?

1 Katoliko

2 Protestante

3 Iglesia ni Kristo

4 Iba (SPECIFY) _____

157. Ilang beses sa isang buwan kayo nagsisimba/dumadalo sa prayer meetings/sumasamba/nagdarasal sa mosque?

_____ BESES SA ISANG BUWAN

Iba (SPECIFY) _____

158. Miyembro po ba kayo ng kahit anong samahan o organisasyong relihiyoso o pansimbahan?

1 Oo

2 Hindi

159. Bumoto po ba kayo nitong nakaraang eleksyon?

1 Oo

2 Hindi

160. Miyembro po ba kayo ng kahit anong samahang pangkomunidad o sibiko?

1 Oo

2 Hindi

161. Nitong nakaraang buwan, nakapagbigay po ba kayo ng pera/panahon o nagboluntaryo para sa kawanggawa o mga gawain sa komunidad?

1 Oo

2 Hindi

BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

Kanina po ay tinanong ko kayo kung gaano kahalaga ang iba't ibang mga bagay para masabi ninyong maganda ang inyong buhay. Ngayon naman po ay gusto kong malaman kung gaano kayo nasisiyahan o di nasisiyahan sa mga bagay na iyon.

Babasahin ko pong muli ang listahan, at sa bawat isa na aking babanggitin, sabihin po lamang kung alin sa mga ito ang naglalarawan ng inyong damdamin:

- (1) LUBOS NA HINDI NASISIYAHAN, (2) HINDI NASISIYAHAN,
(2) MAAARING NASISIYAHAN MAAARING HINDI NASISIYAHAN,
(3) NASISIYAHAN, (5) LUBOS NA NASISIYAHAN.

(NOTE: ENCIRCLE CODE "9" FOR ITEMS WHICH ARE NOT APPLICABLE)

GAANO PO KAYO NASISIYAHAN SA ... ?

<u>Housing and Quality of Neighborhood</u>	<u>LHS</u>	<u>HS</u>	<u>SHS</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>LS</u>	<u>NAP</u>
162. katiyakan sa tinitirhan (tenure)	1	2	3	4	5	9
163. lokasyon ng inyong bahay sa trabaho, paaralan, pagamutan, libangan, sakayang pampubliko at iba pang pasilidad	1	2	3	4	5	
164. kaligtasan ng inyong lugar sa drugs, sugal at iba pang masamang bisyo	1	2	3	4	5	
165. kaligtasan ng inyong lugar sa sunog at baha	1	2	3	4	5	
166. lugar o espasyo sa bahay para sa mga miyembro ng pamilya	1	2	3	4	5	

167. tibay ng inyong tinitirhan	1	2	3	4	5	
168. inyong toilet/banyo/kubeta/ palikuran sa bahay	1	2	3	4	5	9
169. suplay o daloy ng tubig sa inyong tinitirhan	1	2	3	4	5	
170. suplay o daloy ng kuryente sa inyong tinitirhan	1	2	3	4	5	9
171. pagkakaroon ng sariling telepono (sa tinitirhan ninyo)	1	2	3	4	5	9
172. kalinisan ng inyong lugar at kawalang basurang nakakalat	1	2	3	4	5	
173. kaligtasan ng inyong kapaligiran sa polusyon	1	2	3	4	5	

GAANO PO KAYO NASISIYAHAN SA ...?

Housing and Quality of Neighborhood LS HS SHS S LS

174. ugnayan ninyo sa inyong mga kapitbahay	1	2	3	4	5
--	---	---	---	---	---

ASK Qs. 175–190 ONLY IF RESPONDENT IS CURRENTLY WORKING.
OTHERWISE, ENCIRCLE "9" FOR Qs. 175-190 AND GO TO Q. 191

<u>Employment and Quality of Working Life</u>	LHS	HS	SHS	S	LS	NAP
175. seguridad ng inyong trabaho	1	2	3	4	5	9
176. pagkaregular ng inyong kita	1	2	3	4	5	9
177. halaga ng inyong kinikita	1	2	3	4	5	9
178. kaligtasan ng lugar kung saan kayo nagtatrabaho	1	2	3	4	5	9
179. tagal ng inyong biyahe o pagpunta mula bahay hanggang lugar ng pinagtatrabahuhan	1	2	3	4	5	9

180. pagiging legal ng inyong trabaho	1	2	3	4	5	9
181. inyong posisyon sa trabaho	1	2	3	4	5	9
182. uri ng inyong trabaho kung ikukumpara sa inyong pinag-aralan	1	2	3	4	5	9
183. pagkakaroon ng miyembro ng pamilya na nagtatrabaho sa abroad	1	2	3	4	5	9
184. ugnayan ninyo sa inyong bos/ superbisor/amo	1	2	3	4	5	9
185. ugnayan ninyo sa inyong mga kasamahan sa trabaho	1	2	3	4	5	9
186. papuri at pagpapahalaga na natatanggap ninyo sa inyong trabaho	1	2	3	4	5	9
187. iskedyul ng inyong trabaho	1	2	3	4	5	9
188. haba ng oras ng inyong trabaho	1	2	3	4	5	9
189. karagdagang kaalamang natututunan ninyo sa inyong trabaho	1	2	3	4	5	9
190. kasapatan ng inyong kinikita para sa sarili ninyong pangangailangan	1	2	3	4	5	9

GAANO PO KAYO NASISIYAHAN SA ...?

<u>Personal Savings and Wealth</u>	LHS	HS	SHS	S	LS	NAP
191. pagkakaroon ng sariling lupa	1	2	3	4	5	9
192. pagkakaroon ng sariling bahay	1	2	3	4	5	9
193. inyong mga kagamitan o aplayanses sa bahay	1	2	3	4	5	9
194. pagkakaroon ng sariling sasakyan	1	2	3	4	5	9
195. inyong naipon	1	2	3	4	5	9
196. halaga ng inyong utang	1	2	3	4	5	9
197. oportunidad na makautang (access to credit)	1	2	3	4	5	9

Social Relationships

(Marriage, Family and Friends)

	LHS	HS	SHS	S	LS	NAP
198. pagkakaroon ng asawa	1	2	3	4	5	9
199. pagkakaroon ng anak	1	2	3	4	5	9
200. pagiging maganda o maligaya ng pagsasama ninyong mag-asawa	1	2	3	4	5	9
201. pagmamahal ng inyong asawa	1	2	3	4	5	9
202. inyong partisipasyon sa paggawa ng desisyon sa pamilya	1	2	3	4	5	9
203. pagmamahal ng inyong (mga) anak	1	2	3	4	5	9
204. pagmamahal ng inyong magulang at/o kapatid	1	2	3	4	5	9
205. pagmamahal ng iba pa ninyong kamag-anak	1	2	3	4	5	9
206. pagmamahal ng inyong mga magulang	1	2	3	4	5	9
207. panahong inilalaan ninyo para makapiling ang inyong asawa	1	2	3	4	5	9
208. panahong inilalaan ninyo para makapiling ang inyong (mga) anak	1	2	3	4	5	9
209. panahong inilalaan ninyo para makapiling ang inyong magulang at/o kapatid	1	2	3	4	5	9
210. panahong inilalaan ninyo para makapiling ang inyong mga kaibigan	1	2	3	4	5	9

GAANO PO KAYO NASISIYAHAN SA ...?

Leisure and Spare Time Activities

	LHS	HS	SHS	S	LS	NAP
211. panahong iniuukol ninyo sa inyong sarili para makapag-isa	1	2	3	4	5	
212. panahong inuukol ninyo sa inyong sarili para makapagpahinga o mar-relaks	1	2	3	4	5	

213. panahon ninyong makapaglibang kasama ang inyong asawa	1	2	3	4	5	9
214. panahon ninyong makapaglibang kasama ang inyong (mga) anak	1	2	3	4	5	
215. panahon ninyong makapaglibang kasama ang inyong magulang at/o kapatid	1	2	3	4	5	
216. panahon ninyong makapaglibang kasama ang inyong mga kaibigan	1	2	3	4	5	
217. mga balita mula sa dyaryo, TV o radyo	1	2	3	4	5	
218. mga libangan mula sa dyaryo, TV o radyo	1	2	3	4	5	
219. inyong paglalaro ng jueteng, lotto o pagsasabong at iba pang gawain katulad ng mga ito	1	2	3	4	5	
Physical Health	LHS	HS	SHS	S	LS	NAP
220. pagiging ligtas sa sakit o permanenteng kapansanan	1	2	3	4	5	
221. inyong regular na pag-eehersisyo	1	2	3	4	5	
222. posibilidad na maging mahaba ang inyong buhay	1	2	3	4	5	
223. regular na pagkain (VERB)	1	2	3	4	5	
224. pagkakaroon ng masustansyang pagkain	1	2	3	4	5	
225. inyong pagtulog	1	2	3	4	5	
226. inyong paninigarilyo	1	2	3	4	5	9
227. inyong pag-iinom	1	2	3	4	5	9
228. inyong paggamit ng bawal na gamot o droga	1	2	3	4	5	9

Psychological/Mental Health and
Emotional Well-Being

	LHS	HS	SHS	S	IS	NAP
229. inyong pagpapahalaga sa sarili	1	2	3	4	5	
230. pagiging listo sa pag-iisip	1	2	3	4	5	
231. pagkakaroon ng sarili ninyong desisyon	1	2	3	4	5	
232. respetong nakukuha ninyo sa inyong pamilya	1	2	3	4	5	
233. respetong nakukuha ninyo sa iba pang tao	1	2	3	4	5	
234. kakayahan ninyong magdala ng inyong mga problema	1	2	3	4	5	
235. kakayahan ninyong harapin ang mga pagbabago sa inyong buhay	1	2	3	4	5	
236. kapayapaan o kapanatagan ng inyong kalooban	1	2	3	4	5	

Religion and Spiritual Life

	LHS	HS	SHS	S	IS	NAP
237. inyong pananampalataya sa Diyos (Allah)	1	2	3	4	5	
238. inyong pagdarasal	1	2	3	4	5	
239. inyong pagsimba/pagsamba/ pagdasal sa mosque	1	2	3	4	5	
240. tulong na ibinibigay ninyo sa inyong kapwa	1	2	3	4	5	

Information and Knowledge

	LHS	HS	SHS	S	IS	NAP
241. inyong kakayahang bumasa at sumulat	1	2	3	4	5	
242. inyong natapos na pag-aaral	1	2	3	4	5	
243. uri ng paaralang inyong pinasukan	1	2	3	4	5	
244. inyong natutunan mula sa TV, dyaryo, pagsali sa mga kooperatiba, seminar, training at iba pa.	1	2	3	4	5	

245. napapakinabangan ninyo sa inyong pinag-aralan	1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---	---

GAANO PO KAYO NASISIYAHAN SA ...?

Political Participation	LHS	HS	SHS	S	LS	NAP
-------------------------	-----	----	-----	---	----	-----

246. lawak ng inyong kaalaman tungkol sa mga nangyayari sa ating bansa	1	2	3	4	5	
247. pagboboto/pakikilahok ninyo sa eleksyon	1	2	3	4	5	
248. kalayaang magpahayag ng inyong opinyong politikal	1	2	3	4	5	
249. pagsali ninyo sa mga samahang pangkomunidad	1	2	3	4	5	9

Government Performance	LHS	HS	SHS	S	LS	NAP
------------------------	-----	----	-----	---	----	-----

250. kapayapaan sa inyong bayan	1	2	3	4	5	9
251. kaligtasan ninyo at ng inyong pamilya sa krimen	1	2	3	4	5	9
252. layo ng inyong lugar sa labanan ng militar at rebelde	1	2	3	4	5	9
253. husay at galing ng mga lokal na pinuno	1	2	3	4	5	
254. husay at galing ng mga pambansang pinuno	1	2	3	4	5	
255. lokal na ekonomiya	1	2	3	4	5	
256. pambansang ekonomiya	1	2	3	4	5	
257. bilis ng paglutas ng mga kaso sa bansa	1	2	3	4	5	
258. mahusay na pamamalakad ng hustisya	1	2	3	4	5	
259. pagpapairal ng batas at proteksyong binibigay ng mga pulis sa mamamayan	1	2	3	4	5	

260. bilis ng pagbigay ng gobyerno ng serbisyong pampubliko	1	2	3	4	5
261. pagkapantay ng karapatan at oportunidad ng lalake at babae sa ating lipunan	1	2	3	4	5
262. na kayo ay Pilipino	1	2	3	4	5
263. pagkakaisa ng mga mamamayan ng ating bansa	1	2	3	4	5

264. (SHOW CARD 4)

Sa kard na ito ay makikita ang isang hagdan na ang pinakamababang baitang ay nagsasaad ng HINDI-HINDI MASAYA at ang pinakamataas na baitang naman ay MASAYANG-MASAYA.

Kung susuriin ninyo ang kabuuan ng inyong buhay sa kasalukuyan, saang baitang ninyo ilalagay ang inyong sarili?

265. Bakit ninyo nasabing nasa baitang na ito (RESPONSE IN Q. 264) ang inyong buhay ngayon?

265. Sa inyong palagay, ano po ang magiging uri ng inyong buhay sa susunod na taon (1998)?

Masasabi ba ninyong ito ay ...	3	Bubuti
	2	Pareho lang
	1	Sasama

267. Ano naman po sa inyong palagay ang magiging uri ng inyong buhay limang taon mula ngayon (2002)?

Masasabi ba ninyong ito ay ...	3	Bubuti
	2	Pareho lang
	1	Sasama

268. Alin sa mga bagay na aking babangitin ang magkakaroon ng pinakamalakas na impluwensya sa magiging uri ng inyong buhay
(READ OUT CHOICES AND CIRCLE ONE.)

1 Sariling sikap

2 Pagbabago sa politikal na sitwasyon sa bansa

3 Pagbabago sa pambansang ekonomiya

269. Alin naman po ang magkakaroon ng pinakamalakas na impluwensya sa magiging uri ng inyong buhay limang taon mula ngayon (2002)?
(READ OUT CHOICES AND CIRCLE ONE.)

1 Sariling sikap

2 Pagbabago sa politikal na sitwasyon sa bansa

3 Pagbabago sa pambansang ekonomiya

270. (SHOW CARD 5)

Sa kard namang ito ay makikita ang isang hagdan na may sampung baitang na ang pinakamataas na baitang (10) ay nagsasaad ng PINAKAMAGANDANG URI NG BUHAY

Saang baitang ninyo ilalagay ang inyong sarili sa ...

a. kasalukuyan (1997)? No. _____

b. Susunod na taon (1998)? No. _____

c. Limang taon mula ngayon (2002)? No. _____

271. (SHOW THE SAME CARD 5.)

Saan namang baitang ninyo ilalagay ang inyong pamilya sa ...

a. Kasalukuyan (1997)? No. _____

b. Susunod na taon (1998)? No. _____

c. Limang taon mula ngayon (2002)? No. _____

Dito po nagtatapos ang ating interbyu.

MARAMING SALAMAT PO.

To the Interviewer: Indicate TIME ENDED on cover page.



Appendix B

List of Baranggays Sampled

(Number of households in parenthesis)

NCR

- 1 Barangay 73, Caloocan (2257)
- 2 San Martin de Pores, QC (2264)
- 3 Barangka Ibaba, Mandaluyong (2047)
- 4 North Bay Blvd., North, Navotas (2830)
- 5 Addition Hills, Mandaluyong (12934)
- 6 Alabang, Muntinlupa (11450)
- 7 Barangay 176, Caloocan (31523)
- 8 Longos, Malabon (10018)
- 9 Barangay 36, Tondo, Manila (445)
- 10 Cembo, Makati (5685)
- 11 Barangay 132, Caloocan (1349)
- 12 Addition Hills, Mandaluyong (12934)
- 13 Coloong, Valenzuela (1782)
- 14 Pamplona Tres, Las Pinas (5718)
- 15 Barangay 14, Caloocan (2889)
- 16 Barangay 645, San Miguel, Manila (455)
- 17 Commonwealth, QC (22247)
- 18 Pinagbuhatan, Pasig (8147)
- 19 Teacher's Village West, QC (833)
- 20 Payatas, QC (15350)

Pangasinan - Urban

- 1 Poblacion, Urdaneta (2100)
- 2 Inlambo, Mangaldan (234)
- 3 Poblacion, Mangaldan (998)
- 4 Embarcadero, Mangaldan (341)
- 5 Baay, Labrador (793)
- 6 Baunlag, Burgos (1064)

Pangasinan - Rural

- 7 Catablan, Urdaneta (734)
- 8 Balite Sur, San Carlos City (468)
- 9 Baug, Urbiztondo (201)
- 10 Macarang, Mangatarem (211)
- 11 Loqueb Este, Malasiqui (391)
- 12 Magsaysay, Alaminos (441)
- 13 Dalangiring, Urbiztondo (840)
- 14 Carosucan Norte, Anda (653)
- 15 Banding, Pozzorubio (93)
- 16 Naguilayan, Binalonan (530)
- 17 San Maximo, Natividad (205)
- 18 Barangobong, Villasis (623)
- 19 Bacag, Villasis (880)
- 20 Bolaoen, Urdaneta (193)

Iloilo - Urban

- 1 Santo Nino Sur, Iloilo City (684)
- 2 Molo Boulevard, Iloilo City (1011)
- 3 San Pedro, Iloilo City (310)
- 4 Poblacion, Ajuy (578)
- 5 Baldoza, Iloilo City (830)
- 6 San Juan, Iloilo City (1369)

Iloilo - Rural

- 7 Siempreviva, San Dionisio (281)
- 8 Agboy Norte, Leon (138)
- 9 Talokgangan, Banate (420)

- 10 Maraguit, Cabatuan (83)
- 11 Tabuc-Suba, Barotac Nueva (189)
- 12 Madong, Janiuay (311)
- 13 Banguit, Cabatuan (108)
- 14 Walang, Lambunao (317)
- 15 Bagacay West, Maasin (122)
- 16 Palaca, Miag-ao (303)
- 17 Dorong-an, Tigbauan (313)
- 18 Jayubo, Lambunao (567)
- 19 Ferraris, Sara (162)
- 20 Tubod, Bingawan (73)

Zamboanga del Sur – Urban

- 1 San Jose, Pagadian City (990)
- 2 Talon-talon, Zamboanga City (3383)
- 3 Poblacion, Dinas (311)
- 4 Poblacion, Ramon Magsaysay (482)
- 5 Talon-taon, Zamboanga City (3383)
- 6 San Roque, Zamboanga City (2729)

Zamboanga del Sur – Rural

- 7 Banale, Pagadian City (466)
- 1 8 Upper Sicpao, Sominot (238)
- 9 Santo Nino, Pagadian City (1398)
- 10 Baluran, Tungawan (235)
- 11 Mampang, Zamboanga City (1750)
- 12 Upper Nilo, Tigbao (158)
- 13 Upper Laperian, Ramon Magsaysay (149)
- 14 Lower Tiparak, Tambulig (230)
- 15 Taniapan, San Pablo (187)
- 16 Legarda 2, Dinas (248)
- 17 Paruk, Siay (234)
- 18 Lower Usogan, Tambulig (148)
- 19 Damit, Bayog (300)
- 20 Paradise, Diplahan (220)



Appendix C

Experiences in the Field: Some Notes

Major problems encountered in the data gathering phase involved getting to far-flung areas and supervision of field teams by the designated contact people and interviewer supervisors in the field. Based on feedback from the field, the most efficient data gathering team was the professional outfit engaged by UP-CIDS to cover NCR (AE Research). The team which seemed to have the most problems was UP Baguio/Cordillera Studies Center which covered Pangasinan.

One reflection that the Well-being team has had is that it seems more efficient (and less stressful) to engage the services of professionals rather than go the longer and more tedious route of building contacts via academic institutions in the research area. For one thing, the paperwork and tediousness of the 'voucher chase' is enough to thoroughly drain one of any enthusiasm to ever undertake fieldwork again. Then too, the supervision of interviewers by academics in the field could not be as efficient as desired since they (academics) also had to take care of their own teaching loads as well as other academic responsibilities.

This research provided many learning experiences regarding the conduct of a nation-wide survey - and some of these UP-CIDS may wish to consider if it intends to put up a survey center in the future. At this point in time, since we did not have the capability to undertake the nation-wide survey by ourselves, we decided to contract the data gathering for the different regions to other groups outside the academe. Various restrictions of COA and the DBM were encountered regarding the contracting of services; this was apparently the first time that a survey had been officially contracted to an external agency so that we experienced several hits and misses before we could finally get the procedures straight. For example, most survey agencies are not used to the bidding process and thus did not wish to participate in

the process. Secondly, the requirement of giving the work out to the lowest bidder may not necessarily be desirable or acceptable since the quality of the work is a most important issue. But if the University should ever set-up its own survey center, these restrictions may no longer be a problem.

Other budgetary problems would remain, however. For example, the restrictions on the per diem rates are quite unrealistic in the face of actual field costs. Second is the perennial accounting problem of how to deal with cash advances and reimbursements. Given the number of times that our papers went back and forth and to and from accounting, it almost does not seem worthwhile to carry out any actual field studies for the University. The amount of time and effort spent in following-up papers, justifying payments, etc. could be better spent in the library or in the field. Thus, it would seem much simpler to just contract the data gathering to a private agency (as was done for NCR) and concentrate on the data analysis and report write-up.

The idea of conducting our own survey and involving other UP units presents many difficulties. We found that field experience differed among those recommended by their units, affecting the progress of the research (and possibly the quality of the data gathered). Dealing with other UP faculty also gave rise to the same problems we ourselves were faced with - time constraints of combining other academic responsibilities with the need to be out in the field. It was definitely much easier to deal with the market research group whose personnel were doing fieldwork full-time. Our experience leads us to suggest that anyone involved in a survey research should consider taking the entire semester (or year!) off from teaching.

For those interested in carrying out the ideal survey—there is probably no such thing - as our experiences with sampling problems have taught us - especially where resources are limited and where peace and order problems are a very real part of our daily existence. For example, we had to revise our sampling procedure to take into account the extremely far distances in-between houses in the rural areas. A few barangays also had to be substituted for those with peace and order problems in Zamboanga del Sur - a decision which certainly affected the need to achieve a truly random sample.

On the positive side for those regional units that involved students as interviewers, there were many learning experiences gained, although again, conditions across regions differed. Based on the field reports, UP Iloilo seemed to have had the most positive experiences. Our contact person in

Zamboanga del Sur hired both students and full-time interviewers. One major problem encountered in Zamboanga was the difficulty of reaching respondents in several rural barangays; some interviewers even met an accident due to the very rough roads. In Pangasinan, the survey coordinator could not supervise the fieldwork full-time due to teaching and other responsibilities. Instead, this was mostly carried out by the Research Assistant stationed in Pangasinan, a less than ideal situation.

In summary therefore, there was much to be learned in the process of carrying out this project. After this experience, however, the team is not inclined to continue with the project after the completion of this technical report. The time and effort that should be devoted to supervising such a project are simply not in harmony with all the other things a full-time faculty member is expected to do. The administrative and managerial requirements needed to deal with the accounting and budgetary needs may not be what the ordinary UP faculty member may wish to expend considerable time, energy and effort on.



Appendix E

Mean Importance Ratings

by Area

by Gender

by Urban-Rural

by Married or Not Married

by Working or Not Working

by Poor-Not Poor Perceptions

by Age Groups

Sheet 1
Mean Importance Ratings by Region

Variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		NCR	Comarline	Iloilo	Bacolod	N	Total
HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD							
I11	House Tenure	3.40	3.26	3.54	3.37	1199	3.39***
I12	Access of House to Work School	3.45	3.17	3.46	3.27	1198	3.34***
I13	Security of House From Crime	3.56	3.28	3.38	3.35	1198	3.39***
I14	Security of House From Calamities	3.53	3.29	3.41	3.25	1199	3.37***
I15	Sufficient House Space	3.40	3.23	3.35	3.22	1198	3.30***
I16	Sturdy House	3.49	3.36	3.52	3.34	1198	3.43***
I17	Toilet Facility	3.66	3.40	3.55	3.23	1190	3.46***
I18	Regular Water	3.71	3.45	3.53	3.36	1200	3.51***
I19	Regular Electricity	3.66	3.37	3.31	3.13	1195	3.37***
I10	Telephone	2.80	2.40	2.13	2.10	1161	2.36***
I11	Clean Surroundings	3.62	3.43	3.61	3.30	1200	3.47***
I12	Pollution Free Environment	3.60	3.38	3.47	3.27	1199	3.43***
I13	Good Neighbor Relations	3.47	3.39	3.60	3.33	1200	3.45***
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE							
I14	Permanent Job	3.71	3.39	3.56	3.37	1147	3.51***
I15	Regular Income	3.67	3.36	3.49	3.39	1150	3.48***
I16	High Income	3.55	3.25	3.33	3.33	1148	3.37***
I17	Safe Workplace	3.63	3.35	3.54	3.40	1146	3.48***
I18	Working Near Home	3.43	3.23	3.35	3.15	1148	3.29***
I19	Legal Work	3.67	3.34	3.19	3.43	1144	3.41***
I20	High Position at Work	3.17	2.83	2.86	2.82	1145	2.92***
I21	Job Suited to Education	3.36	3.04	3.22	2.99	1146	3.16***
I22	Household Member Working Abroad	2.65	2.74	2.66	2.38	1148	2.60***
I23	Good Relations With Boss	3.23	3.04	3.33	3.04	1143	3.17***
I24	Good Relations With Co-workers	3.40	3.26	3.32	3.11	1140	3.27***
I25	Praised at Work	3.33	3.20	2.99	3.13	1143	3.16***
I26	Regular Work Schedule	3.31	3.13	3.26	3.03	1144	3.19***
I27	Length of Work Time	3.08	2.97	3.00	3.02	1141	3.02NS
I28	Job Teaches Skills	3.53	3.23	3.31	3.16	1144	3.31***
I29	Enough Income Own Needs	3.52	3.39	3.33	3.19	1147	3.36***
SAVINGS WEALTH AND ASSETS							
I30	Land Ownership	3.74	3.37	3.32	3.31	1200	3.44***
I31	House Ownership	3.77	3.54	3.58	3.48	1198	3.59***
I32	Furniture Appliances	3.47	3.13	3.27	2.72	1200	3.15***
I33	Ownership of Vehicle	2.86	2.74	2.28	2.38	1193	2.51***
I34	To Save	3.61	3.31	3.49	3.17	1199	3.47***
I35	Freedom from Debt	3.37	2.97	3.21	3.25	1199	3.20***
I36	Access to Credit	2.30	2.68	2.62	2.53	1200	2.53***
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS							
I37	Having Spouse	3.35	3.29	3.22	3.59	1199	3.36***
I38	Having Children	3.62	3.42	3.41	3.63	1199	3.52***
I39	Good Marital Relation	3.73	3.57	3.61	3.64	1196	3.64***
I40	Love of Spouse	3.70	3.57	3.57	3.67	1194	3.63***
I41	Share in the Decision Making	3.61	3.38	3.49	3.46	1200	3.48***
I42	Love of Children	3.74	3.53	3.60	3.63	1195	3.63***
I43	Love of Parents, Siblings	3.73	3.48	3.55	3.57	1199	3.58***
I44	Love of Relatives	3.41	3.27	3.29	3.41	1200	3.34***

Sheet1

Mean Importance Ratings by Region

Var tab1 Description of Variables

p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 *** p < 0.001

BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

ID	SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS	NCR	Davao del Sur	Iloilo	South Cotabato	N	Total
145	Love of Friends	3.21	3.10	3.20	3.22	1200	3.18NS
146	Being with Spouse	3.58	3.43	3.52	3.51	1194	3.52*
147	Being with Children	3.73	3.45	3.54	3.57	1193	3.57***
148	Being with Parent, Siblings	3.54	3.18	3.34	3.49	1199	3.39***
149	Being with Friends	3.01	2.74	2.91	3.12	1199	2.95***
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES							
150	Time Alone	2.93	2.87	2.71	2.60	1200	2.78***
151	Time for Rest	3.09	3.11	3.19	2.97	1199	3.09***
152	Leisure with Spouse	3.18	3.22	2.98	3.20	1195	3.14***
153	Leisure with Children	3.44	3.28	3.17	3.25	1195	3.28***
154	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	3.23	3.08	2.92	3.14	1200	3.09***
155	Leisure with Friends	2.61	2.70	2.53	2.83	1199	2.67***
156	News from Media	3.11	3.06	3.08	3.02	1178	3.07NS
157	Leisure from Media	2.71	2.82	2.56	2.76	1199	2.71**
158	Play Jueteng, Lotto	1.34	1.37	1.35	1.29	1194	1.34NS
PHYSICAL HEALTH							
159	Freedom from Sickness	3.74	3.36	3.51	3.36	1199	3.49***
160	Regular Exercise	3.26	3.10	3.14	2.59	1196	3.02***
161	Long Life	3.77	3.66	3.74	3.50	1200	3.67***
162	Regular Food	3.73	3.56	3.66	3.45	1200	3.60***
163	Nutritious Food	3.72	3.50	3.57	3.46	1199	3.56***
164	Sound Sleep	3.43	3.22	3.46	3.18	1197	3.34***
165	Smoking	1.30	1.27	1.33	1.27	1183	1.31NS
166	Drinking	1.25	1.22	1.30	1.16	1186	1.23**
167	Drug Use	1.04	1.06	2.49	1.04	1181	1.41***
PSYCHOLOGICAL /MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING							
168	Importance Given to Self	3.58	3.40	3.38	3.21	1197	3.39***
169	Mental Alertness	3.63	3.25	3.43	3.47	1197	3.45***
170	Ability to Decide for Oneself	3.60	3.15	3.45	3.35	1197	3.39***
171	Respect from Family	3.75	3.56	3.58	3.48	1197	3.59***
172	Respect from Others	3.55	3.42	3.29	3.36	1196	3.40***
173	Ability Carry Problems	3.59	3.24	3.41	3.21	1196	3.36***
174	Ability Face Changes	3.61	3.28	3.45	3.30	1197	3.41***
175	Peace of Mind	3.72	3.41	3.48	3.43	1197	3.51***
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE							
176	Faith in God	3.82	3.69	3.77	3.84	1197	3.78***
177	Prayer and Reflection	3.77	3.66	3.68	3.82	1197	3.73***
178	Going to Church Activities	3.66	3.58	3.53	3.76	1196	3.63***
179	Doing Good to Others	3.75	3.60	3.63	3.67	1197	3.66***
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE							
180	Ability Read and Write	3.71	3.49	3.59	3.40	1197	3.54***
181	To Finish College	3.57	3.40	3.35	3.10	1192	3.36***
182	Attended Good School	3.39	3.28	3.26	2.95	1196	3.22***
183	Learn from other Sources	3.31	3.13	2.93	3.03	1197	3.10***
184	Apply Knowledge Learned	3.48	3.35	3.29	3.04	1180	3.29***
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION							
185	Aware National Events	3.27	2.95	3.24	3.09	1198	3.14***

Sheet1
Mean Importance Ratings by Region

Variable Description of Variables

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 ***p < 0.001

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		NCR	Pangasinan	Iloilo	Isabela	N	Total
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION							
I86	Vote Participation	3.32	3.14	3.35	3.14	1198	3.24***
I87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.38	2.93	3.00	3.03	1198	3.09***
I88	Join in Community Organizations	3.05	2.89	2.83	2.99	1195	2.94***
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE							
I89	Peaceful Vicinity	3.71	3.38	3.49	3.18	1197	3.44***
I90	Security from Crime	3.80	3.51	3.57	3.31	1198	3.55***
I91	Far from War Confrontation	3.71	3.32	3.51	3.27	1198	3.45***
I92	Efficient Local Government Official	3.67	3.31	3.29	3.25	1199	3.38***
I93	Efficient National Govt Official	3.73	3.36	3.39	3.23	1199	3.43***
I94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.66	3.31	3.39	3.21	1198	3.39***
I95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.67	3.31	3.39	3.21	1197	3.39***
I96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.74	3.32	3.43	3.21	1199	3.42***
I97	Efficient Justice System	3.74	3.35	3.50	3.20	1199	3.45***
I98	Police Efficiency	3.73	3.38	3.52	3.19	1199	3.46***
I99	Fast Government Services	3.69	3.33	3.47	3.20	1199	3.42***
I100	Equality Men Between Women	3.59	3.26	3.32	3.19	1198	3.34***
I101	Being FILIPINO	3.74	3.47	3.58	3.52	1198	3.58***
I102	Unity of Filipinos	3.76	3.42	3.50	3.36	1199	3.51***

Means of Importance Questions by SEX of Respondent

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD		Female	Male	Total	N
I1	House Tenure	3.40	3.37	3.39	1199
I2	Access of House to Work School	3.35	3.32	3.34	1198
I3	Security of House From Crime	3.42	3.36	3.39	1198
I4	Security of House From Calamities	3.39	3.35	3.37	1199
I5	Sufficient House Space	3.30	3.30	3.30	1198
I6	Sturdy House	3.45	3.41	3.43	1198
I7	Toilet Facility	3.47	3.44	3.46	1190
I8	Regular Water	3.52	3.51	3.51	1200
I9	Regular Electricity	3.37	3.37	3.37	1195
I10	Telephone	2.31	2.41	2.36	1161
I11	Clean Surroundings	3.51	3.47	3.49	1200
I12	Pollution Free Environment	3.44	3.41	3.43	1199
I13	Good Neighbor Relations	3.44	3.45	3.45	1200
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE					
I14	Permanent Job	3.51	3.51	3.51	1147
I15	Regular Income	3.51	3.46	3.48	1150
I16	High Income	3.41	3.32	3.37	1148
I17	Safe Workplace	3.50	3.47	3.48	1146
I18	Working Near Home	3.29	3.29	3.29	1145
I19	Legal Work	3.43	3.40	3.41	1144
I20	High Position at Work	2.92	2.93	2.92	1145
I21	Job Suited to Education	3.15	3.16	3.16	1146
I22	Household Member Working Abroad	2.58	2.62	2.60	1148
I23	Good Relations With Boss	3.14	3.19	3.17	1142
I24	Good Relations With Co-workers	3.27	3.28	3.27	1140
I25	Praised at Work	3.16	3.15	3.16	1143
I26	Regular Work Schedule	3.19	3.18	3.19	1144
I27	Length of Work Time	3.01	3.04	3.02	1141
I28	Job Teaches Skills	3.31	3.31	3.31	1144
I29	Enough Income Own Needs	3.37	3.34	3.36	1147
SAVINGS WEALTH AND ASSETS					
I30	Land Ownership	3.45	3.42	3.43	1200
I31	House Ownership	3.60	3.58	3.59	1198
I32	Furniture Appliances	3.15	3.15	3.15	1200
I33	Ownership of Vehicle	2.50	2.58	2.54	1193
I34	To Save	3.49	3.46	3.47	1199
I35	Freedom from Debt	3.22	3.19	3.20	1199
I36	Access to Credit	2.56	2.50	2.53	1200
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS					
I37	Having Spouse	3.28	3.44	3.36	1199
I38	Having Children	3.50	3.54	3.52	1199
I39	Good Marital Relation	3.62	3.66	3.64	1196
I40	Love of Spouse	3.59	3.66	3.63	1194
I41	Share in the Decision Making	3.46	3.50	3.48	1200
I42	Love of Children	3.62	3.64	3.63	1195
I43	Love of Parents, Siblings	3.58	3.58	3.58	1199
I44	Love of Relatives	3.38	3.31	3.34	1200

Sheet1

Means of Importance Questions by SEX of Respondent

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS		Female	Male	Total	N
145	Love of Friends	3.19	3.17	3.18	1200
146	Being with Spouse	3.48	3.56	3.52	1194
147	Being with Children	3.58	3.56	3.57	1193
148	Being with Parent, Siblings	3.38	3.40	3.39	1199
149	Being with Friends	2.94	2.95	2.95	1199
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES					
150	Time Alone	2.81	2.74	2.78	1200
151	Time for Rest	3.12	3.06	3.09	1199
152	Leisure with Spouse	3.07	3.22	3.14	1195
153	Leisure with Children	3.27	3.30	3.28	1195
154	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	3.09	3.09	3.09	1200
155	Leisure with Friends	2.65	2.69	2.67	1199
156	News from Media	3.11	3.02	3.07	1198
157	Leisure from Media	2.71	2.71	2.71	1199
158	Play Justeng, Lotto	1.29	1.38	1.33	1194
PHYSICAL HEALTH					
159	Freedom from Sickness	3.49	3.49	3.49	1199
160	Regular Exercise	3.03	3.02	3.02	1196
161	Long Life	3.66	3.68	3.67	1200
162	Regular Food	3.60	3.60	3.60	1200
163	Nutritious Food	3.57	3.55	3.56	1199
164	Sound Sleep	3.35	3.32	3.34	1197
165	Smoking	1.16	1.46	1.31	1183
166	Drinking	1.09	1.38	1.23	1186
167	Drug Use	1.42	1.40	1.41	1181
PSYCHOLOGICAL /MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING					
168	Importance Given to Self	3.40	3.38	3.39	1197
169	Mental Alertness	3.43	3.46	3.45	1197
170	Ability to Decide for Oneself	3.38	3.40	3.39	1197
171	Respect from Family	3.58	3.60	3.59	1197
172	Respect from Others	3.43	3.38	3.40	1196
173	Ability Carry Problems	3.36	3.36	3.36	1196
174	Ability Pace Changes	3.40	3.43	3.41	1197
175	Peace of Mind	3.51	3.51	3.51	1197
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE					
176	Faith in God	3.79	3.77	3.78	1197
177	Prayer and Reflection	3.77	3.69	3.73	1197
178	Going to Church Activities	3.69	3.57	3.66	1196
179	Doing Good to Others	3.68	3.64	3.66	1197
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE					
180	Ability Read and Write	3.58	3.50	3.54	1197
181	To Finish College	3.39	3.32	3.36	1192
182	Attended Good School	3.26	3.18	3.22	1196
183	Learn from other Sources	3.13	3.07	3.10	1197
184	Apply Knowledge Learned	3.31	3.27	3.29	1180
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION					
185	Aware National Events	3.16	3.11	3.14	1198

Sheet1
Means of Importance Questions by SEX of Respondent

Variable Description of Variables

to BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION		Female	Male	Total	N
186	Vote Participation	3.25	3.22	3.24	1198
187	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.09	3.08	3.09	1198
188	Join in Community Organizations	2.97	2.91	2.94	1197
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE					
189	Peaceful Vicinity	3.45	3.43	3.44	1197
190	Security from Crime	3.55	3.54	3.55	1198
191	Far from War Confrontation	3.47	3.43	3.45	1198
192	Efficient Local Government Official	3.41	3.35	3.38	1199
193	Efficient National Govt Official	3.44	3.41	3.43	1199
194	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.43	3.36	3.39	1198
195	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.42	3.37	3.40	1197
196	Fast Handling of Cases	3.43	3.41	3.42	1199
197	Efficient Justice System	3.45	3.44	3.45	1199
198	Police Efficiency	3.46	3.45	3.46	1199
199	Fast Government Services	3.43	3.41	3.42	1199
1100	Equality Men Between Women	3.35	3.33	3.34	1198
1101	Being FILIPINO	3.56	3.59	3.58	1198
1102	Unity of Filipinos	3.52	3.49	3.51	1199

File Name: IMPBYV10.XLS

Sheet1
Means of Importance Questions by Type of Residence

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD		Rural	Urban	Urban	Total	N
I1	House Tenure	3.40	3.34	3.40	3.39	1199
I2	Access of House to Work School	3.28	3.34	3.45	3.34	1198
I3	Security of House From Crime	3.35	3.30	3.56	3.39	1198
I4	Security of House From Calamities	3.30	3.35	3.53	3.37	1199
I5	Sufficient House Space	3.27	3.25	3.40	3.30	1198
I6	Sturdy House	3.39	3.44	3.49	3.43	1198
I7	Toilet Facility	3.36	3.47	3.66	3.46	1190
I8	Regular Water	3.44	3.46	3.71	3.51	1200
I9	Regular Electricity	3.20	3.44	3.66	3.37	1195
I10	Telephone	2.17	2.28	2.80	2.36	1161
I11	Clean Surroundings	3.44	3.47	3.62	3.49	1200
I12	Pollution Free Environment	3.36	3.41	3.60	3.43	1199
I13	Good Neighbor Relations	3.45	3.41	3.47	3.45	1200
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE						
I14	Permanent Job	3.41	3.51	3.71	3.51	1147
I15	Regular Income	3.40	3.47	3.67	3.48	1150
I16	High Income	3.29	3.34	3.55	3.37	1148
I17	Safe Workplace	3.41	3.47	3.63	3.48	1146
I18	Working Near Home	3.24	3.25	3.43	3.29	1148
I19	Legal Work	3.30	3.36	3.67	3.41	1144
I20	High Position at Work	2.80	2.92	3.17	2.92	1145
I21	Job Suited to Education	3.06	3.15	3.36	3.16	1146
I22	Household Member Working Abroad	2.57	2.61	2.65	2.60	1148
I23	Good Relations With Boss	3.11	3.22	3.23	3.17	1142
I24	Good Relations With Co-workers	3.20	3.29	3.40	3.27	1140
I25	Praised at Work	3.05	3.21	3.33	3.16	1143
I26	Regular Work Schedule	3.11	3.23	3.31	3.19	1144
I27	Length of Work Time	2.99	3.03	3.08	3.02	1141
I28	Job Teaches Skills	3.22	3.27	3.53	3.31	1144
I29	Enough Income Own Needs	3.30	3.30	3.52	3.36	1147
SAVINGS WEALTH AND ASSETS						
I30	Land Ownership	3.30	3.41	3.74	3.43	1200
I31	House Ownership	3.51	3.58	3.77	3.59	1198
I32	Furniture Appliances	3.01	3.11	3.47	3.15	1200
I33	Ownership of Vehicle	2.42	2.46	2.86	2.54	1193
I34	To Save	3.41	3.46	3.61	3.47	1199
I35	Freedom from Debt	3.14	3.15	3.37	3.20	1199
I36	Access to Credit	2.65	2.51	2.30	2.53	1200
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS						
I37	Having Spouse	3.41	3.27	3.35	3.36	1199
I38	Having Children	3.50	3.45	3.62	3.52	1199
I39	Good Marital Relation	3.61	3.61	3.73	3.64	1195
I40	Love of Spouse	3.61	3.59	3.70	3.63	1194
I41	Share in the Decision Making	3.43	3.47	3.61	3.48	1200
I42	Love of Children	3.60	3.58	3.74	3.63	1195
I43	Love of Parents, Siblings	3.53	3.54	3.73	3.58	1199
I44	Love of Relatives	3.33	3.30	3.41	3.34	1200

Sheet1

Means of Importance Questions by Type of Residence

Variable: Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS		Rural	Urban	Urban sec	Total	N
I45	Love of Friends	3.17	3.18	3.21	3.18	1200
I46	Being with Spouse	3.50	3.49	3.58	3.52	1194
I47	Being with Children	3.52	3.50	3.73	3.57	1193
I48	Being with Parent, Siblings	3.36	3.27	3.54	3.39	1192
I49	Being with Friends	2.96	2.84	3.01	2.95	1199
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES						
I50	Time Alone	2.72	2.75	2.93	2.78	1200
I51	Time for Rest	3.10	3.08	3.09	3.09	1199
I52	Leisure with Spouse	3.15	3.10	3.18	3.14	1195
I53	Leisure with Children	3.24	3.22	3.44	3.28	1195
I54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	3.06	3.01	3.23	3.09	1200
I55	Leisure with Friends	2.71	2.64	2.61	2.67	1199
I56	News from Media	3.03	3.11	3.11	3.07	1198
I57	Leisure from Media	2.71	2.72	2.71	2.71	1199
I58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	1.32	1.37	1.34	1.33	1194
PHYSICAL HEALTH						
I59	Freedom from Sickness	3.40	3.44	3.74	3.49	1199
I60	Regular Exercise	2.92	2.98	3.26	3.02	1196
I61	Long Life	3.62	3.66	3.77	3.67	1200
I62	Regular Food	3.57	3.53	3.73	3.60	1200
I63	Nutritious Food	3.50	3.52	3.72	3.56	1199
I64	Sound Sleep	3.28	3.30	3.49	3.34	1197
I65	Smoking	1.34	1.25	1.30	1.31	1183
I66	Drinking	1.24	1.20	1.25	1.23	1186
I67	Drug Use	1.48	1.67	1.04	1.41	1181
PSYCHOLOGICAL /MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING						
I68	Importance Given to Self	3.32	3.36	3.58	3.39	1197
I69	Mental Alertness	3.37	3.42	3.63	3.45	1197
I70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	3.30	3.35	3.60	3.39	1197
I71	Respect from Family	3.51	3.60	3.75	3.59	1197
I72	Respect from Others	3.33	3.41	3.55	3.40	1196
I73	Ability Carry Problems	3.27	3.31	3.59	3.36	1196
I74	Ability Face Changes	3.34	3.34	3.61	3.41	1197
I75	Peace of Mind	3.42	3.47	3.72	3.51	1197
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE						
I76	Faith in God	3.76	3.78	3.82	3.78	1197
I77	Prayer and Reflection	3.72	3.71	3.77	3.73	1197
I78	Going to Church Activities	3.62	3.62	3.62	3.66	1196
I79	Doing Good to Others	3.64	3.62	3.75	3.66	1197
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE						
I80	Ability Read and Write	3.46	3.57	3.71	3.54	1197
I81	To Finish College	3.25	3.37	3.57	3.36	1192
I82	Attended Good School	3.14	3.21	3.40	3.22	1196
I83	Learn from other Sources	3.01	3.07	3.31	3.10	1197
I84	Apply Knowledge Learned	3.20	3.28	3.48	3.29	1180
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION						
I85	Aware National Events	3.06	3.17	3.27	3.14	1198

Sheet1

Means of Importance Questions by Type of Residence

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION

		Rural	Urban	Urban non-PCA	Total	N
I86	Vote Participation	3.20	3.23	3.32	3.24	1198
I87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	2.95	3.07	3.38	3.09	1198
I88	Join in Community Organizations	2.92	2.86	3.05	2.94	1197

GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE

I89	Peaceful Vicinity	3.33	3.40	3.71	3.44	1197
I90	Security from Crime	3.43	3.53	3.80	3.55	1198
I91	Far from War Confrontation	3.36	3.37	3.71	3.45	1198
I92	Efficient Local Government Official	3.27	3.31	3.67	3.38	1199
I93	Efficient National Govt Official	3.31	3.37	3.73	3.43	1199
I94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.29	3.34	3.67	3.39	1198
I95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.29	3.33	3.67	3.40	1197
I96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.30	3.37	3.74	3.42	1199
I97	Efficient Justice System	3.33	3.39	3.74	3.45	1199
I98	Police Efficiency	3.36	3.39	3.73	3.46	1199
I99	Fast Government Services	3.32	3.37	3.69	3.42	1199
I100	Equality Men Between Women	3.25	3.26	3.59	3.34	1198
I101	Being FILIPINO	3.52	3.52	3.74	3.58	1198
I102	Unity of Filipinos	3.40	3.48	3.76	3.51	1199

File Name: IMPBYV4.XLS

Sheet1

Means of Importance Questions by V13 Married or Not

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD		Married	No Spouse	Total	N
I1	House Tenure	3.38	3.41	3.39	1199
I2	Access of House to Work School	3.33	3.36	3.34	1198
I3	Security of House From Crime	3.37	3.45	3.39	1198
I4	Security of House From Calamities	3.36	3.41	3.37	1199
I5	Sufficient House Space	3.30	3.30	3.30	1198
I6	Sturdy House	3.44	3.40	3.43	1198
I7	Toilet Facility	3.46	3.44	3.46	1190
I8	Regular Water	3.50	3.55	3.51	1200
I9	Regular Electricity	3.37	3.37	3.37	1195
I10	Telephone	2.33	2.44	2.36	1161
I11	Clean Surroundings	3.50	3.47	3.49	1200
I12	Pollution Free Environment	3.44	3.40	3.43	1199
I13	Good Neighbor Relations	3.44	3.47	3.45	1200
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE					
I14	Permanent Job	3.50	3.55	3.51	1147
I15	Regular Income	3.48	3.50	3.48	1150
I16	High Income	3.38	3.35	3.37	1148
I17	Safe Workplace	3.47	3.52	3.48	1146
I18	Working Near Home	3.31	3.25	3.29	1148
I19	Legal Work	3.41	3.41	3.41	1144
I20	High Position at Work	2.90	3.01	2.92	1145
I21	Job Suited to Education	3.14	3.22	3.16	1146
I22	Household Member Working Abroad	2.56	2.72	2.60	1148
I23	Good Relations With Boss	3.16	3.18	3.17	1142
I24	Good Relations With Co-workers	3.27	3.29	3.27	1140
I25	Praised at Work	3.15	3.20	3.16	1143
I26	Regular Work Schedule	3.18	3.21	3.19	1144
I27	Length of Work Time	3.02	3.02	3.02	1141
I28	Job Teaches Skills	3.29	3.40	3.31	1144
I29	Enough Income Own Needs	3.34	3.40	3.36	1147
SAVINGS WEALTH AND ASSETS					
I30	Land Ownership	3.44	3.43	3.43	1200
I31	House Ownership	3.59	3.60	3.59	1198
I32	Furniture Appliances	3.13	3.20	3.15	1200
I33	Ownership of Vehicle	2.52	2.61	2.54	1193
I34	To Save	3.46	3.51	3.47	1199
I35	Freedom from Debt	3.20	3.19	3.20	1199
I36	Access to Credit	2.55	2.47	2.53	1200
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS					
I37	Having Spouse	3.50	2.91	3.36	1199
I38	Having Children	3.60	3.26	3.52	1199
I39	Good Marital Relation	3.69	3.49	3.64	1196
I40	Love of Spouse	3.68	3.46	3.63	1194
I41	Share in the Decision Making	3.50	3.42	3.48	1200
I42	Love of Children	3.66	3.53	3.63	1195
I43	Love of Parents, Siblings	3.57	3.60	3.58	1199
I44	Love of Relatives	3.34	3.35	3.34	1200

Sheet1
Means of Importance Questions by V13 Married or Not

Variable Description of Variables

Block 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

ID		Married	No Spouse	Total	N
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS					
I45	Love of Friends	3.17	3.20	3.18	1200
I46	Being with Spouse	3.59	3.30	3.52	1194
I47	Being with Children	3.61	3.44	3.57	1193
I48	Being with Parent, Siblings	3.36	3.48	3.39	1199
I49	Being with Friends	2.92	3.01	2.95	1199
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES					
I50	Time Alone	2.75	2.88	2.78	1200
I51	Time for Rest	3.07	3.18	3.09	1199
I52	Leisure with Spouse	3.19	3.01	3.14	1195
I53	Leisure with Children	3.30	3.22	3.28	1195
I54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	3.04	3.27	3.09	1200
I55	Leisure with Friends	2.61	2.85	2.67	1199
I56	News from Media	3.05	3.13	3.07	1198
I57	Leisure from Media	2.68	2.82	2.71	1199
I58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	1.31	1.40	1.33	1194
PHYSICAL HEALTH					
I59	Freedom from Sickness	3.47	3.56	3.49	1199
I60	Regular Exercise	3.00	3.10	3.02	1196
I61	Long Life	3.65	3.71	3.67	1200
I62	Regular Food	3.59	3.63	3.60	1200
I63	Nutritious Food	3.55	3.58	3.56	1199
I64	Sound Sleep	3.32	3.38	3.34	1197
I65	Smoking	1.31	1.29	1.31	1183
I66	Drinking	1.22	1.28	1.23	1186
I67	Drug Use	1.41	1.39	1.41	1181
PSYCHOLOGICAL /MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING					
I68	Importance Given to Self	3.37	3.46	3.39	1197
I69	Mental Alertness	3.44	3.47	3.45	1197
I70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	3.38	3.42	3.39	1197
I71	Respect from Family	3.57	3.65	3.59	1197
I72	Respect from Others	3.38	3.47	3.40	1196
I73	Ability Carry Problems	3.35	3.39	3.36	1196
I74	Ability Face Changes	3.39	3.47	3.41	1197
I75	Peace of Mind	3.50	3.54	3.51	1197
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE					
I76	Faith in God	3.77	3.81	3.78	1197
I77	Prayer and Reflection	3.73	3.73	3.73	1197
I78	Going to Church Activities	3.62	3.68	3.66	1196
I79	Doing Good to Others	3.66	3.67	3.66	1197
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE					
I80	Ability Read and Write	3.52	3.64	3.54	1197
I81	To Finish College	3.31	3.49	3.36	1192
I82	Attended Good School	3.20	3.26	3.22	1196
I83	Learn from other Sources	3.08	3.17	3.10	1197
I84	Apply Knowledge Learned	3.26	3.38	3.29	1180
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION					
I85	Aware National Events	3.11	3.23	3.14	1198

Sheet1

Means of Importance Questions by V13 Married or Not

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION		Married	No Spouse	Total	N
I86	Vote Participation	3.21	3.31	3.24	1198
I87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.07	3.14	3.09	1198
I88	Join in Community Organizations	2.93	2.98	2.94	1197
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE					
I89	Peaceful Vicinity	3.41	3.54	3.44	1197
I90	Security from Crime	3.52	3.64	3.55	1198
I91	Far from War Confrontation	3.43	3.53	3.45	1198
I92	Efficient Local Government Official	3.36	3.44	3.38	1199
I93	Efficient National Govt Official	3.40	3.50	3.43	1199
I94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.38	3.44	3.39	1198
I95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.37	3.46	3.40	1197
I96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.39	3.52	3.42	1199
I97	Efficient Justice System	3.42	3.54	3.45	1199
I98	Police Efficiency	3.43	3.54	3.46	1199
I99	Fast Government Services	3.40	3.51	3.42	1199
I100	Equality Men Between Women	3.32	3.40	3.34	1198
I101	Being FILIPINO	3.55	3.67	3.58	1198
I102	Unity of Filipinos	3.48	3.59	3.51	1199

Means of Importance Questions by V19 Working or Not

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD		Working	Not Working	Total	N
I11	House Tenure	3.39	3.39	3.39	1199
I12	Access of House to Work School	3.35	3.33	3.34	1198
I13	Security of House From Crime	3.40	3.38	3.39	1198
I14	Security of House From Calamities	3.39	3.35	3.37	1199
I15	Sufficient House Space	3.30	3.30	3.30	1198
I16	Sturdy House	3.42	3.44	3.43	1198
I17	Toilet Facility	3.47	3.45	3.46	1190
I18	Regular Water	3.53	3.49	3.51	1200
I19	Regular Electricity	3.40	3.34	3.37	1195
I110	Telephone	2.41	2.29	2.36	1161
I111	Clean Surroundings	3.50	3.48	3.49	1200
I112	Pollution Free Environment	3.44	3.41	3.43	1199
I113	Good Neighbor Relations	3.46	3.43	3.45	1200
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE					
I114	Permanent Job	3.55	3.47	3.51	1147
I115	Regular Income	3.51	3.48	3.48	1150
I116	High Income	3.39	3.35	3.37	1148
I117	Safe Workplace	3.51	3.45	3.48	1146
I118	Working Near Home	3.34	3.23	3.29	1148
I119	Legal Work	3.43	3.39	3.41	1144
I120	High Position at Work	2.93	2.92	2.92	1145
I121	Job Suited to Education	3.18	3.12	3.16	1146
I122	Household Member Working Abroad	2.56	2.65	2.60	1148
I123	Good Relations With Boss	3.17	3.16	3.17	1142
I124	Good Relations With Co-workers	3.30	3.24	3.27	1140
I125	Praised at Work	3.19	3.12	3.16	1143
I126	Regular Work Schedule	3.19	3.13	3.19	1144
I127	Length of Work Time	3.04	2.99	3.02	1141
I128	Job Teaches Skills	3.30	3.33	3.31	1144
I129	Enough Income Own Needs	3.37	3.34	3.36	1147
SAVINGS WEALTH AND ASSETS					
I130	Land Ownership	3.44	3.42	3.43	1200
I131	House Ownership	3.61	3.58	3.59	1198
I132	Furniture Appliances	3.13	3.16	3.15	1200
I133	Ownership of Vehicle	2.56	2.51	2.54	1193
I134	To Save	3.48	3.47	3.47	1199
I135	Freedom from Debt	3.22	3.18	3.20	1199
I136	Access to Credit	2.55	2.50	2.53	1200
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS					
I137	Having Spouse	3.46	3.25	3.36	1199
I138	Having Children	3.56	3.47	3.52	1199
I139	Good Marital Relation	3.68	3.60	3.64	1196
I140	Love of Spouse	3.67	3.58	3.63	1194
I141	Share in the Decision Making	3.51	3.46	3.48	1200
I142	Love of Children	3.64	3.61	3.63	1195
I143	Love of Parents, Siblings	3.60	3.56	3.58	1199
I144	Love of Relatives	3.34	3.35	3.34	1200

Sheet1
Means of Importance Questions by V19 Working or Not

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS		Working	Not Working	Total	N
I45	Love of Friends	3.19	3.17	3.18	1200
I46	Being with Spouse	3.56	3.48	3.52	1194
I47	Being with Children	3.60	3.54	3.57	1193
I48	Being with Parent, Siblings	3.42	3.35	3.39	1199
I49	Being with Friends	2.95	2.95	2.95	1199
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES					
I50	Time Alone	2.75	2.81	2.78	1200
I51	Time for Rest	3.10	3.10	3.09	1199
I52	Leisure with Spouse	3.20	3.08	3.14	1195
I53	Leisure with Children	3.32	3.25	3.28	1195
I54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	3.11	3.07	3.09	1200
I55	Leisure with Friends	2.65	2.70	2.67	1199
I56	News from Media	3.05	3.09	3.07	1198
I57	Leisure from Media	2.70	2.72	2.71	1199
I58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	1.36	1.31	1.33	1194
PHYSICAL HEALTH					
I59	Freedom from Sickness	3.54	3.44	3.49	1199
I60	Regular Exercise	3.00	3.04	3.02	1196
I61	Long Life	3.68	3.66	3.67	1200
I62	Regular Food	3.61	3.59	3.60	1200
I63	Nutritious Food	3.56	3.57	3.56	1199
I64	Sound Sleep	3.34	3.33	3.34	1197
I65	Smoking	1.39	1.22	1.31	1183
I66	Drinking	1.29	1.17	1.23	1186
I67	Drug Use	1.37	1.46	1.41	1181
PSYCHOLOGICAL /MENIAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING					
I68	Importance Given to Self	3.40	3.38	3.39	1197
I69	Mental Alertness	3.48	3.41	3.45	1197
I70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	3.44	3.33	3.39	1197
I71	Respect from Family	3.60	3.59	3.59	1197
I72	Respect from Others	3.41	3.40	3.40	1196
I73	Ability Carry Problems	3.40	3.31	3.36	1196
I74	Ability Face Changes	3.45	3.37	3.41	1197
I75	Peace of Mind	3.54	3.48	3.51	1197
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE					
I76	Faith in God	3.78	3.78	3.78	1197
I77	Prayer and Reflection	3.72	3.74	3.73	1197
I78	Going to Church Activities	3.62	3.65	3.66	1196
I79	Doing Good to Others	3.67	3.64	3.66	1197
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE					
I80	Ability Read and Write	3.53	3.56	3.54	1197
I81	To Finish College	3.30	3.42	3.36	1192
I82	Attended Good School	3.19	3.25	3.22	1196
I83	Learn from other Sources	3.10	3.10	3.10	1197
I84	Apply Knowledge Learned	3.27	3.31	3.29	1180
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION					
I85	Aware National Events	3.14	3.13	3.14	1198

Sheet1
Means of Importance Questions by V19 Working or Not

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION		Working	Not Working	Total	N
186	Vote Participation	3.24	3.24	3.24	1198
187	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.08	3.10	3.09	1198
188	Join in Community Organizations	2.91	2.97	2.94	1197
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE					
189	Peaceful Vicinity	3.44	3.44	3.44	1197
190	Security from Crime	3.55	3.54	3.55	1198
191	Far from War Confrontation	3.47	3.43	3.45	1198
192	Efficient Local Government Official	3.37	3.39	3.38	1199
193	Efficient National Govt Official	3.44	3.41	3.43	1199
194	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.39	3.40	3.39	1198
195	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.40	3.39	3.40	1197
196	Fast Handling of Cases	3.43	3.41	3.42	1199
197	Efficient Justice System	3.46	3.43	3.45	1199
198	Police Efficiency	3.47	3.44	3.46	1199
199	Fast Government Services	3.44	3.41	3.42	1199
1100	Equality Men Between Women	3.36	3.32	3.34	1198
1101	Being FILIPINO	3.61	3.55	3.58	1198
1102	Unity of Filipinos	3.52	3.49	3.51	1199

Sheet1
Means of Importance Questions by V26 SES Respondent

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD		Poor	On Line	Not Poor	Total	N
I1	House Tenure	3.38	3.39	3.41	3.39	1199
I2	Access of House to Work School	3.38	3.30	3.29	3.34	1198
I3	Security of House From Crime	3.37	3.44	3.36	3.39	1198
I4	Security of House From Calamities	3.33	3.42	3.39	3.37	1199
I5	Sufficient House Space	3.31	3.30	3.31	3.30	1198
I6	Sturdy House	3.41	3.42	3.49	3.43	1198
I7	Toilet Facility	3.42	3.47	3.56	3.46	1190
I8	Regular Water	3.46	3.54	3.63	3.51	1200
I9	Regular Electricity	3.30	3.43	3.48	3.37	1195
I10	Telephone	2.22	2.41	2.67	2.36	1161
I11	Clean Surroundings	3.45	3.51	3.59	3.49	1200
I12	Pollution Free Environment	3.38	3.48	3.47	3.43	1199
I13	Good Neighbor Relations	3.44	3.47	3.42	3.45	1200
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE						
I14	Permanent Job	3.49	3.50	3.60	3.51	1147
I15	Regular Income	3.48	3.47	3.54	3.48	1150
I16	High Income	3.35	3.36	3.42	3.37	1148
I17	Safe Workplace	3.47	3.48	3.52	3.48	1146
I18	Working Near Home	3.29	3.28	3.32	3.29	1148
I19	Legal Work	3.37	3.48	3.41	3.41	1144
I20	High Position at Work	2.88	2.89	3.13	2.92	1145
I21	Job Suited to Education	3.11	3.14	3.36	3.16	1146
I22	Household Member Working Abroad	2.65	2.52	2.60	2.60	1148
I23	Good Relations With Boss	3.16	3.14	3.24	3.17	1142
I24	Good Relations With Co-workers	3.24	3.28	3.38	3.27	1140
I25	Praised at Work	3.16	3.10	3.29	3.16	1143
I26	Regular Work Schedule	3.17	3.16	3.27	3.19	1144
I27	Length of Work Time	3.01	3.03	3.05	3.02	1141
I28	Job Teaches Skills	3.28	3.32	3.42	3.31	1144
I29	Enough Income Own Needs	3.32	3.36	3.45	3.36	1147
SAVINGS WEALTH AND ASSETS						
I30	Land Ownership	3.40	3.45	3.52	3.43	1200
I31	House Ownership	3.57	3.61	3.65	3.59	1198
I32	Furniture Appliances	3.09	3.14	3.34	3.15	1200
I33	Ownership of Vehicle	2.43	2.57	2.84	2.54	1193
I34	To Save	3.47	3.47	3.51	3.47	1199
I35	Freedom from Debt	3.23	3.19	3.14	3.20	1199
I36	Access to Credit	2.60	2.52	2.30	2.53	1200
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS						
I37	Having Spouse	3.33	3.44	3.31	3.36	1199
I38	Having Children	3.49	3.56	3.53	3.52	1199
I39	Good Marital Relation	3.59	3.69	3.69	3.64	1196
I40	Love of Spouse	3.57	3.67	3.71	3.63	1194
I41	Share in the Decision Making	3.47	3.49	3.52	3.48	1200
I42	Love of Children	3.59	3.66	3.69	3.63	1195
I43	Love of Parents, Siblings	3.54	3.59	3.69	3.58	1199
I44	Love of Relatives	3.32	3.35	3.40	3.34	1200

Sheet1

Means of Importance Questions by V26 SES Respondent

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION		Poor	On Line	Not Poor	Total	N
I86	Vote Participation	3.22	3.25	3.25	3.24	1198
I87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.06	3.10	3.14	3.09	1198
I88	Join in Community Organizations	2.93	2.96	2.93	2.94	1197
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE						
I89	Peaceful Vicinity	3.41	3.45	3.52	3.44	1197
I90	Security from Crime	3.48	3.58	3.69	3.55	1198
I91	Far from War Confrontation	3.41	3.48	3.53	3.45	1198
I92	Efficient Local Government Official	3.34	3.40	3.48	3.38	1199
I93	Efficient National Govt Official	3.38	3.46	3.52	3.43	1199
I94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.36	3.42	3.44	3.39	1198
I95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.34	3.44	3.49	3.40	1197
I96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.38	3.44	3.52	3.42	1199
I97	Efficient Justice System	3.40	3.48	3.55	3.45	1199
I98	Police Efficiency	3.42	3.44	3.62	3.46	1199
I99	Fast Government Services	3.37	3.45	3.54	3.42	1199
I100	Equality Men Between Women	3.30	3.36	3.42	3.34	1198
I101	Being FILIPINO	3.56	3.60	3.60	3.58	1198
I102	Unity of Filipinos	3.47	3.53	3.60	3.51	1199

Sheet1
Means of Importance Questions by V27 SES Family

Variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD		Poor	On Line	Not Poor	Total	N
I1	House Tenure	3.38	3.38	3.42	3.39	1199
I2	Access of House to Work School	3.36	3.31	3.36	3.34	1198
I3	Security of House From Crime	3.37	3.42	3.39	3.39	1198
I4	Security of House From Calamities	3.30	3.42	3.44	3.37	1199
I5	Sufficient House Space	3.28	3.29	3.39	3.30	1198
I6	Sturdy House	3.42	3.40	3.51	3.43	1198
I7	Toilet Facility	3.39	3.48	3.58	3.46	1190
I8	Regular Water	3.45	3.53	3.64	3.51	1200
I9	Regular Electricity	3.30	3.41	3.49	3.37	1195
I10	Telephone	2.25	2.38	2.58	2.36	1161
I11	Clean Surroundings	3.45	3.49	3.61	3.49	1200
I12	Pollution Free Environment	3.39	3.45	3.48	3.43	1199
I13	Good Neighbor Relations	3.43	3.46	3.48	3.45	1200
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE						
I14	Permanent Job	3.48	3.48	3.67	3.51	1147
I15	Regular Income	3.48	3.45	3.59	3.48	1150
I16	High Income	3.37	3.36	3.41	3.37	1148
I17	Safe Workplace	3.48	3.46	3.56	3.48	1146
I18	Working Near Home	3.32	3.26	3.31	3.29	1148
I19	Legal Work	3.36	3.47	3.43	3.41	1144
I20	High Position at Work	2.89	2.89	3.09	2.92	1145
I21	Job Suited to Education	3.12	3.14	3.32	3.16	1146
I22	Household Member Working Abroad	2.63	2.55	2.62	2.60	1148
I23	Good Relations With Boss	3.18	3.12	3.27	3.17	1142
I24	Good Relations With Co-workers	3.25	3.27	3.35	3.27	1140
I25	Praised at Work	3.15	3.12	3.26	3.16	1143
I26	Regular Work Schedule	3.17	3.16	3.30	3.19	1144
I27	Length of Work Time	3.02	3.00	3.08	3.02	1141
I28	Job Teaches Skills	3.27	3.28	3.49	3.31	1144
I29	Enough Income Own Needs	3.32	3.36	3.45	3.36	1147
SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS						
I30	Land Ownership	3.42	3.43	3.51	3.43	1200
I31	House Ownership	3.57	3.59	3.67	3.59	1198
I32	Furniture Appliances	3.11	3.13	3.30	3.15	1200
I33	Ownership of Vehicle	2.44	2.54	2.82	2.54	1193
I34	To Save	3.49	3.42	3.56	3.47	1199
I35	Freedom from Debt	3.26	3.17	3.14	3.20	1199
I36	Access to Credit	2.63	2.52	2.29	2.53	1200
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS						
I37	Having Spouse	3.37	3.38	3.30	3.36	1199
I38	Having Children	3.52	3.53	3.50	3.52	1199
I39	Good Marital Relation	3.61	3.65	3.72	3.64	1196
I40	Love of Spouse	3.59	3.65	3.68	3.63	1194
I41	Share in the Decision Making	3.46	3.49	3.55	3.48	1200
I42	Love of Children	3.60	3.64	3.69	3.63	1195
I43	Love of Parents, Siblings	3.54	3.58	3.70	3.58	1199
I44	Love of Relatives	3.33	3.34	3.41	3.34	1200

Sheet1
Means of Importance Questions by V27 SES Family

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS					
	Poor	On Line	Not Poor	Total	N
145 Love of Friends	3.19	3.14	3.26	3.19	1200
146 Being with Spouse	3.51	3.50	3.61	3.52	1194
147 Being with Children	3.53	3.58	3.67	3.57	1193
148 Being with Parent, Siblings	3.39	3.35	3.48	3.39	1199
149 Being with Friends	2.99	2.87	2.99	2.95	1199
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES					
150 Time Alone	2.79	2.79	2.73	2.78	1200
151 Time for Rest	3.12	3.06	3.11	3.09	1199
152 Leisure with Spouse	3.12	3.14	3.24	3.14	1195
153 Leisure with Children	3.26	3.27	3.42	3.28	1195
154 Leisure with Parents, Siblings	3.05	3.10	3.20	3.09	1200
155 Leisure with Friends	2.69	2.63	2.71	2.67	1199
156 News from Media	3.07	3.08	3.03	3.07	1198
157 Leisure from Media	2.69	2.76	2.66	2.71	1199
158 Play Jueteng, Lotto	1.34	1.35	1.30	1.33	1194
PHYSICAL HEALTH					
159 Freedom from Sickness	3.46	3.51	3.53	3.49	1199
160 Regular Exercise	2.92	3.05	3.22	3.02	1196
161 Long Life	3.63	3.68	3.74	3.67	1200
162 Regular Food	3.60	3.60	3.63	3.60	1200
163 Nutritious Food	3.53	3.57	3.62	3.56	1199
164 Sound Sleep	3.33	3.30	3.43	3.34	1197
165 Smoking	1.33	1.27	1.35	1.31	1183
166 Drinking	1.23	1.22	1.28	1.23	1186
167 Drug Use	1.45	1.42	1.28	1.41	1181
PSYCHOLOGICAL /MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING					
168 Importance Given to Self	3.34	3.41	3.52	3.39	1197
169 Mental Alertness	3.40	3.48	3.52	3.45	1197
170 Ability to Decide for Oneself	3.36	3.39	3.47	3.39	1197
171 Respect from Family	3.52	3.63	3.68	3.59	1197
172 Respect from Others	3.35	3.45	3.45	3.40	1196
173 Ability Carry Problems	3.34	3.37	3.41	3.36	1196
174 Ability Face Changes	3.39	3.38	3.55	3.41	1197
175 Peace of Mind	3.47	3.49	3.68	3.51	1197
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE					
176 Faith in God	3.75	3.81	3.81	3.78	1197
177 Prayer and Reflection	3.69	3.78	3.73	3.73	1197
178 Going to Church Activities	3.58	3.68	3.67	3.66	1196
179 Doing Good to Others	3.62	3.72	3.65	3.66	1197
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE					
180 Ability Read and Write	3.50	3.55	3.66	3.54	1197
181 To Finish College	3.27	3.41	3.46	3.36	1192
182 Attended Good School	3.17	3.23	3.34	3.22	1196
183 Learn from other Sources	3.04	3.13	3.19	3.10	1197
184 Apply Knowledge Learned	3.19	3.33	3.45	3.29	1180
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION					
185 Aware National Events	3.13	3.14	3.14	3.14	1198

Sheet1

Means of Importance Questions by V27 SES Family

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION		Poor	On Line	Not Poor	Total	N
I86	Vote Participation	3.20	3.25	3.28	3.24	1198
I87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.04	3.08	3.24	3.09	1198
I88	Join in Community Organizations	2.93	2.94	2.96	2.94	1197
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE						
I89	Peaceful Vicinity	3.42	3.44	3.50	3.44	1197
I90	Security from Crime	3.47	3.58	3.70	3.55	1198
I91	Far from War Confrontation	3.32	3.48	3.56	3.45	1198
I92	Efficient Local Government Official	3.32	3.40	3.47	3.38	1199
I93	Efficient National Govt Official	3.35	3.48	3.52	3.43	1199
I94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.35	3.42	3.44	3.39	1198
I95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.33	3.44	3.48	3.40	1197
I96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.39	3.43	3.50	3.42	1199
I97	Efficient Justice System	3.39	3.46	3.56	3.45	1199
I98	Police Efficiency	3.41	3.46	3.61	3.46	1199
I99	Fast Government Services	3.36	3.44	3.56	3.42	1199
I100	Equality Men Between Women	3.29	3.36	3.46	3.34	1198
I101	Being FILIPINO	3.56	3.59	3.62	3.58	1198
I102	Unity of Filipinos	3.46	3.52	3.62	3.51	1199

Mean Importance Ratings Across Age Categories

		Age Category				
		18-25	26-49	50+	ALL	N
HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD						
I1	House Tenure	3.39	3.39	3.39	3.39	1192
I2	Access of House to Work School	3.35	3.35	3.30	3.34	1191
I3	Security of House From Crime	3.43	3.38	3.37	3.39	1191
I4	Security of House From Calamities	3.38	3.36	3.39	3.37	1192
I5	Sufficient House Space	3.27	3.33	3.26	3.30	1191
I6	Sturdy House	3.36	3.46	3.40	3.43	1191
I7	Toilet Facility	3.44	3.48	3.42	3.46	1184
I8	Regular Water	3.58	3.51	3.44	3.51	1193 **
I9	Regular Electricity	3.33	3.41	3.31	3.37	1189
I10	Telephone	2.29	2.35	2.44	2.36	1157
I11	Clean Surroundings	3.49	3.50	3.45	3.49	1193
I12	Pollution Free Environment	3.45	3.43	3.39	3.43	1192
I13	Good Neighbor Relations	3.45	3.46	3.42	3.45	1193
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE						
I14	Permanent Job	3.56	3.52	3.44	3.51	1143 *
I15	Regular Income	3.52	3.49	3.42	3.48	1146
I16	High Income	3.33	3.38	3.36	3.37	1144
I17	Safe Workplace	3.53	3.48	3.45	3.48	1142
I18	Working Near Home	3.29	3.30	3.26	3.29	1144
I19	Legal Work	3.49	3.40	3.33	3.41	1140 *
I20	High Position at Work	2.97	2.91	2.93	2.93	1141
I21	Job Suited to Education	3.22	3.16	3.08	3.16	1142 *
I22	Household Member Working Abroad	2.58	2.58	2.71	2.60	1144
I23	Good Relations With Boss	3.19	3.16	3.16	3.17	1138
I24	Good Relations With Co-workers	3.32	3.28	3.22	3.28	1136
I25	Praised at Work	3.20	3.16	3.12	3.16	1139
I26	Regular Work Schedule	3.21	3.20	3.12	3.19	1140
I27	Length of Work Time	3.02	3.03	2.98	3.02	1137
I28	Job Teaches Skills	3.42	3.32	3.17	3.31	1140 ***
I29	Enough Income Own Needs	3.44	3.36	3.24	3.36	1143 **
SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS						
I30	Land Ownership	3.44	3.44	3.43	3.44	1193
I31	House Ownership	3.64	3.59	3.54	3.59	1191 *
I32	Furniture Appliances	3.19	3.14	3.11	3.15	1193
I33	Ownership of Vehicle	2.55	2.55	2.50	2.51	1186
I34	To Save	3.55	3.49	3.36	3.48	1192 **
I35	Freedom from Debt	3.22	3.20	3.18	3.20	1192
I36	Access to Credit	2.45	2.54	2.59	2.53	1193
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS						
I37	Having Spouse	3.16	3.46	3.27	3.36	1192
I38	Having Children	3.41	3.58	3.46	3.52	1192
I39	Good Marital Relation	3.65	3.66	3.56	3.64	1189
I40	Love of Spouse	3.66	3.64	3.54	3.63	1187 *
I41	Share in the Decision Making	3.48	3.52	3.39	3.49	1193
I42	Love of Children	3.68	3.65	3.51	3.63	1188 *
I43	Love of Parents, Siblings	3.68	3.59	3.46	3.58	1192 ***

Mean Importance Ratings Across Age Categories

		Age Category				N	
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS (cont)		18-25	26-49	50+	ALL		
I44	Love of Relatives	3.40	3.37	3.23	3.35	1193	**
I45	Love of Friends	3.28	3.19	3.07	3.18	1193	**
I46	Being with Spouse	3.53	3.56	3.38	3.52	1187	*
I47	Being with Children	3.60	3.59	3.47	3.57	1186	*
I48	Being with Parent, Siblings	3.54	3.38	3.28	3.39	1192	***
I49	Being with Friends	3.05	2.93	2.89	2.95	1192	*
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES							
I50	Time Alone	2.78	2.77	2.78	2.78	1193	
I51	Time for Rest	3.11	3.08	3.13	3.09	1192	
I52	Leisure with Spouse	3.18	3.17	3.02	3.15	1188	*
I53	Leisure with Children	3.32	3.31	3.18	3.29	1188	***
I54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	3.26	3.06	3.00	3.09	1193	***
I55	Leisure with Friends	2.80	2.62	2.70	2.67	1192	
I56	News from Media	3.13	3.06	3.03	3.07	1191	
I57	Leisure from Media	2.77	2.69	2.71	2.71	1192	
I58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	1.29	1.34	1.37	1.34	1188	
PHYSICAL HEALTH							
I59	Freedom from Sickness	3.51	3.48	3.52	3.49	1192	
I60	Regular Exercise	3.02	3.01	3.07	3.02	1189	
I61	Long Life	3.70	3.66	3.66	3.67	1193	
I62	Regular Food	3.63	3.60	3.59	3.60	1193	
I63	Nutritious Food	3.61	3.57	3.49	3.56	1192	*
I64	Sound Sleep	3.36	3.32	3.38	3.34	1190	
I65	Smoking	1.25	1.31	1.39	1.31	1177	*
I66	Drinking	1.23	1.24	1.23	1.24	1180	
I67	Drug Use	1.22	1.18	1.19	1.19	1175	
PSYCHOLOGICAL/MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING							
I68	Importance Given to Self	3.46	3.39	3.33	3.39	1190	*
I69	Mental Alertness	3.50	3.46	3.35	3.45	1190	
I70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	3.43	3.39	3.36	3.39	1190	
I71	Respect from Family	3.66	3.57	3.61	3.59	1190	
I72	Respect from Others	3.48	3.39	3.37	3.40	1189	
I73	Ability Carry Problems	3.40	3.35	3.34	3.36	1189	
I74	Ability Face Changes	3.49	3.40	3.35	3.41	1190	**
I75	Peace of Mind	3.58	3.49	3.49	3.51	1190	
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE							
I76	Faith in God	3.83	3.77	3.79	3.78	1190	
I77	Prayer and Reflection	3.78	3.73	3.72	3.73	1190	
I78	Going to Church Activities	3.68	3.63	3.61	3.64	1189	
I79	Doing Good to Others	3.67	3.66	3.65	3.66	1190	
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE							
I80	Ability Read and Write	3.65	3.56	3.39	3.55	1190	***
I81	To Finish College	3.51	3.35	3.18	3.35	1186	***
I82	Attended Good School	3.24	3.24	3.13	3.22	1189	
I83	Learn from other Sources	3.14	3.12	2.99	3.10	1190	*
I84	Apply Knowledge Learned	3.43	3.28	3.14	3.29	1174	***

Mean Importance Ratings Across Age Categories

		Age Category				
		18-25	26-49	50+	ALL	N
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION						
I85	Aware National Events	3.18	3.14	3.08	3.14	1191
I86	Vote Participation	3.24	3.26	3.17	3.24	1191
I87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.09	3.09	3.08	3.09	1188
I88	Join in Community Organizations	2.09	2.95	2.94	2.94	1190
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE						
I89	Peaceful Vicinity	3.50	3.44	3.38	3.44	1190 *
I90	Security from Crime	3.61	3.56	3.44	3.55	1191 **
I91	Far from War Confrontation	3.53	3.46	3.34	3.45	1191 **
I92	Efficient Local Government Official	3.40	3.38	3.35	3.38	1192
I93	Efficient National Govt Official	3.51	3.42	3.37	3.43	1192 *
I94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.43	3.39	3.36	3.39	1191
I95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.43	3.40	3.34	3.39	1190
I96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.53	3.42	3.30	3.42	1192 ***
I97	Efficient Justice System	3.55	3.43	3.38	3.45	1192 **
I98	Police Efficiency	3.53	3.46	3.38	3.46	1192 **
I99	Fast Government Services	3.51	3.43	3.30	3.42	1192 ***
I100	Equality Men Between Women	3.43	3.34	3.22	3.34	1191 ***
I101	Being FILIPINO	3.66	3.57	3.52	3.58	1191 **
I102	Unity of Filipinos	3.59	3.50	3.45	3.51	1192 **

* $p < .05$

** $p < .01$

*** $p < .001$



Appendix F
Percentage Distribution
of Importance Ratings
by Area

Sheet1

Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings: ALL

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Not	Little		Very	N	MS
	HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD	Important	Important	Important	Important		
I1	House Tenure	1.8	5.0	45.8	47.5	1199	1
I2	Access of House to Work School	1.4	6.3	49.4	42.9	1198	2
I3	Security of House From Crime	4.5	3.3	40.9	51.3	1198	2
I4	Security of House From Calamities	3.1	4.2	45.4	47.4	1199	1
I5	Sufficient House Space	0.9	5.8	55.5	37.8	1198	2
I6	Sturdy House	0.9	4.0	46.4	48.7	1198	2
I7	Toilet Facility	0.8	2.7	46.5	50.1	1190	10
I8	Regular Water	0.3	2.3	43.3	54.2	1200	0
I9	Regular Electricity	2.6	4.0	46.9	46.4	1195	5
I10	Telephone	30.3	21.2	30.8	17.7	1161	39
I11	Clean Surroundings	0.4	2.3	45.1	52.3	1200	0
I12	Pollution Free Environment	1.2	1.8	50.2	46.9	1199	1
I13	Good Neighbor Relations	0.3	2.9	48.4	48.3	1200	0
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE							
I14	Permanent Job	0.5	2.7	41.8	54.9	1147	53
I15	Regular Income	0.7	3.3	42.8	53.2	1150	50
I16	High Income	2.2	8.6	39.3	49.9	1148	52
I17	Safe Workplace	0.4	2.4	45.3	51.8	1146	54
I18	Working Near Home	1.6	6.6	52.9	38.9	1148	52
I19	Legal Work	3.7	3.5	40.8	52.0	1144	56
I20	High Position at Work	7.9	16.6	50.7	24.9	1145	55
I21	Job Suited to Education	3.1	9.5	55.8	31.5	1146	54
I22	Household Member Working Abroad	19.4	21.3	39.2	20.1	1148	52
I23	Good Relations With Boss	3.9	7.0	57.4	31.6	1142	58
I24	Good Relations With Co-workers	1.2	4.2	60.4	34.1	1140	60
I25	Praised at Work	3.7	7.3	58.5	30.5	1143	57
I26	Regular Work Schedule	2.0	7.4	60.4	30.2	1144	56
I27	Length of Work Time	4.4	10.3	64.1	21.2	1141	59
I28	Job Teaches Skills	1.0	4.0	57.8	37.2	1144	56
I29	Enough Income Own Needs	0.6	4.0	54.5	40.9	1147	53
SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS							
I30	Land Ownership	2.3	3.9	41.7	52.1	1200	0
I31	House Ownership	0.3	1.1	37.7	60.9	1198	2
I32	Furniture Appliances	4.3	12.3	47.8	35.7	1200	0
I33	Ownership of Vehicle	22.2	21.5	36.5	19.9	1193	7
I34	To Save	1.0	3.8	42.0	53.2	1199	1
I35	Freedom from Debt	5.1	10.9	42.7	41.3	1199	1
I36	Access to Credit	17.7	25.8	42.4	14.2	1200	0
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS							
I37	Having Spouse	5.1	4.6	39.3	51.0	1199	1
I38	Having Children	1.7	2.6	37.8	58.0	1199	1
I39	Good Marital Relation	0.7	1.3	31.4	66.7	1196	4
I40	Love of Spouse	1.1	1.2	31.7	66.1	1194	6
I41	Share in the Decision Making	0.6	2.2	45.4	51.8	1200	0
I42	Love of Children	0.8	0.8	33.3	65.2	1195	5
I43	Love of Parents, Siblings	0	1.2	39.5	59.3	1199	1

Sheet1

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Not	Little		Very	N
		Important	Important	Important	Important	
	SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS					
144	Love of Relatives	0.4	5.7	52.8	41.1	1200
145	Love of Friends	1.3	10.3	57.5	30.9	1200
146	Being with Spouse	2.1	2.1	37.6	58.2	1194
147	Being with Children	1.3	0.8	37.6	60.4	1193
148	Being with Parent, Siblings	1.6	5.8	44.8	47.9	1199
149	Being with Friends	5.4	17.1	54.9	22.6	1199
	LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES					
150	Time Alone	9.4	18.5	56.8	15.3	1200
151	Time for Rest	1.5	12.0	62.1	24.4	1199
152	Leisure with Spouse	4.4	8.2	55.7	31.6	1195
153	Leisure with Children	1.8	5.4	55.5	37.4	1195
154	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	3.0	11.8	58.3	27.0	1200
155	Leisure with Friends	9.3	26.4	52.5	11.8	1199
156	News from Media	2.4	13.5	58.8	25.2	1198
157	Leisure from Media	8.4	25.0	53.5	13.0	1199
158	Play Jueteng, Lotto	79.1	10.1	8.9	1.9	1194
	PHYSICAL HEALTH					
159	Freedome from Sickness	3.2	2.6	36.1	58.1	1199
160	Regular Exercise	2.7	20.0	49.8	27.5	1196
161	Long Life	0.1	1.4	30.1	68.4	1200
162	Regular Food	0.1	1.2	37.3	61.5	1200
163	Nutritious Food	0.3	1.8	39.4	58.5	1199
164	Sound Sleep	1.1	5.7	51.6	41.6	1197
165	Smoking	79.4	12.0	6.9	1.7	1183
166	Drinking	81.8	13.6	4.0	0.7	1186
167	Drug Use	89.8	5.1	0.9	4.2	1181
	PSYCHOLOGICAL/MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING					
168	Importance Given to Self	1.1	2.3	52.9	43.8	1197
169	Mental Alertness	0.6	2.8	47.9	48.7	1197
170	Ability to Decide for Oneself	1.3	2.8	51.6	44.3	1197
171	Respect from Family	0	0.1	40.6	59.3	1197
172	Respect from Others	0.8	3.7	49.7	45.8	1196
173	Ability Carry Problems	1.3	6.7	46.6	45.4	1196
174	Ability Face Changes	0.2	3.3	51.9	44.7	1197
175	Peace of Mind	0.1	1.4	45.9	52.5	1197
	RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE					
176	Faith in God	0.2	0.3	20.6	78.9	1197
177	Prayer and Reflection	0.3	0.9	24.1	74.7	1197
178	Going to Church Activities	0.8	2.5	29.4	67.3	1196
179	Doing Good to Others	0.4	1.3	30.2	68.2	1197
	INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE					
180	Ability Read and Write	0.3	2.8	38.9	58.0	1197
181	To Finish College	3.4	8.4	37.2	50.9	1192
182	Attended Good School	4.3	10.5	44.1	41.1	1196
183	Learn from other Sources	3.8	10.9	56.8	28.5	1197
184	Apply Knowledge Learned	1.4	6.5	53.8	38.2	1180

Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings: ALL

Variable Description of Variables

Sheet1

Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings: ALL

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Not	Little		Very	N	MS
		Important	Important	Important	Important		
	POLITICAL PARTICIPATION						
I85	Aware National Events	3.3	8.3	59.9	28.5	1198	2
I86	Vote Participation	1.3	4.7	63.2	30.9	1198	2
I87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.6	10.2	60.2	26.0	1195	5
I88	Join in Community Organizations	5.4	14.1	61.3	19.1	1197	3
	GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE						
I89	Peaceful Vicinity	0.4	2.7	49.4	47.5	1197	3
I90	Security from Crime	0.8	0.6	41.6	57.0	1198	2
I91	Far from War Confrontation	1.7	1.7	46.5	50.2	1198	2
I92	Efficient Local Government Official	1.3	3.7	51.1	44.0	1199	1
I93	Efficient National Govt Official	1.3	2.3	48.6	47.7	1199	1
I94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	0.5	2.6	53.9	43.0	1198	2
I95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	0.5	3.1	52.8	43.6	1197	3
I96	Fast Handling of Cases	0.8	2.3	50.5	46.4	1199	1
I97	Efficient Justice System	0.3	2.8	43.8	48.1	1199	1
I98	Police Efficiency	0.5	2.7	47.3	49.5	1199	1
I99	Fast Government Services	0.3	2.8	51.0	45.9	1199	1
I100	Equality Men Between Women	0.9	3.9	55.3	39.8	1198	2
I101	Being FILIPINO	0.2	0.9	39.7	59.2	1198	2
I102	Unity of Filipinos	0.3	1.2	46.0	52.6	1199	1

Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings: NCR

Variable: Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

	Not	Little		Very	n	M3
	Important	Important	Important	Important		
HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD						
I11 House Tenure	1.0	4.0	49.0	46.0	300	0
I12 Access of House to Work School	0.0	3.7	47.7	48.7	300	0
I13 Security of House From Crime	1.0	3.3	34.7	61.0	300	0
I14 Security of House From Calamities	0.7	3.3	38.0	58.0	300	0
I15 Sufficient House Space	0	3.3	53.0	43.7	300	0
I16 Sturdy House	0.3	3.3	43.0	53.3	300	0
I17 Toilet Facility	0	0	34.3	65.7	300	0
I18 Regular Water	0	0.7	28.0	71.3	300	0
I19 Regular Electricity	0.3	0	32.7	67.0	300	0
I10 Telephone	13.3	21.3	37.0	28.3	300	0
I11 Clean Surroundings	0	1.7	34.3	64.0	300	0
I12 Pollution Free Environment	0	0.3	39.7	60.0	300	0
I13 Good Neighbor Relations	0	4.3	44.7	51.0	300	0
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE						
I14 Permanent Job	0	0.7	28.0	71.3	300	0
I15 Regular Income	0	0.3	32.3	67.3	300	0
I16 High Income	0.3	3.4	36.9	59.4	298	2
I17 Safe Workplace	0	0.3	36.1	63.5	299	1
I18 Working Near Home	0	4.7	48.0	47.3	300	0
I19 Legal Work	0	0	32.7	67.3	300	0
I20 High Position at Work	3.0	10.7	52.3	34.0	300	0
I21 Job Suited to Education	0	5.3	53.3	41.3	300	0
I22 Household Member Working Abroad	16.0	25.3	36.7	22.0	300	0
I23 Good Relations With Boss	3.0	7.3	53.0	36.7	300	0
I24 Good Relations With Co-workers	0	2.7	54.8	42.5	299	1
I25 Praised at Work	1.7	6.3	49.7	42.3	300	0
I26 Regular Work Schedule	2.0	4.3	54.7	39.0	300	0
I27 Length of Work Time	2.7	10.7	62.8	23.8	298	2
I28 Job Teaches Skills	0	3.0	41.0	56.0	300	0
I29 Enough Income Own Needs	0.3	2.3	42.3	55.0	300	0
SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS						
I30 Land Ownership	0.3	1.0	23.0	75.7	300	0
I31 House Ownership	0	1.0	21.0	78.0	300	0
I32 Furniture Appliances	0.7	6.3	38.3	54.7	300	0
I33 Ownership of Vehicle	11.3	20.0	40.3	28.3	300	0
I34 To Save	0.3	1.7	34.3	63.7	300	0
I35 Freedom from Debt	2.0	7.0	42.7	48.3	300	0
I36 Access to Credit	27.7	27.0	33.0	12.3	300	0
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS						
I37 Having Spouse	6.7	4.3	36.3	52.7	300	0
I38 Having Children	2.0	0.7	30.7	66.7	300	0
I39 Good Marital Relation	1.3	1.0	20.7	77.0	300	0
I40 Love of Spouse	1.7	1.0	22.7	74.7	300	0
I41 Share in the Decision Making	1.3	0.7	33.7	64.3	300	0
I42 Love of Children	0.7	0.3	23.0	76.0	300	0
I43 Love of Parents, Siblings	0	0.3	26.7	73.0	300	0

Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings: NCR

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Not Important	Little Important	Important	Very Important	n	MS
	SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS						
I44	Love of Relatives	0.7	4.3	48.3	46.7	300	0
I45	Love of Friends	1.0	10.3	55.7	33.0	300	0
I46	Being with Spouse	4.3	1.3	26.0	68.3	300	0
I47	Being with Children	1.0	0	24.0	75.0	300	0
I48	Being with Parent, Siblings	1.7	3.7	33.3	61.3	300	0
I49	Being with Friends	4.0	17.3	52.0	26.7	300	0
	LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES						
I50	Time Alone	4.3	18.7	56.7	20.3	300	0
I51	Time for Rest	1.0	13.0	62.3	23.7	300	0
I52	Leisure with Spouse	5.3	8.3	49.3	37.0	300	0
I53	Leisure with Children	1.0	5.0	42.7	51.3	300	0
I54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	2.3	10.7	48.7	38.3	300	0
I55	Leisure with Friends	8.7	32.7	47.7	11.0	300	0
I56	News from Media	2.3	13.0	55.5	29.1	299	1
I57	Leisure from Media	7.0	27.7	53.0	12.3	300	0
I58	Play Juetang, Lotto	77.5	12.1	9.1	1.3	298	2
	PHYSICAL HEALTH						
I59	Freedom from Sickness	0.7	0.7	23.0	75.7	300	0
I60	Regular Exercise	1.0	10.0	50.7	38.3	300	0
I61	Long Life	0	1.0	21.0	78.0	300	0
I62	Regular Food	0	0.7	25.7	73.7	300	0
I63	Nutritious Food	0	1.3	24.7	73.9	299	1
I64	Sound Sleep	0.3	2.7	44.3	52.7	300	0
I65	Smoking	79.3	12.4	7.4	1.0	299	1
I66	Drinking	79.9	15.4	4.3	0.3	299	1
I67	Drug Use	97.7	1.3	0.7	0.3	299	1
	PSYCHOLOGICAL/ MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING						
I68	Importance Given to Self	1.0	1.3	36.3	61.3	300	0
I69	Mental Alertness	0	1.0	34.7	64.3	300	0
I70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	0	1.0	38.0	61.0	300	0
I71	Respect from Family	0	0	25.0	75.0	300	0
I72	Respect from Others	0	2.3	40.7	57.0	300	0
I73	Ability Carry Problems	0	3.0	35.3	61.7	300	0
I74	Ability Face Changes	0	1.0	36.7	62.3	300	0
I75	Peace of Mind	0	0.3	27.3	72.3	300	0
	RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE						
I76	Faith in God	0	0.3	17.0	82.7	300	0
I77	Prayer and Reflection	0	1.3	20.3	78.3	300	0
I78	Going to Church Activities	0	3.0	27.8	69.2	299	1
I79	Doing Good to Others	0	1.0	23.3	75.7	300	0
	INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE						
I80	Ability Read and Write	0	0.7	28.0	71.3	300	0
I81	To Finish College	2.3	2.3	31.3	64.0	300	0
I82	Attended Good School	1.7	6.7	42.1	49.5	299	1
I83	Learn from other Sources	1.0	8.3	49.3	41.3	300	0
I84	Apply Knowledge Learned	0.7	3.0	44.0	52.3		0

Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings: NCR

Variable: Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Not	Little		Very	n	MS
	POLITICAL PARTICIPATION	Important	Important	Important	Important		
I85	Aware National Events	1.0	8.3	53.0	37.7	300	0
I86	Vote Participation	1.0	4.7	56.0	38.3	300	0
I87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	0.7	5.7	48.3	45.3	300	0
I88	Join in Community Organizations	4.0	12.7	57.3	26.0	300	0
	GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE						
I89	Peaceful Vicinity	0	2.7	23.3	74.0	300	0
I90	Security from Crime	0	0	19.7	80.3	300	0
I91	Far from War Confrontation	0	1.0	27.3	71.7	300	0
I92	Efficient Local Government Official	0	1.7	29.7	68.7	300	0
I93	Efficient National Govt Official	0	0.3	26.7	73.0	300	0
I94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	0	1.0	32.0	67.0	300	0
I95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	0	1.0	31.0	68.0	300	0
I96	Fast Handling of Cases	0	0	26.0	74.0	300	0
I97	Efficient Justice System	0.3	0.7	23.7	75.3	300	0
I98	Police Efficiency	0.3	1.3	23.0	75.3	300	0
I99	Fast Government Services	0	1.3	28.3	70.3	300	0
I100	Equality Men Between Women	0	2.0	36.7	61.3	300	0
I101	Being FILIPINO	0	0	25.4	74.6	299	1
I102	Unity of Filipinos	0	0.3	23.7	76.0	300	0

Sheet1
Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings:

PANGASINAN

Variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Not	Little		Very	n	MSD
	HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD	Important	Important	Important	Important		
I1	House Tenure	1.7	5.7	57.3	35.3	300	0
I2	Access of House to Work School	3.7	10.0	52.0	34.3	300	0
I3	Security of House From Crime	5.7	2.7	49.3	42.3	300	0
I4	Security of House From Calamities	5.0	2.0	52.0	41.0	300	0
I5	Sufficient House Space	1.7	7.3	57.0	34.0	300	0
I6	Sturdy House	1.3	4.3	51.7	42.7	300	0
I7	Toilet Facility	0.7	1.0	56.2	42.1	290	10
I8	Regular Water	0.3	1.7	50.3	47.7	300	0
I9	Regular Electricity	1.7	3.4	49.2	45.8	295	5
I10	Telephone	27.5	20.6	36.3	15.6	262	38
I11	Clean Surroundings	1.0	2.0	43.7	47.3	300	0
I12	Pollution Free Environment	2.0	1.7	52.7	43.7	300	0
I13	Good Neighbor Relations	0.7	4.0	51.0	44.3	300	0
	EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE						
I14	Permanent Job	2.0	5.6	43.8	48.6	243	51
I15	Regular Income	2.0	5.2	47.2	45.6	250	50
I16	High Income	2.8	10.8	45.2	41.2	250	50
I17	Safe Workplace	0	4.8	55.2	39.9	248	52
I18	Working Near Home	3.2	5.2	56.6	34.9	249	51
I19	Legal Work	2.8	6.0	45.2	46.0	250	50
I20	High Position at Work	11.8	16.3	48.8	23.2	246	54
I21	Job Suited to Education	7.7	11.3	50.6	30.4	247	53
I22	Household Member Working Abroad	16.1	16.5	44.4	23.0	248	52
I23	Good Relations With Boss	7.8	10.2	52.5	29.5	244	56
I24	Good Relations With Co-workers	1.6	5.3	58.2	34.8	244	56
I25	Praised at Work	2.0	8.9	55.9	33.2	247	53
I26	Regular Work Schedule	2.9	8.2	61.6	27.3	245	55
I27	Length of Work Time	6.1	13.8	56.9	23.2	246	54
I28	Job Teaches Skills	1.2	6.5	60.2	32.1	246	54
I29	Enough Income Own Needs	0	4.0	52.8	43.1	248	52
	SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS						
I30	Land Ownership	1.3	4.3	50.0	44.3	300	0
I31	House Ownership	0	1.0	44.1	54.8	299	1
I32	Furniture Appliances	4.0	10.7	53.3	32.0	300	0
I33	Ownership of Vehicle	12.6	22.4	43.2	21.8	294	6
I34	To Save	2.3	6.0	49.5	42.1	299	1
I35	Freedom from Debt	10.0	13.0	47.3	29.7	300	0
I36	Access to Credit	14.7	18.0	52.0	15.3	300	0
	SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS						
I37	Having Spouse	5.4	3.3	47.8	43.5	299	1
I38	Having Children	1.7	2.7	47.8	47.8	299	1
I39	Good Marital Relation	0.7	0.7	39.2	52.5	296	4
I40	Love of Spouse	1.4	1.0	37.3	60.3	295	5
I41	Share in the Decision Making	0.7	3.0	54.0	42.3	300	0
I42	Love of Children	1.0	1.4	40.7	56.9	295	5

Sheet1
Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings:

PANGASINAN

Variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Not	Little		Very	n	MSD
		Important	Important	Important	Important		
	SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS						
I43	Love of Parents, Siblings	0	2.3	47.7	50.0	300	0
I44	Love of Relatives	0.3	7.7	56.7	35.3	300	0
I45	Love of Friends	1.3	13.3	59.3	26.0	300	0
I46	Being with Spouse	2.4	3.1	43.4	51.2	295	5
I47	Being with Children	1.4	1.7	47.6	49.3	294	6
I48	Being with Parent, Siblings	1.7	12.7	51.7	34.0	300	0
I49	Being with Friends	8.3	26.3	48.0	17.3	300	0
	LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES						
I50	Time Alone	5.3	16.0	64.7	14.0	300	0
I51	Time for Rest	2.0	10.7	62.0	25.3	300	0
I52	Leisure with Spouse	3.7	6.1	54.6	35.6	295	5
I53	Leisure with Children	1.7	5.1	56.9	36.3	295	5
I54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	1.7	14.3	58.0	26.0	300	0
I55	Leisure with Friends	7.0	29.8	49.2	14.0	299	1
I56	News from Media	2.3	16.1	54.8	26.8	299	1
I57	Leisure from Media	4.3	26.0	53.0	16.7	300	0
I58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	76.0	12.8	9.5	1.7	296	4
	PHYSICAL HEALTH						
I59	Freedom from Sickness	4.7	2.7	44.7	48.0	300	0
I60	Regular Exercise	3.0	12.5	56.2	28.3	297	3
I61	Long Life	0	1.0	31.7	67.3	300	0
I62	Regular Food	0	2.7	38.3	59.0	300	0
I63	Nutritious Food	0.7	1.7	45.0	52.7	300	0
I64	Sound Sleep	2.3	8.3	54.3	35.0	300	0
I65	Smoking	81.1	11.6	6.3	1.1	285	15
I66	Drinking	81.2	15.3	3.5	0	287	13
I67	Drug Use	96.5	1.8	1.1	0.7	284	16
	PSYCHOLOGICAL/ MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING						
I68	Importance Given to Self	0.7	0.7	56.2	42.4	297	3
I69	Mental Alertness	1.0	8.4	55.2	35.4	297	3
I70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	4.7	6.1	58.2	31.0	297	3
I71	Respect from Family	0	0.3	43.8	55.9	297	3
I72	Respect from Others	0	4.4	49.2	46.5	297	3
I73	Ability Carry Problems	2.7	6.8	54.4	36.1	296	4
I74	Ability Face Changes	0.3	5.1	60.9	33.7	297	3
I75	Peace of Mind	0.3	1.7	54.9	43.1	297	3
	RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE						
I76	Faith in God	0.3	0.3	29.0	70.4	297	3
I77	Prayer and Reflection	0.7	1.0	29.6	68.7	297	3
I78	Going to Church Activities	1.7	1.3	34.7	62.3	297	3
I79	Doing Good to Others	0.3	1.3	36.7	61.6	297	3
	INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE						
I80	Ability Read and Write	0.7	1.7	45.8	51.9	297	3
I81	To Finish College	2.4	4.5	43.5	49.7	292	8
I82	Attended Good School	1.0	9.1	50.8	39.1	297	3

Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings:

PANGASINAN

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Not	Little		Very	n	MCD
	INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE	Important	Important	Important	Important		
I83	Learn from other Sources	2.0	11.8	57.6	28.6	297	3
I84	Apply Knowledge Learned	0	6.4	52.1	41.4	280	20
	POLITICAL PARTICIPATION						
I85	Aware National Events	7.4	12.7	57.9	22.1	299	1
I86	Vote Participation	1.0	7.7	67.6	23.7	299	1
I87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	5.4	14.1	63.1	17.4	298	2
I88	Join in Community Organizations	3.7	18.5	63.1	14.8	298	2
	GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE						
I89	Peaceful Vicinity	1.0	1.3	55.9	41.8	297	3
I90	Security from Crime	1.3	0	45.0	53.7	298	2
I91	Far from War Confrontation	4.0	3.0	49.3	43.6	298	2
I92	Efficient Local Government Official	1.0	5.4	55.5	38.1	299	1
I93	Efficient National Govt Official	1.7	1.7	55.2	41.5	299	1
I94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	1.0	3.7	58.2	37.1	299	1
I95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	1.0	4.0	57.9	37.1	299	1
I96	Fast Handling of Cases	1.3	4.0	56.2	38.5	299	1
I97	Efficient Justice System	0.3	3.3	57.2	39.1	299	1
I98	Police Efficiency	0.3	2.3	55.9	41.5	299	1
I99	Fast Government Services	0.3	4.0	57.9	37.8	299	1
I100	Equality Men Between Women	0.7	7.0	58.2	34.1	299	1
I101	Being FILIPINO	0.3	2.0	48.2	49.5	299	1
I102	Unity of Filipinos	0.7	1.3	53.2	44.8	299	1

Sheet
Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings:
ILOILO

Variable Description of Variables

Block 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

ID		Not	Little		Very	n	MG
		Important	Important	Important	Important		
	HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD						
I1	House Tenure	3.7	4.7	25.1	66.6	299	1
I2	Access of House to Work School	1.7	6.7	35.8	55.9	299	1
I3	Security of House From Crime	8.0	5.7	27.8	58.5	299	1
I4	Security of House From Calamities	4.3	6.0	33.8	55.9	299	1
I5	Sufficient House Space	1.7	6.7	46.3	45.3	298	2
I6	Sturdy House	1.3	4.7	34.6	59.4	298	2
I7	Toilet Facility	2.0	2.3	34.3	61.3	300	0
I8	Regular Water	0.7	3.3	38.0	58.0	300	0
I9	Regular Electricity	5.0	5.3	43.7	46.0	300	0
I10	Telephone	42.1	19.1	22.4	16.4	299	1
I11	Clean Surroundings	0.3	2.7	32.3	64.7	300	0
I12	Pollution Free Environment	2.0	3.0	41.1	53.8	299	1
I13	Good Neighbor Relations	0.7	2.0	33.7	63.7	300	0
	EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE						
I14	Permanent Job	0.3	2.0	39.1	58.5	299	1
I15	Regular Income	1.0	5.0	37.7	56.3	300	0
I16	High Income	4.0	9.3	36.7	50.0	300	0
I17	Safe Workplace	1.0	2.0	39.5	57.5	299	1
I18	Working Near Home	2.0	6.0	47.2	44.8	299	1
I19	Legal Work	10.5	4.7	40.3	44.4	295	5
I20	High Position at Work	12.7	15.1	46.2	26.1	299	1
I21	Job Suited to Education	3.3	8.4	51.2	37.1	299	1
I22	Household Member Working Abroad	24.3	11.7	37.7	26.3	300	0
I23	Good Relations With Boss	4.7	3.4	46.0	46.0	298	2
I24	Good Relations With Co-workers	3.4	4.4	48.8	43.4	297	3
I25	Praised at Work	10.5	9.8	50.3	29.4	296	4
I26	Regular Work Schedule	2.7	7.4	50.8	39.1	299	1
I27	Length of Work Time	7.4	9.7	58.1	24.8	298	2
I28	Job Teaches Skills	2.7	4.4	52.0	40.9	298	2
I29	Enough Income Own Needs	2.0	5.7	49.2	43.1	299	1
	SAVINGS WEALTH AND ASSETS						
I30	Land Ownership	7.0	5.7	35.7	51.7	300	0
I31	House Ownership	1.0	1.7	35.1	62.2	299	1
I32	Furniture Appliances	3.0	8.0	48.0	41.0	300	0
I33	Ownership of Vehicle	35.0	20.0	26.7	18.3	300	0
I34	To Save	0.7	2.7	43.3	53.3	300	0
I35	Freedom from Debt	5.7	9.7	42.3	42.3	300	0
I36	Access to Credit	20.0	16.7	45.0	18.3	300	0
	SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS						
I37	Having Spouse	7.0	8.0	41.0	44.0	300	0
I38	Having Children	2.3	5.3	41.3	51.0	300	0
I39	Good Marital Relation	0.7	1.0	34.7	63.7	300	0
I40	Love of Spouse	1.3	1.0	36.7	61.0	300	0
I41	Share in the Decision Making	0.3	1.7	47.0	51.0	300	0
I42	Love of Children	1.3	0.7	34.3	63.7	300	0

Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings:

ILOILO

Variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Not Important	Little Important	Important	Very Important	n
	SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS					
I43	Love of Parents, Siblings	0	2.0	41.0	57.0	300
I44	Love of Relatives	0.3	7.7	54.3	37.7	300
I45	Love of Friends	2.0	8.0	58.0	32.0	300
I46	Being with Spouse	1.3	2.0	39.8	56.9	299
I47	Being with Children	2.3	1.0	37.5	59.2	299
I48	Being with Parent, Siblings	3.0	4.3	48.2	44.5	299
I49	Being with Friends	7.7	14.7	56.9	20.7	299
	LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES					
I50	Time Alone	14.0	20.7	45.7	19.7	300
I51	Time for Rest	2.0	10.7	53.2	34.1	299
I52	Leisure with Spouse	8.0	13.3	51.3	27.3	300
I53	Leisure with Children	3.7	8.3	55.0	33.0	300
I54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	7.3	16.7	52.7	23.3	300
I55	Leisure with Friends	16.0	27.3	44.0	12.7	300
I56	News from Media	4.7	12.3	53.3	29.7	300
I57	Leisure from Media	18.7	22.1	44.1	15.1	299
I58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	79.7	10.3	5.7	4.3	300
	PHYSICAL HEALTH					
I59	Freedome from Sickness	4.3	5.0	26.1	64.5	299
I60	Regular Exercise	3.3	12.7	50.8	33.1	299
I61	Long Life	0.3	1.0	22.7	76.0	300
I62	Regular Food	0.3	0.7	31.3	67.7	300
I63	Nutritious Food	0	2.0	39.3	58.7	300
I64	Sound Sleep	1.3	3.0	43.0	51.9	297
I65	Smoking	76.6	11.4	8.7	3.3	299
I66	Drinking	79.0	14.0	4.7	2.3	300
I67	Drug Use	67.4	16.1	1.0	15.4	290
	PSYCHOLOGICAL /MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING					
I68	Importance Given to Self	1.3	2.7	52.7	43.3	300
I69	Mental Albrtness	1.3	1.3	50.3	47.0	300
I70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	0.3	2.0	50.3	47.3	300
I71	Respect from Family	0	0	42.0	58.0	300
I72	Respect from Others	3.3	5.7	49.3	41.7	300
I73	Ability Carry Problems	1.3	3.7	48.0	47.0	300
I74	Ability Face Changes	0	2.3	50.0	47.7	300
I75	Peace of Mind	0	1.7	48.7	49.7	300
	RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE					
I76	Faith in God	0.3	0.3	21.0	78.3	300
I77	Prayer and Reflection	0.3	0.3	30.7	68.7	300
I78	Going to Church Activities	1.3	4.0	34.7	60.0	300
I79	Doing Good to Others	0.7	1.0	33.0	65.3	300
	INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE					
I80	Ability Read and Write	0.7	2.7	33.7	63.0	300
I81	To Finish College	5.7	8.0	32.0	54.3	300
I82	Attended Good School	6.0	8.7	39.0	46.3	300

Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings:

ILOILO

Variable Description of Variables

BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE		Not		Little		Very		n		MS	
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE		Important	Important	Important	Important						
I83	Learn from other Sources	10.7	14.3	46.0	29.0	300	0				
I84	Apply Knowledge Learned	3.3	5.3	50.7	40.7	300	0				
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION											
I85	Aware National Events	4.3	6.0	50.5	39.1	299	1				
I86	Vote Participation	2.7	3.7	49.8	43.8	299	1				
I87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	7.7	13.8	49.2	29.3	297	3				
I88	Join in Community Organizations	12.4	14.7	50.5	22.4	299	1				
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE											
I89	Peaceful Vicinity	0.3	2.0	46.3	51.3	300	0				
I90	Security from Crime	1.7	0.3	37.7	60.3	300	0				
I91	Far from War Confrontation	2.3	0.7	41.0	56.0	300	0				
I92	Efficient Local Government Official	4.0	4.7	50.0	41.3	300	0				
I93	Efficient National Govt Official	3.3	3.7	44.0	49.0	300	0				
I94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	1.0	3.7	50.5	44.8	299	1				
I95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	1.0	4.4	49.3	45.3	298	2				
I96	Fast Handling of Cases	2.0	2.0	47.0	49.0	300	0				
I97	Efficient Justice System	0.3	3.0	43.0	53.7	300	0				
I98	Police Efficiency	1.0	1.3	42.0	55.7	300	0				
I99	Fast Government Services	0.7	1.7	47.3	50.3	300	0				
I100	Equality Men Between Women	2.7	3.0	53.5	40.8	299	1				
I101	Being FILIPINO	0.3	1.0	38.7	60.0	300	0				
I102	Unity of Filipinos	0	1.0	47.7	51.3	300	0				

Sheet1
Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings:
ZAMBOANGA

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

ID		BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF BLOCKS DURING COVID-19			n	MSD	
		Not Important	Little Important	Very Important			
HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD							
I1	House Tenure	0.7	5.7	51.7	42.0	300	0
I2	Access of House to Work School	0.3	4.7	62.2	32.8	299	1
I3	Security of House From Crime	3.3	1.2	51.8	43.5	299	1
I4	Security of House From Calamities	2.3	5.3	57.7	34.7	300	0
I5	Sufficient House Space	0.3	5.7	65.7	28.3	300	0
I6	Sturdy House	0.7	3.7	56.3	39.3	300	0
I7	Toilet Facility	0.3	7.3	61.3	31.0	300	0
I8	Regular Water	0	3.3	57.0	39.7	300	0
I9	Regular Electricity	3.3	7.3	62.3	27.0	300	0
I10	Telephone	38.0	23.7	28.3	10.0	300	0
I11	Clean Surroundings	0.3	2.7	64.0	33.0	300	0
I12	Pollution Free Environment	0.7	2.0	67.3	30.0	300	0
I13	Good Neighbor Relations	0	1.3	64.3	34.3	300	0
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE							
I14	Permanent Job	0	3.0	56.9	40.1	299	1
I15	Regular Income	0	3.0	54.7	42.3	300	0
I16	High Income	1.7	11.3	39.3	47.7	300	0
I17	Safe Workplace	0.7	3.0	52.0	44.3	300	0
I18	Working Near Home	1.3	10.3	60.3	28.0	300	0
I19	Legal Work	1.3	3.7	45.8	49.2	299	1
I20	High Position at Work	4.7	24.3	55.0	16.0	300	0
I21	Job Suited to Education	2.3	13.3	67.3	17.0	300	0
I22	Household Member Working Abroad	20.7	30.7	39.0	9.7	300	0
I23	Good Relations With Boss	1.0	7.7	77.3	14.0	300	0
I24	Good Relations With Co-workers	0	4.7	79.3	16.0	300	0
I25	Praised at Work	0.3	4.3	77.7	17.7	300	0
I26	Regular Work Schedule	0.7	10.0	74.7	14.7	300	0
I27	Length of Work Time	1.7	7.7	77.3	13.4	299	1
I28	Job Teaches Skills	0	2.7	78.3	19.0	300	0
I29	Enough Income Own Needs	0	4.0	73.3	22.7	300	0
SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS							
I30	Land Ownership	0.7	4.7	58.0	36.7	300	0
I31	House Ownership	0	0.7	50.7	48.7	300	0
I32	Furniture Appliances	9.7	24.0	51.3	15.0	300	0
I33	Ownership of Vehicle	29.8	23.4	35.8	11.0	299	1
I34	To Save	0.7	5.0	40.7	53.7	300	0
I35	Freedom from Debt	2.7	14.0	38.5	44.8	299	1
I36	Access to Credit	8.3	41.3	39.7	10.7	300	0
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS							
I37	Having Spouse	1.3	2.7	32.0	64.0	300	0
I38	Having Children	0.7	1.7	31.3	66.3	300	0
I39	Good Marital Relation	0	2.3	31.0	66.7	300	0
I40	Love of Spouse	0	1.7	30.1	68.2	299	1
I41	Share in the Decision Making	0	3.3	47.0	49.7	300	0
I42	Love of Children	0	0.7	35.3	64.0	300	0

Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings:

ZAMBOANGA

variable: Description of Variables

m BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Not	Little		Very	n	MSD
		Important	Important	Important	Important		
	SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS						
I43	Love of Parents, Siblings	0	0	42.8	57.2	299	1
I44	Love of Relatives	0.3	3.0	52.0	44.7	300	0
I45	Love of Friends	0.7	9.7	57.0	32.7	300	0
I46	Being with Spouse	0.3	2.0	41.3	56.3	300	0
I47	Being with Children	0.3	0.3	41.7	57.7	300	0
I48	Being with Parent, Siblings	0	2.3	46.0	51.7	300	0
I49	Being with Friends	1.7	10.0	62.7	25.7	300	0
	LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES						
I50	Time Alone	14.0	18.7	60.3	7.0	300	0
I51	Time for Rest	1.0	13.7	71.0	14.3	300	0
I52	Leisure with Spouse	0.7	5.0	67.7	26.7	300	0
I53	Leisure with Children	0.7	3.0	67.3	29.0	300	0
I54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	0.7	5.3	73.7	20.3	300	0
I55	Leisure with Friends	5.3	15.7	69.3	9.7	300	0
I56	News from Media	0.3	12.7	71.7	15.3	300	0
I57	Leisure from Media	3.7	24.3	64.0	8.0	300	0
I58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	83.3	5.0	11.3	0.3	300	0
	PHYSICAL HEALTH						
I59	Freedom from Sickness	3.0	2.0	50.7	44.3	300	0
I60	Regular Exercise	3.3	44.7	41.7	10.3	300	0
I61	Long Life	0	2.7	45.0	52.3	300	0
I62	Regular Food	0	0.7	53.7	45.7	300	0
I63	Nutritious Food	0.3	2.3	48.7	48.7	300	0
I64	Sound Sleep	0.3	8.7	64.0	27.0	300	0
I65	Smoking	80.7	12.7	5.3	1.3	300	0
I66	Drinking	87.0	9.7	3.3	0	300	0
I67	Drug Use	97.7	1.0	1.0	0.3	300	0
	PSYCHOLOGICAL/ MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING						
I68	Importance Given to Self	1.3	4.3	66.3	28.0	300	0
I69	Mental Alertness	0	0.7	51.3	48.0	300	0
I70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	0	2.3	60.0	37.7	300	0
I71	Respect from Family	0	0	51.7	48.3	300	0
I72	Respect from Others	0	2.3	59.5	38.1	299	1
I73	Ability Carry Problems	1.3	13.3	48.7	36.7	300	0
I74	Ability Face Changes	0.3	4.7	60.0	35.0	300	0
I75	Peace of Mind	0	2.0	53.0	45.0	300	0
	RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE						
I76	Faith in God	0	0.3	15.3	84.3	300	0
I77	Prayer and Reflection	0	1.0	16.0	83.0	300	0
I78	Going to Church Activities	0	1.7	20.7	77.7	300	0
I79	Doing Good to Others	0.7	1.7	27.7	70.0	300	0
	INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE						
I80	Ability Read and Write	0	6.0	48.3	45.7	300	0
I81	To Finish College	3.3	18.7	42.3	35.7	300	0
I82	Attended Good School	8.7	17.3	44.7	29.3	300	0

Percentage Distribution of Importance Ratings:

ZAMBOANGA

Variable Description of Variables

BLOCK 2: PERCEIVED IMPORTANCE OF SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

ID		Not	Little		Very	n	MSD
	INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE	Important	Important	Important	Important		
183	Learn from other Sources	1.3	9.3	74.3	15.0	300	0
184	Apply Knowledge Learned	1.7	11.3	68.3	18.7	300	0
	POLITICAL PARTICIPATION						
185	Aware National Events	0.3	6.0	78.3	15.3	300	0
186	Vote Participation	0.3	2.7	79.3	17.7	300	0
187	Freedom to Express Political Idea	0.7	7.3	80.0	12.0	300	0
188	Join in Community Organizations	1.7	10.7	74.3	13.3	300	0
	GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE						
189	Peaceful Vicinity	0.3	4.7	72.0	23.0	300	0
190	Security from Crime	0.3	2.0	64.0	33.7	300	0
191	Far from War Confrontation	0.3	2.0	68.3	29.3	300	0
192	Efficient Local Government Official	0	3.0	69.3	27.7	300	0
193	Efficient National Govt Official	0.3	3.7	68.7	27.3	300	0
194	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	0	2.0	75.0	23.0	300	0
195	Stable/Progressive National Economy	0	3.0	73.0	24.0	300	0
196	Fast Handling of Cases	0	3.3	72.7	24.0	300	0
197	Efficient Justice System	0	4.3	71.3	24.3	300	0
198	Police Efficiency	0.3	5.7	68.3	25.7	300	0
199	Fast Government Services	0.3	4.3	70.3	25.0	300	0
1100	Equality Men Between Women	0.3	3.7	73.0	23.0	300	0
1101	Being FILIPINO	0	0.7	46.7	52.7	300	0
1102	Unity of Filipinos	0.3	2.0	59.3	38.3	300	0



Appendix G

Mean Satisfaction Ratings

by Area
by Gender
by Urban-Rural
by Married or Not Married
by Working or Not Working
by Poor-Not Poor Perceptions
by Age Groups

Mean Satisfaction Ratings by Region

Variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

	HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD	NCR	Pangasinan	Iloilo	Banaburaga	N	Total
SA1	House Tenure	3.91	4.12	4.12	4.21	1190	4.09***
SA2	Access of House to Work School	4.12	3.98	4.05	3.99	1200	4.03NS
SA3	Security of House From Crime	3.71	4.06	4.03	4.08	1200	3.97***
SA4	Security of House From Calamities	3.98	3.99	4.00	3.92	1199	3.97NS
SA5	Sufficient House Space	3.81	4.07	4.12	3.96	1199	3.99***
SA6	Sturdy House	3.91	4.14	4.02	3.93	1197	4.00**
SA7	Toilet Facility	4.05	4.07	4.02	3.67	1094	3.95***
SA8	Regular Water	3.58	4.19	4.00	3.91	1187	3.92***
SA9	Regular Electricity	4.11	4.21	4.12	3.34	1065	3.92***
SA10	Telephone	4.04	3.62	3.48	1.84	477	2.80***
SA11	Clean Surroundings	3.94	4.21	4.08	4.01	1196	4.06***
SA12	Pollution Free Environment	3.89	4.16	4.16	4.07	1198	4.07***
SA13	Good Neighbor Relations	3.92	4.13	4.15	4.12	1187	4.08***
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE							
SA14	Permanent Job	4.00	4.06	4.16	3.90	629	4.02*
SA15	Regular Income	3.76	3.78	3.88	3.75	617	3.79NS
SA16	High Income	3.48	3.62	3.70	3.65	627	3.61NS
SA17	Safe Workplace	3.96	3.92	4.24	4.07	618	4.05***
SA18	Working Near Home	3.65	3.73	3.68	3.99	552	3.78**
SA19	Legal Work	4.36	4.20	4.16	4.47	619	4.30***
SA20	High Position at Work	3.97	3.90	4.10	3.89	591	3.97NS
SA21	Job Suited to Education	3.76	3.79	3.78	3.67	606	3.74NS
SA22	Household Member Working Abroad	3.44	3.87	3.78	3.56	261	3.66*
SA23	Good Relations With Boss	3.94	3.85	4.17	4.01	484	4.01**
SA24	Good Relations With Co-workers	4.05	4.02	4.18	4.03	537	4.07NS
SA25	Trained at Work	4.03	3.93	4.01	4.01	593	4.00NS
SA26	Regular Work Schedule	3.99	3.79	3.98	3.95	601	3.93NS
SA27	Length of Work Time	3.84	3.69	3.80	3.97	613	3.84*
SA28	Job Teaches Skills	4.06	3.86	4.21	3.91	614	4.01***
SA29	Enough Income Own Needs	3.56	3.73	3.81	3.90	624	3.75**
SAVINGS WEALTH AND ASSETS							
SA30	Land Ownership	4.39	4.22	4.23	4.18	850	4.25NS
SA31	House Ownership	4.43	4.37	4.41	4.36	1022	4.39NS
SA32	Furniture Appliances	4.10	4.06	4.02	3.24	1160	3.85***
SA33	Ownership of Vehicle	4.12	3.94	3.91	3.52	311	3.94*
SA34	To Save	3.82	3.63	3.71	3.76	557	3.73NS
SA35	Freedom from Debt	2.49	2.64	2.10	3.54	857	2.76***
SA36	Access to Credit	3.16	3.42	3.33	3.43	1158	3.34**
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS							
SA37	Having Spouse	4.54	4.36	4.20	4.65	943	4.44***
SA38	Having Children	4.74	4.44	4.43	4.72	943	4.59***
SA39	Good Marital Relation	4.58	4.48	4.44	4.66	936	4.54***
SA40	Love of Spouse	4.58	4.51	4.42	4.70	937	4.56***
SA41	Share in the Decision Making	4.43	4.26	4.30	4.48	1173	4.37***
SA42	Love of Children	4.71	4.46	4.46	4.68	934	4.58***
SA43	Love of Parents, Siblings	4.51	4.33	4.37	4.54	1199	4.44***
SA44	Love of Relatives	4.27	4.13	4.16	4.37	1199	4.23***

Mean Satisfaction Ratings by Region

Variable Description of Variables

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 *** p < 0.001

BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS		NCR	Region I	Region II	Region III	N	Total
SA45	Love of Friends	4.02	4.00	4.04	4.13	1129	4.05NS
SA46	Being with Spouse	4.44	4.28	4.30	4.46	925	4.37**
SA47	Being with Children	4.62	4.38	4.41	4.52	925	4.48***
SA48	Being with Parent, Siblings	4.37	4.23	4.22	4.35	1145	4.29**
SA49	Being with Friends	3.82	3.84	3.86	3.84	1151	3.84NS
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES							
SA50	Time Alone	3.93	3.73	3.50	3.79	1200	3.74***
SA51	Time for Rest	4.04	3.93	4.02	3.99	1200	4.00NS
SA52	Leisure with Spouse	4.28	4.22	4.07	4.20	922	4.19*
SA53	Leisure with Children	4.45	4.27	4.22	4.26	932	4.30***
SA54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	4.16	4.11	4.06	4.16	1197	4.12NS
SA55	Leisure with Friends	3.70	3.76	3.65	3.85	1199	3.74*
SA56	News from Media	3.65	3.60	3.88	3.78	1197	3.73***
SA57	Leisure from Media	3.66	3.62	3.76	3.63	1196	3.67NS
SA58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	1.93	1.96	1.86	1.52	948	1.80***
PHYSICAL HEALTH							
SA59	Freedom from Sickness	4.56	4.21	4.24	4.23	1200	4.31***
SA60	Regular Exercise	3.61	3.82	3.85	3.08	1079	3.58***
SA61	Long Life	4.60	4.45	4.50	4.25	1199	4.45***
SA62	Regular Food	4.57	4.42	4.40	4.05	1196	4.36***
SA63	Nutritious Food	4.57	4.41	4.41	4.16	1200	4.39***
SA64	Sound Sleep	4.35	4.23	4.26	4.09	1194	4.23***
SA65	Smoking	2.88	2.32	2.91	3.34	423	2.86***
SA66	Drinking	2.63	2.32	2.89	3.18	436	2.74***
SA67	Drug Use	2.57	1.57	3.77	1.93	114	2.43***
PSYCHOLOGICAL /MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING							
SA68	Importance Given to Self	4.44	4.23	4.23	4.18	1199	4.27***
SA69	Mental Alertness	4.46	4.05	4.31	4.29	1199	4.28***
SA70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	4.45	4.03	4.29	4.23	1199	4.25***
SA71	Respect from Family	4.54	4.33	4.36	4.32	1199	4.39***
SA72	Respect from Others	4.31	4.18	4.11	4.14	1200	4.18***
SA73	Ability Carry Problems	4.35	4.02	4.13	4.04	1198	4.13***
SA74	Ability Face Changes	4.40	4.07	4.25	4.12	1200	4.21***
SA75	Peace of Mind	4.45	4.21	4.34	4.28	1199	4.32***
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE							
SA76	Faith in God	4.72	4.68	4.59	4.70	1200	4.67**
SA77	Prayer and Reflection	4.65	4.66	4.51	4.66	1200	4.62***
SA78	Going to Church Activities	4.51	4.58	4.36	4.59	1198	4.51***
SA79	Doing Good to Others	4.45	4.46	4.29	4.45	1197	4.41**
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE							
SA80	Ability Read and Write	4.47	4.35	4.20	4.14	1198	4.29***
SA81	To Finish College	3.95	4.05	3.75	3.48	1173	3.80***
SA82	Attended Good School	4.00	4.00	3.97	3.53	1194	3.87***
SA83	Learn from other Sources	3.93	3.77	3.74	3.71	1192	3.79**
SA84	Apply Knowledge Learned	4.03	4.05	3.84	3.64	1167	3.89***
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION							
SA85	Aware National Events	3.92	3.75	3.79	3.90	1198	3.84*

Sheet1

Mean Satisfaction Ratings by Region

Variable Description of Variables *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 ***p < 0.001

ID BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION		MCR	Pangasinan	Iloilo	Samarang	N	Total
SA86	Vote Participation	3.93	3.92	3.99	3.99	1177	3.96NS
SA87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.93	3.76	3.80	3.90	1185	3.85*
SA88	Join in Community Organizations	3.86	3.79	3.64	3.92	750	3.79**
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE							
SA89	Peaceful Vicinity	4.00	4.06	4.18	4.01	1185	4.06**
SA90	Security from Crime	4.19	4.28	4.32	4.21	1125	4.25NS
SA91	Far from War Confrontation	4.29	4.19	4.33	4.14	1166	4.24**
SA92	Efficient Local Government Official	3.74	4.00	4.05	3.96	1198	3.94***
SA93	Efficient National Govt Official	3.84	3.94	3.84	3.94	1199	3.89NS
SA94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.66	3.77	3.77	3.86	1195	3.77*
SA95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.66	3.75	3.68	3.90	1195	3.75**
SA96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.27	3.62	3.74	3.94	1199	3.64***
SA97	Efficient Justice System	3.26	3.62	3.80	3.93	1129	3.65***
SA98	Police Efficiency	3.21	3.56	3.87	3.92	1198	3.64***
SA99	Fast Government Services	3.36	3.69	3.80	3.96	1126	3.70***
SA100	Equality Men Between Women	3.92	3.76	3.92	4.05	1191	3.88***
SA101	Being FILIPINO	4.55	4.32	4.35	4.47	1197	4.42***
SA102	Unity of Filipinos	4.01	4.12	4.15	4.18	1199	4.12*

Sheet1
Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V10 Sex

Variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD		Female	Male	Total N
SA1	House Tenure	4.06	4.12	4.09 1120
SA2	Access of House to Work School	4.04	4.02	4.03 1200
SA3	Security of House From Crime	3.97	3.97	3.97 1200
SA4	Security of House From Calamities	3.97	3.97	3.97 1199
SA5	Sufficient House Space	3.96	4.01	3.99 1199
SA6	Sturdy House	3.98	4.02	4.00 1197
SA7	Toilet Facility	3.96	3.94	3.95 1094
SA8	Regular Water	3.90	3.94	3.92 1187
SA9	Regular Electricity	3.92	3.93	3.92 1065
SA10	Telephone	2.84	2.77	2.80 477
SA11	Clean Surroundings	4.03	4.09	4.06 1196
SA12	Pollution Free Environment	4.06	4.08	4.07 1198
SA13	Good Neighbor Relations	4.03	4.13	4.09 1187

EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE				
SA14	Permanent Job	4.06	4.01	4.02 629
SA15	Regular Income	3.78	3.80	3.79 617
SA16	High Income	3.61	3.61	3.61 627
SA17	Safe Workplace	4.12	4.02	4.05 618
SA18	Working Near Home	3.80	3.76	3.78 552
SA19	Legal Work	4.28	4.31	4.30 619
SA20	High Position at Work	4.03	3.94	3.97 591
SA21	Job Suited to Education	3.72	3.75	3.74 606
SA22	Household Member Working Abroad	3.62	3.68	3.66 261
SA23	Good Relations With Boss	3.94	4.03	4.01 484
SA24	Good Relations With Co-workers	4.07	4.07	4.07 537
SA25	Praised at Work	4.04	3.98	4.00 593
SA26	Regular Work Schedule	3.92	3.94	3.93 601
SA27	Length of Work Time	3.76	3.87	3.84 613
SA28	Job Teaches Skills	3.98	4.02	4.01 614
SA29	Enough Income Own Needs	3.70	3.48	3.75 624

SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS				
SA30	Land Ownership	4.24	4.25	4.25 850
SA31	House Ownership	4.38	4.40	4.39 1022
SA32	Furniture Appliances	3.90	3.80	3.85 1160
SA33	Ownership of Vehicle	4.00	3.88	3.94 311
SA34	To Save	3.80	3.64	3.73 557
SA35	Freedom from Debt	2.72	2.80	2.76 857
SA36	Access to Credit	3.28	3.39	3.34 1158

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS				
SA37	Having Spouse	4.34	4.55	4.44 943
SA38	Having Children	4.55	4.63	4.59 942
SA39	Good Marital Relation	4.51	4.58	4.54 936
SA40	Love of Spouse	4.50	4.62	4.56 937
SA41	Share in the Decision Making	4.35	4.38	4.58 1173
SA42	Love of Children	4.56	4.59	4.58 934
SA43	Love of Parents, Siblings	4.44	4.44	4.44 1199
SA44	Love of Relatives	4.23	4.23	4.23 1199

Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V10 Sex

Variable Description of Variables

 ID BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE
 SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS

	Female	Male	Total	N
SA45 Love of Friends	4.05	4.04	4.05	1199
SA46 Being with Spouse	4.32	4.43	4.37	925
SA47 Being with Children	4.48	4.48	4.48	925
SA48 Being with Parent, Siblings	4.31	4.28	4.29	1145
SA49 Being with Friends	3.85	3.83	3.84	1151

LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES

SA50 Time Alone	3.74	3.74	3.74	1200
SA51 Time for Rest	3.99	3.99	4.00	1200
SA52 Leisure with Spouse	4.17	4.21	4.19	921
SA53 Leisure with Children	4.33	4.25	4.30	932
SA54 Leisure with Parents, Siblings	4.16	4.08	4.12	1197
SA55 Leisure with Friends	3.73	3.75	3.74	1199
SA56 News from Media	3.72	3.74	3.73	1197
SA57 Leisure from Media	3.64	3.70	3.67	1196
SA58 Play Jueteng, Lotto	1.67	1.93	1.80	948

PHYSICAL HEALTH

SA59 Freedom from Sickness	4.33	4.29	4.31	1200
SA60 Regular Exercise	3.52	3.60	3.56	1179
SA61 Long Life	4.48	4.42	4.45	1199
SA62 Regular Food	4.38	4.34	4.36	1196
SA63 Nutritious Food	4.40	4.37	4.39	1200
SA64 Sound Sleep	4.26	4.21	4.23	1194
SA65 Smoking	2.64	2.92	2.86	423
SA66 Drinking	2.33	2.83	2.74	436
SA67 Drug Use	2.41	2.44	2.43	114

PSYCHOLOGICAL/MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING

SA68 Importance Given to Self	4.30	4.24	4.27	1199
SA69 Mental Alertness	4.29	4.27	4.28	1199
SA70 Ability to Decide for Oneself	4.24	4.25	4.25	1199
SA71 Respect from Family	4.42	4.36	4.39	1199
SA72 Respect from Others	4.21	4.15	4.18	1200
SA73 Ability Carry Problems	4.14	4.13	4.14	1198
SA74 Ability Face Changes	4.22	4.20	4.21	1200
SA75 Peace of Mind	4.34	4.30	4.32	1199

RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE

SA76 Faith in God	4.71	4.64	4.67	1200
SA77 Prayer and Reflection	4.67	4.58	4.62	1200
SA78 Going to Church Activities	4.61	4.41	4.51	1198
SA79 Doing Good to Others	4.45	4.38	4.41	1197

INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE

SA80 Ability Read and Write	4.32	4.26	4.29	1198
SA81 To Finish College	3.83	3.76	3.80	1173
SA82 Attended Good School	3.91	3.83	3.87	1194
SA83 Learn from other Sources	3.82	3.75	3.79	1192
SA84 Apply Knowledge Learned	3.89	3.88	3.89	1167
SA85 Aware National Events	3.84	3.85	3.84	1198
SA86 Vote Participation	3.94	3.97	3.96	1177

Sheet1

Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V10 Sex

Variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION

		Female	Male	Total	N
SA87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.84	3.86	3.85	1185
SA88	Join in Community Organizations	3.70	3.78	3.79	750

GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE

SA89	Peaceful Vicinity	4.08	4.04	4.06	1185
SA90	Security from Crime	4.27	4.22	4.25	1195
SA91	Far from War Confrontation	4.26	4.21	4.24	1166
SA92	Efficient Local Government Official	3.96	3.91	3.94	1198
SA93	Efficient National Govt Official	3.91	3.86	3.89	1199
SA94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.78	3.75	3.77	1195
SA95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.78	3.71	3.75	1195
SA96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.66	3.63	3.64	1199
SA97	Efficient Justice System	3.68	3.62	3.65	1199
SA98	Police Efficiency	3.66	3.62	3.64	1198
SA99	Fast Government Services	3.73	3.68	3.70	1196
SA100	Equality Men Between Women	3.89	3.88	3.88	1194
SA101	Being FILIPINO	4.44	4.40	4.42	1197
SA102	Unity of Filipinos	4.11	4.12	4.11	1199

Sheet
Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V4 Type of Residence

Variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD		Rural	Urban	MCR	Total N
SA1	House Tenure	4.19	4.05	3.91	4.09 1190
SA2	Access of House to Work School	4.01	3.99	4.12	4.03 1200
SA3	Security of House From Crime	4.16	3.82	3.72	3.97 1200
SA4	Security of House From Calamities	4.06	3.76	3.98	3.97 1199
SA5	Sufficient House Space	4.06	4.01	3.81	3.99 1199
SA6	Sturdy House	4.05	3.98	3.91	4.00 1197
SA7	Toilet Facility	3.91	3.90	4.05	3.95 1094
SA8	Regular Water	4.05	3.98	3.58	3.92 1187
SA9	Regular Electricity	3.74	4.09	4.11	3.92 1065
SA10	Telephone	2.12	2.74	4.04	2.80 477
SA11	Clean Surroundings	4.15	4.00	3.94	4.06 1196
SA12	Pollution Free Environment	4.17	4.03	3.89	4.07 1198
SA13	Good Neighbor Relations	4.14	4.13	3.92	4.09 1187
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE					
SA14	Permanent Job	4.02	4.06	4.00	4.02 629
SA15	Regular Income	3.79	3.83	3.76	3.79 617
SA16	High Income	3.66	3.65	3.48	3.61 627
SA17	Safe Workplace	4.07	4.12	3.96	4.05 618
SA18	Working Near Home	3.85	3.73	3.65	3.78 552
SA19	Legal Work	4.28	4.31	4.36	4.30 619
SA20	High Position at Work	3.96	3.98	3.97	3.97 591
SA21	Job Suited to Education	3.73	3.76	3.76	3.74 606
SA22	Household Member Working Abroad	3.73	3.85	3.44	3.66 261
SA23	Good Relations With Boss	3.99	4.10	3.94	4.01 484
SA24	Good Relations With Co-workers	4.05	4.15	4.05	4.07 537
SA25	Praised at Work	4.00	3.96	4.03	4.00 593
SA26	Regular Work Schedule	3.92	3.90	3.99	3.93 601
SA27	Length of Work Time	3.84	3.82	3.84	3.84 613
SA28	Job Teaches Skills	3.99	4.01	4.06	4.01 614
SA29	Enough Income Own Needs	3.83	3.79	3.56	3.75 624
SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS					
SA30	Land Ownership	4.24	4.14	4.39	4.25 850
SA31	House Ownership	4.40	4.34	4.43	4.39 1022
SA32	Furniture Appliances	3.67	3.98	4.10	3.85 1160
SA33	Ownership of Vehicle	3.82	3.92	4.12	3.94 311
SA34	To Save	3.72	3.66	3.82	3.73 557
SA35	Freedom from Debt	2.85	2.76	2.49	2.76 857
SA36	Access to Credit	3.44	3.30	3.16	3.34 1158
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS					
SA37	Having Spouse	4.42	4.36	4.54	4.44 943
SA38	Having Children	4.55	4.52	4.74	4.59 942
SA39	Good Marital Relation	4.52	4.55	4.58	4.54 936
SA40	Love of Spouse	4.55	4.54	4.58	4.56 937
SA41	Share in the Decision Making	4.35	4.34	4.42	4.37 1173
SA42	Love of Children	4.53	4.56	4.71	4.58 934
SA43	Love of Parents, Siblings	4.41	4.43	4.51	4.44 1199
SA44	Love of Relatives	4.21	4.23	4.27	4.23 1199

Sheet1
Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V4 Type of Residence

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS		Rural	Urban	NCR	Total	N
SA45	Love of Friends	4.06	4.04	4.02	4.05	1199
SA46	Being with Spouse	4.35	4.36	4.44	4.37	925
SA47	Being with Children	4.43	4.47	4.62	4.48	925
SA48	Being with Parent, Siblings	4.27	4.27	4.37	4.29	1145
SA49	Being with Friends	3.84	3.85	3.82	3.84	1151
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES						
SA50	Time Alone	3.67	3.67	3.93	3.74	1200
SA51	Time for Rest	3.99	3.95	4.04	4.00	1200
SA52	Leisure with Spouse	4.18	4.14	4.26	4.19	921
SA53	Leisure with Children	4.24	4.27	4.45	4.30	932
SA54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	4.10	4.13	4.16	4.12	1197
SA55	Leisure with Friends	3.78	3.69	3.70	3.74	1199
SA56	News from Media	3.72	3.82	3.65	3.73	1197
SA57	Leisure from Media	3.66	3.70	3.66	3.67	1196
SA58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	1.70	1.92	1.93	1.80	948
PHYSICAL HEALTH						
SA59	Freedom from Sickness	4.23	4.21	4.56	4.31	1200
SA60	Regular Exercise	3.57	3.54	3.55	3.56	1179
SA61	Long Life	4.38	4.45	4.60	4.45	1199
SA62	Regular Food	4.26	4.35	4.57	4.36	1196
SA63	Nutritious Food	4.31	4.37	4.57	4.39	1200
SA64	Sound Sleep	4.18	4.21	4.35	4.23	1194
SA65	Smoking	2.90	2.70	2.88	2.86	423
SA66	Drinking	2.82	2.68	2.63	2.74	436
SA67	Drug Use	2.48	2.28	2.57	2.43	114
PSYCHOLOGICAL/MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING						
SA68	Importance Given to Self	4.21	4.22	4.44	4.27	1199
SA69	Mental Alertness	4.20	4.26	4.46	4.28	1199
SA70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	4.16	4.22	4.45	4.25	1199
SA71	Respect from Family	4.31	4.40	4.54	4.39	1199
SA72	Respect from Others	4.11	4.21	4.31	4.18	1200
SA73	Ability Carry Problems	4.03	4.13	4.35	4.14	1198
SA74	Ability Face Changes	4.13	4.20	4.40	4.21	1200
SA75	Peace of Mind	4.25	4.35	4.45	4.32	1199
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE						
SA76	Faith in God	4.64	4.70	4.72	4.67	1200
SA77	Prayer and Reflection	4.60	4.65	4.65	4.62	1200
SA78	Going to Church Activities	4.50	4.54	4.51	4.51	1198
SA79	Doing Good to Others	4.40	4.40	4.45	4.41	1197
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE						
SA80	Ability Read and Write	4.18	4.34	4.47	4.29	1198
SA81	To Finish College	3.67	3.96	3.95	3.80	1173
SA82	Attended Good School	3.76	3.99	4.00	3.87	1194
SA83	Learn from other Sources	3.72	3.79	3.93	3.79	1192
SA84	Apply Knowledge Learned	3.80	3.91	4.03	3.89	1167
SA85	Aware National Events	3.81	3.82	3.92	3.84	1198
SA86	Vote Participation	3.97	3.96	3.93	3.96	1177

Sheet1

Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V4 Type of Residence

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION		Rural	Urban	NCR	Total	N
SA87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.81	3.84	3.93	3.85	1185
SA88	Join in Community Organizations	3.76	3.80	3.86	3.79	750
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE						
SA89	Peaceful Vicinity	4.08	4.09	4.00	4.06	1185
SA90	Security from Crime	4.26	4.28	4.19	4.25	1195
SA91	Far from War Confrontation	4.20	4.27	4.29	4.24	1166
SA92	Efficient Local Government Official	4.05	3.89	3.74	3.94	1198
SA93	Efficient National Govt Official	3.96	3.79	3.84	3.89	1199
SA94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.82	3.77	3.66	3.77	1195
SA95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.80	3.74	3.66	3.75	1195
SA96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.81	3.67	3.27	3.64	1199
SA97	Efficient Justice System	3.82	3.69	3.26	3.65	1199
SA98	Police Efficiency	3.83	3.68	3.21	3.64	1198
SA99	Fast Government Services	3.86	3.72	3.76	3.70	1196
SA100	Equality Men Between Women	3.90	3.92	3.82	3.88	1194
SA101	Being FILIPINO	4.37	4.40	4.55	4.42	1197
SA102	Unity of Filipinos	4.13	4.20	4.01	4.11	1199

Sheet1

Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V13 Married or Not

Variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

	HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD	Married	No Spouse	Total	N
SA1	House Tenure	4.07	4.15	4.09	1190
SA2	Access of House to Work School	4.03	4.06	4.03	1200
SA3	Security of House From Crime	3.98	3.95	3.97	1200
SA4	Security of House From Calamities	3.96	4.01	3.97	1199
SA5	Sufficient House Space	3.94	4.12	3.99	1199
SA6	Sturdy House	3.96	4.13	4.00	1197
SA7	Toilet Facility	3.90	4.08	3.95	1094
SA8	Regular Water	3.90	3.98	3.92	1187
SA9	Regular Electricity	3.86	4.10	3.92	1065
SA10	Telephone	2.70	3.13	2.80	477
SA11	Clean Surroundings	4.04	4.14	4.06	1196
SA12	Pollution Free Environment	4.04	4.17	4.07	1198
SA13	Good Neighbor Relations	4.06	4.14	4.09	1187

EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE

SA14	Permanent Job	4.03	3.97	4.02	629
SA15	Regular Income	3.79	3.80	3.79	617
SA16	High Income	3.62	3.57	3.61	627
SA17	Safe Workplace	4.05	4.05	4.05	618
SA18	Working Near Home	3.80	3.69	3.78	552
SA19	Legal Work	4.32	4.25	4.30	619
SA20	High Position at Work	3.96	3.97	3.97	591
SA21	Job Suited to Education	3.77	3.65	3.74	606
SA22	Household Member Working Abroad	3.62	3.75	3.66	261
SA23	Good Relations With Boss	4.01	3.99	4.01	484
SA24	Good Relations With Co-workers	4.06	4.12	4.07	537
SA25	Praised at Work	4.00	3.98	4.00	593
SA26	Regular Work Schedule	3.92	3.98	3.93	601
SA27	Length of Work Time	3.83	3.85	3.84	613
SA28	Job Teaches Skills	4.00	4.05	4.01	614
SA29	Enough Income Own Needs	3.77	3.70	3.75	624

SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS

SA30	Land Ownership	4.24	4.28	4.25	850
SA31	House Ownership	4.39	4.39	4.39	1022
SA32	Furniture Appliances	3.81	3.97	3.85	1160
SA33	Ownership of Vehicle	3.91	4.03	3.94	311
SA34	To Save	3.68	3.86	3.73	557
SA35	Freedom from Debt	2.76	2.75	2.76	857
SA36	Access to Credit	3.37	3.24	3.34	1158

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS

SA37	Having Spouse	4.47	3.66	4.44	943
SA38	Having Children	4.60	4.45	4.59	942
SA39	Good Marital Relation	4.57	3.84	4.54	936
SA40	Love of Spouse	4.58	3.81	4.56	937
SA41	Share in the Decision Making	4.42	4.19	4.58	1173
SA42	Love of Children	4.58	4.48	4.58	934
SA43	Love of Parents, Siblings	4.44	4.45	4.44	1199
SA44	Love of Relatives	4.22	4.25	4.23	1199

Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V13 Married or Not

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION		Married	No Spouse	Total	N
SA87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.80	3.99	3.85	1185
SA88	Join in Community Organizations	3.78	3.83	3.79	750
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE					
SA89	Peaceful Vicinity	4.03	4.16	4.06	1185
SA90	Security from Crime	4.22	4.34	4.25	1195
SA91	Far from War Confrontation	4.21	4.32	4.24	1166
SA92	Efficient Local Government Official	3.92	3.98	3.94	1198
SA93	Efficient National Govt Official	3.88	3.92	3.89	1199
SA94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.75	3.81	3.77	1195
SA95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.74	3.78	3.75	1195
SA96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.64	3.64	3.64	1199
SA97	Efficient Justice System	3.65	3.68	3.65	1199
SA98	Police Efficiency	3.64	3.62	3.64	1198
SA99	Fast Government Services	3.70	3.70	3.70	1196
SA100	Equality Men Between Women	3.88	3.92	3.88	1194
SA101	Being FILIPINO	4.04	4.47	4.42	1197
SA102	Unity of Filipinos	4.09	4.19	4.11	1199

Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V19 R Working or Not

Variable Description of Variables

BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

ID	HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD	YES	NO	Total	N
SA1	House Tenure	4.13	4.04	4.03	1190
SA2	Access of House to Work School	4.07	3.99	4.03	1200
SA3	Security of House From Crime	3.98	3.96	3.97	1200
SA4	Security of House From Calamities	3.97	3.97	3.97	1199
SA5	Sufficient House Space	4.01	3.97	3.99	1199
SA6	Sturdy House	4.01	3.99	4.00	1197
SA7	Toilet Facility	3.92	3.98	3.95	1094
SA8	Regular Water	3.90	3.94	3.92	1187
SA9	Regular Electricity	3.90	3.94	3.92	1065
SA10	Telephone	2.75	2.87	2.80	477
SA11	Clean Surroundings	4.06	4.06	4.06	1196
SA12	Pollution Free Environment	4.08	4.06	4.07	1138
SA13	Good Neighbor Relations	4.12	4.04	4.09	1187
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE					
SA14	Permanent Job	4.02	4.06	4.02	629
SA15	Regular Income	3.80	3.69	3.79	617
SA16	High Income	3.61	3.56	3.61	627
SA17	Safe Workplace	4.06	3.86	4.05	618
SA18	Working Near Home	3.78	3.50	3.78	552
SA19	Legal Work	4.32	3.85	4.30	619
SA20	High Position at Work	3.97	3.64	3.97	591
SA21	Job Suited to Education	3.75	3.46	3.74	606
SA22	Household Member Working Abroad	3.64	3.92	3.66	261
SA23	Good Relations With Boss	4.01	3.56	4.01	484
SA24	Good Relations With Co-workers	4.08	3.75	4.07	537
SA25	Praised at Work	3.99	4.07	4.00	593
SA26	Regular Work Schedule	3.94	3.77	3.93	601
SA27	Length of Work Time	3.84	3.46	3.84	613
SA28	Job Teaches Skills	4.01	3.57	4.01	614
SA29	Enough Income Own Needs	3.75	3.86	3.75	624
SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS					
SA30	Land Ownership	4.26	4.23	4.25	850
SA31	House Ownership	4.40	4.38	4.39	1022
SA32	Furniture Appliances	3.82	3.89	3.85	1160
SA33	Ownership of Vehicle	3.93	3.95	3.94	311
SA34	To Save	3.67	3.79	3.73	557
SA35	Freedom from Debt	2.80	2.70	2.76	857
SA36	Access to Credit	3.38	3.28	3.34	1158
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS					
SA37	Having Spouse	4.51	4.35	4.44	943
SA38	Having Children	4.64	4.51	4.59	942
SA39	Good Marital Relation	4.59	4.49	4.54	936
SA40	Love of Spouse	4.61	4.49	4.56	937
SA41	Share in the Decision Making	4.42	4.30	4.58	1173
SA42	Love of Children	4.62	4.52	4.58	934
SA43	Love of Parents, Siblings	4.44	4.44	4.44	1199
SA44	Love of Relatives	4.24	4.21	4.23	1199

Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V19 R Working or Not

Variable Description of Variables

ID

BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS

		YES	NO	Total	N
SA45	Love of Friends	4.05	4.05	4.05	1199
SA46	Being with Spouse	4.43	4.30	4.37	925
SA47	Being with Children	4.51	4.44	4.48	925
SA48	Being with Parent, Siblings	4.33	4.25	4.29	1145
SA49	Being with Friends	3.82	3.86	3.84	1151

LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES

SA50	Time Alone	3.75	3.72	3.74	1200
SA51	Time for Rest	4.00	3.98	4.00	1200
SA52	Leisure with Spouse	4.22	4.15	4.19	921
SA53	Leisure with Children	4.29	4.30	4.30	932
SA54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	4.08	4.18	4.12	1197
SA55	Leisure with Friends	3.71	3.78	3.74	1199
SA56	News from Media	3.74	3.72	3.73	1197
SA57	Leisure from Media	3.65	3.69	3.67	1196
SA58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	1.91	1.67	1.80	948

PHYSICAL HEALTH

SA59	Freedom from Sickness	4.37	4.24	4.31	1200
SA60	Regular Exercise	3.54	3.58	3.56	1179
SA61	Long Life	4.44	4.46	4.45	1199
SA62	Regular Food	4.36	4.36	4.36	1196
SA63	Nutritious Food	4.40	4.38	4.39	1200
SA64	Sound Sleep	4.23	4.24	4.23	1194
SA65	Smoking	2.91	2.71	2.86	423
SA66	Drinking	2.81	2.59	2.74	436
SA67	Drug Use	2.60	2.08	2.43	114

PSYCHOLOGICAL/MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING

SA68	Importance Given to Self	4.26	4.28	4.27	1199
SA69	Mental Alertness	4.29	4.26	4.28	1199
SA70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	4.27	4.22	4.25	1199
SA71	Respect from Family	4.40	4.37	4.39	1199
SA72	Respect from Others	4.18	4.18	4.18	1200
SA73	Ability Carry Problems	4.16	4.10	4.14	1198
SA74	Ability Face Changes	4.26	4.16	4.21	1200
SA75	Peace of Mind	4.34	4.30	4.32	1199

RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE

SA76	Faith in God	4.65	4.70	4.67	1200
SA77	Prayer and Reflection	4.61	4.64	4.62	1200
SA78	Going to Church Activities	4.50	4.52	4.51	1198
SA79	Doing Good to Others	4.42	4.41	4.41	1197

INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE

SA80	Ability Read and Write	4.26	4.33	4.29	1198
SA81	To Finish College	3.80	3.80	3.80	1173
SA82	Attended Good School	3.86	3.88	3.87	1194
SA83	Learn from other Sources	3.81	3.76	3.79	1192
SA84	Apply Knowledge Learned	3.92	3.84	3.89	1167
SA85	Aware National Events	3.86	3.82	3.84	1198
SA86	Vote Participation	3.96	3.95	3.96	1177

Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V19 R Working or Not

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION		YES	NO	Total	N
SA87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.84	3.86	3.85	1185
SA88	Join in Community Organizations	3.80	3.78	3.79	750
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE					
SA89	Peaceful Vicinity	4.06	4.06	4.06	1185
SA90	Security from Crime	4.24	4.26	4.25	1195
SA91	Far from War Confrontation	4.23	4.24	4.24	1166
SA92	Efficient Local Government Official	3.94	3.93	3.94	1198
SA93	Efficient National Govt Official	3.91	3.87	3.89	1199
SA94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.75	3.78	3.77	1195
SA95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.73	3.77	3.75	1195
SA96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.62	3.67	3.64	1199
SA97	Efficient Justice System	3.63	3.68	3.65	1199
SA98	Police Efficiency	3.63	3.65	3.64	1198
SA99	Fast Government Services	3.71	3.70	3.70	1196
SA100	Equality Men Between Women	3.88	3.90	3.88	1194
SA101	Being FILIPINO	4.45	4.38	4.42	1197
SA102	Unity of Filipinos	4.13	4.38	4.11	1199

Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V26 SES of Respondent

Variable Description of Variables

BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD		Poor	On Line	Not Poor	Total	N
SA1	House Tenure	4.04	4.11	4.21	4.03	1190
SA2	Access of House to Work School	4.01	4.00	4.18	4.03	1200
SA3	Security of House From Crime	3.99	3.97	3.93	3.97	1200
SA4	Security of House From Calamities	3.92	3.98	4.11	3.97	1199
SA5	Sufficient House Space	3.94	4.00	4.12	3.99	1199
SA6	Sturdy House	3.91	4.04	4.19	4.00	1197
SA7	Toilet Facility	3.81	4.06	4.11	3.95	1094
SA8	Regular Water	3.85	4.04	3.86	3.92	1187
SA9	Regular Electricity	3.72	4.09	4.18	3.92	1065
SA10	Telephone	2.32	2.94	3.88	2.80	477
SA11	Clean Surroundings	4.01	4.09	4.18	4.06	1196
SA12	Pollution Free Environment	4.04	4.10	4.10	4.07	1198
SA13	Good Neighbor Relations	4.08	4.09	4.08	4.09	1187
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE						
SA14	Permanent Job	3.90	4.10	4.24	4.02	623
SA15	Regular Income	3.63	3.94	3.99	3.79	617
SA16	High Income	3.47	3.75	3.73	3.61	627
SA17	Safe Workplace	4.01	4.09	4.10	4.05	618
SA18	Working Near Home	3.73	3.84	3.77	3.78	552
SA19	Legal Work	4.29	4.33	4.31	4.30	619
SA20	High Position at Work	3.87	3.99	4.19	3.97	591
SA21	Job Suited to Education	3.64	3.82	3.89	3.74	606
SA22	Household Member Working Abroad	3.68	3.72	3.51	3.66	261
SA23	Good Relations With Boss	3.95	4.03	4.14	4.01	484
SA24	Good Relations With Co-workers	4.04	4.08	4.14	4.07	537
SA25	Praised at Work	3.93	4.01	4.16	4.00	593
SA26	Regular Work Schedule	3.90	3.94	4.01	3.93	601
SA27	Length of Work Time	3.79	3.88	3.90	3.84	613
SA28	Job Teaches Skills	3.96	4.04	4.10	4.01	614
SA29	Enough Income Own Needs	3.63	3.87	3.89	3.75	624
SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS						
SA30	Land Ownership	4.12	4.39	4.31	4.25	850
SA31	House Ownership	4.31	4.49	4.42	4.39	1022
SA32	Furniture Appliances	3.62	4.01	4.25	3.85	1160
SA33	Ownership of Vehicle	3.63	4.04	4.19	3.94	311
SA34	To Save	3.55	3.82	3.89	3.73	557
SA35	Freedom from Debt	2.74	2.83	2.65	2.76	857
SA36	Access to Credit	3.36	3.37	3.17	3.34	1158
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS						
SA37	Having Spouse	4.38	4.51	4.47	4.44	943
SA38	Having Children	4.54	4.34	4.63	4.59	942
SA39	Good Marital Relation	4.47	4.60	4.67	4.54	936
SA40	Love of Spouse	4.48	4.62	4.67	4.56	937
SA41	Share in the Decision Making	4.35	4.36	4.42	4.58	1173
SA42	Love of Children	4.53	4.62	4.63	4.58	934
SA43	Love of Parents, Siblings	4.39	4.48	4.51	4.44	1199
SA44	Love of Relatives	4.19	4.26	4.29	4.23	1199

Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V26 SES of Respondent

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS		Poor	On Line	Not Poor	Total	N
SA45	Love of Friends	4.01	4.07	4.12	4.05	1199
SA46	Being with Spouse	4.31	4.43	4.47	4.37	925
SA47	Being with Children	4.45	4.49	4.57	4.48	925
SA48	Being with Parent, Siblings	4.25	4.29	4.45	4.29	1145
SA49	Being with Friends	3.79	3.87	3.95	3.84	1151
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES						
SA50	Time Alone	3.68	3.78	3.84	3.74	1200
SA51	Time for Rest	3.98	3.94	4.16	4.00	1200
SA52	Leisure with Spouse	4.14	4.20	4.36	4.19	921
SA53	Leisure with Children	4.24	4.30	4.48	4.30	932
SA54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	4.08	4.11	4.28	4.12	1197
SA55	Leisure with Friends	3.68	3.77	3.88	3.74	1199
SA56	News from Media	3.73	3.72	3.75	3.73	1197
SA57	Leisure from Media	3.66	3.69	3.64	3.67	1196
SA58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	1.77	1.84	1.80	1.80	948
PHYSICAL HEALTH						
SA59	Freedom from Sickness	4.27	4.34	4.36	4.31	1200
SA60	Regular Exercise	3.48	3.56	3.82	3.56	1179
SA61	Long Life	4.39	4.45	4.65	4.45	1199
SA62	Regular Food	4.28	4.40	4.52	4.36	1196
SA63	Nutritious Food	4.30	4.44	4.56	4.39	1200
SA64	Sound Sleep	4.20	4.24	4.33	4.23	1194
SA65	Smoking	2.98	2.82	2.51	2.86	423
SA66	Drinking	2.81	2.79	2.44	2.74	436
SA67	Drug Use	2.64	1.82	2.61	2.43	114
PSYCHOLOGICAL/MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING						
SA68	Importance Given to Self	4.23	4.30	4.35	4.27	1199
SA69	Mental Alertness	4.23	4.32	4.32	4.28	1199
SA70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	4.21	4.28	4.29	4.25	1199
SA71	Respect from Family	4.34	4.41	4.49	4.39	1199
SA72	Respect from Others	4.15	4.22	4.22	4.18	1200
SA73	Ability Carry Problems	4.08	4.14	4.29	4.14	1198
SA74	Ability Face Changes	4.18	4.21	4.32	4.21	1200
SA75	Peace of Mind	4.27	4.33	4.45	4.32	1199
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE						
SA76	Faith in God	4.60	4.75	4.73	4.67	1200
SA77	Prayer and Reflection	4.56	4.70	4.67	4.62	1200
SA78	Going to Church Activities	4.44	4.59	4.54	4.51	1198
SA79	Doing Good to Others	4.36	4.49	4.46	4.41	1197
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE						
SA80	Ability Read and Write	4.22	4.32	4.46	4.29	1198
SA81	To Finish College	3.64	3.93	4.06	3.80	1173
SA82	Attended Good School	3.77	3.94	4.06	3.87	1194
SA83	Learn from other Sources	3.70	3.75	3.92	3.79	1192
SA84	Apply Knowledge Learned	3.78	3.95	4.09	3.89	1167
SA85	Aware National Events	3.81	3.88	3.87	3.84	1198
SA86	Vote Participation	3.96	3.94	3.96	3.96	1177

Sheet1

Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V26 SES of Respondent

Variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION		Poor	On Line	Not Poor	Total	N
SA87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.83	3.85	3.91	3.85	1185
SA88	Join in Community Organizations	3.78	3.79	3.84	3.79	750
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE						
SA89	Peaceful Vicinity	4.07	4.05	4.06	4.06	1185
SA90	Security from Crime	4.21	4.26	4.34	4.25	1195
SA91	Far from War Confrontation	4.19	4.27	4.30	4.24	1166
SA92	Efficient Local Government Official	3.95	3.93	3.88	3.94	1198
SA93	Efficient National Govt Official	3.89	3.88	3.91	3.89	1199
SA94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.74	3.75	3.86	3.77	1195
SA95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.72	3.74	3.85	3.75	1195
SA96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.71	3.56	3.60	3.64	1199
SA97	Efficient Justice System	3.75	3.53	3.62	3.65	1199
SA98	Police Efficiency	3.74	3.52	3.56	3.64	1198
SA99	Fast Government Services	3.77	3.61	3.68	3.70	1196
SA100	Equality Men Between Women	3.94	3.82	3.87	3.88	1194
SA101	Being FILIPINO	4.37	4.44	4.52	4.42	1197
SA102	Unity of Filipinos	4.12	4.14	4.02	4.11	1199

Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V27 SES of Family

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

	HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD	Poor	On Line	Not Poor	Total	N
SA1	House Tenure	4.04	4.08	4.23	4.09	1190
SA2	Access of House to Work School	4.01	3.99	4.19	4.03	1200
SA3	Security of House From Crime	4.01	3.94	3.97	3.97	1200
SA4	Security of House From Calamities	3.91	3.97	4.16	3.97	1199
SA5	Sufficient House Space	3.94	3.98	4.14	3.99	1199
SA6	Sturdy House	3.92	4.02	4.15	4.00	1197
SA7	Toilet Facility	3.80	4.03	4.14	3.95	1094
SA8	Regular Water	3.84	4.02	3.89	3.92	1187
SA9	Regular Electricity	3.71	4.04	4.18	3.92	1065
SA10	Telephone	2.28	2.94	3.71	2.80	477
SA11	Clean Surroundings	4.03	4.05	4.17	4.06	1196
SA12	Pollution Free Environment	4.06	4.05	4.14	4.07	1198
SA13	Good Neighbor Relations	4.08	4.09	4.07	4.09	1187

EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE

SA14	Permanent Job	3.87	4.09	4.28	4.02	629
SA15	Regular Income	3.62	3.86	4.07	3.79	617
SA16	High Income	3.48	3.68	3.77	3.61	627
SA17	Safe Workplace	4.01	4.05	4.16	4.05	618
SA18	Working Near Home	3.77	3.77	3.78	3.78	552
SA19	Legal Work	4.32	4.27	4.35	4.30	619
SA20	High Position at Work	3.88	3.99	4.13	3.97	591
SA21	Job Suited to Education	3.64	3.79	3.91	3.74	606
SA22	Household Member Working Abroad	3.65	3.68	3.61	3.66	261
SA23	Good Relations With Boss	3.95	4.02	4.13	4.01	484
SA24	Good Relations With Co-workers	4.03	4.06	4.21	4.07	537
SA25	Praised at Work	3.93	3.99	4.18	4.00	593
SA26	Regular Work Schedule	3.88	3.94	4.04	3.93	601
SA27	Length of Work Time	3.80	3.86	3.88	3.84	613
SA28	Job Teaches Skills	3.93	4.02	4.18	4.01	614
SA29	Enough Income Own Needs	3.61	3.83	3.95	3.75	624

SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS

SA30	Land Ownership	4.11	4.35	4.36	4.25	850
SA31	House Ownership	4.31	4.46	4.43	4.39	1022
SA32	Furniture Appliances	3.61	3.98	4.20	3.85	1160
SA33	Ownership of Vehicle	3.67	4.04	4.05	3.94	311
SA34	To Save	3.54	3.79	3.92	3.73	557
SA35	Freedom from Debt	2.74	2.84	2.60	2.76	857
SA36	Access to Credit	3.34	3.39	3.20	3.34	1158

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS

SA37	Having Spouse	4.39	4.48	4.46	4.44	943
SA38	Having Children	4.55	4.61	4.64	4.59	942
SA39	Good Marital Relation	4.48	4.57	4.66	4.54	936
SA40	Love of Spouse	4.49	4.60	4.64	4.56	937
SA41	Share in the Decision Making	4.35	4.36	4.44	4.58	1173
SA42	Love of Children	4.52	4.60	4.68	4.58	934
SA43	Love of Parents, Siblings	4.38	4.47	4.53	4.44	1199
SA44	Love of Relatives	4.20	4.23	4.30	4.23	1199

Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V27 SES of Family

Variable Description of Variables

BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS		Poor	On Line	Not Poor	Total	N
SA45	Love of Friends	4.01	4.06	4.10	4.05	1199
SA46	Being with Spouse	4.30	4.41	4.45	4.37	925
SA47	Being with Children	4.43	4.49	4.59	4.48	925
SA48	Being with Parent, Siblings	4.25	4.28	4.45	4.29	1145
SA49	Being with Friends	3.82	3.84	3.90	3.84	1151
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES						
SA50	Time Alone	3.68	3.76	3.84	3.74	1200
SA51	Time for Rest	3.97	3.97	4.12	4.00	1200
SA52	Leisure with Spouse	4.13	4.20	4.31	4.19	921
SA53	Leisure with Children	4.23	4.30	4.46	4.30	932
SA54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	4.08	4.12	4.27	4.12	1197
SA55	Leisure with Friends	3.69	3.77	3.81	3.74	1199
SA56	News from Media	3.74	3.71	3.73	3.73	1197
SA57	Leisure from Media	3.66	3.68	3.66	3.67	1196
SA58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	1.78	1.84	1.76	1.80	948
PHYSICAL HEALTH						
SA59	Freedom from Sickness	4.27	4.32	4.37	4.31	1200
SA60	Regular Exercise	3.43	3.60	3.80	3.56	1179
SA61	Long Life	4.39	4.46	4.62	4.45	1199
SA62	Regular Food	4.28	4.39	4.51	4.36	1196
SA63	Nutritious Food	4.29	4.44	4.56	4.39	1200
SA64	Sound Sleep	4.20	4.24	4.31	4.23	1194
SA65	Smoking	2.94	2.78	2.77	2.86	423
SA66	Drinking	2.81	2.71	2.64	2.74	436
SA67	Drug Use	2.68	1.95	2.65	2.43	114
PSYCHOLOGICAL/MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING						
SA68	Importance Given to Self	4.22	4.29	4.38	4.27	1199
SA69	Mental Alertness	4.20	4.32	4.38	4.28	1199
SA70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	4.20	4.28	4.32	4.25	1199
SA71	Respect from Family	4.33	4.41	4.49	4.39	1199
SA72	Respect from Others	4.15	4.20	4.24	4.18	1200
SA73	Ability Carry Problems	4.07	4.16	4.25	4.14	1198
SA74	Ability Face Changes	4.17	4.20	4.34	4.21	1200
SA75	Peace of Mind	4.26	4.33	4.46	4.32	1199
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE						
SA76	Faith in God	4.59	4.74	4.74	4.67	1200
SA77	Prayer and Reflection	4.55	4.69	4.67	4.62	1200
SA78	Going to Church Activities	4.43	4.58	4.54	4.51	1198
SA79	Doing Good to Others	4.34	4.48	4.46	4.41	1197
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE						
SA80	Ability Read and Write	4.20	4.34	4.44	4.29	1198
SA81	To Finish College	3.58	3.93	4.10	3.80	1173
SA82	Attended Good School	3.76	3.92	4.07	3.87	1194
SA83	Learn from other Sources	3.70	3.84	3.90	3.79	1192
SA84	Apply Knowledge Learned	3.76	3.96	4.03	3.89	1167
SA85	Aware National Events	3.82	3.85	3.86	3.84	1198
SA86	Vote Participation	3.96	3.95	3.96	3.96	1177

Means of Satisfaction Ratings by V27 SES of Family

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION		Poor	On Line	Not Poor	Total	N
SA87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.85	3.83	3.89	3.85	1185
SA88	Join in Community Organizations	3.80	3.80	3.76	3.79	750
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE						
SA89	Peaceful Vicinity	4.08	4.01	4.11	4.06	1185
SA90	Security from Crime	4.20	4.25	4.36	4.25	1195
SA91	Far from War Confrontation	4.18	4.26	4.33	4.24	1166
SA92	Efficient Local Government Official	3.93	3.93	3.95	3.94	1198
SA93	Efficient National Govt Official	3.88	3.88	3.95	3.89	1199
SA94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.72	3.76	3.89	3.77	1195
SA95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.70	3.74	3.90	3.75	1195
SA96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.69	3.58	3.67	3.64	1199
SA97	Efficient Justice System	3.72	3.55	3.71	3.65	1199
SA98	Police Efficiency	3.72	3.54	3.66	3.64	1198
SA99	Fast Government Services	3.74	3.65	3.73	3.70	1196
SA100	Equality Men Between Women	3.91	3.83	3.95	3.88	1194
SA101	Being FILIPINO	4.35	4.45	4.54	4.42	1197
SA102	Unity of Filipinos	4.11	4.12	4.12	4.11	1199

Mean Satisfaction Ratings Across Age Categories

HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD		Age Category				N	
		18-25	26-49	50+	ALL		
I1	House Tenure	4.00	4.06	4.30	4.09	1183	***
I2	Access of House to Work School	4.02	4.02	4.11	4.03	1193	
I3	Security of House From Crime	4.02	3.97	3.93	3.97	1193	
I4	Security of House From Calamities	4.01	3.96	3.98	3.97	1192	
I5	Sufficient House Space	4.06	3.94	4.05	3.99	1192	
I6	Sturdy House	4.06	3.95	4.11	4.00	1190	
I7	Toilet Facility	4.03	3.91	3.96	3.95	1090	
I8	Regular Water	4.02	3.88	3.95	3.92	1180	
I9	Regular Electricity	4.02	3.88	3.94	3.92	1061	
I10	Telephone	2.99	2.67	2.97	2.80	474	
I11	Clean Surroundings	4.17	4.03	4.04	4.06	1189	
I12	Pollution Free Environment	4.18	4.04	4.05	4.07	1191	
I13	Good Neighbor Relations	4.18	4.08	4.00	4.08	1180	**
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE							
I14	Permanent Job	4.01	4.04	3.99	4.03	627	
I15	Regular Income	3.99	3.78	3.68	3.79	615	•
I16	High Income	3.75	3.58	3.61	3.61	625	
I17	Safe Workplace	4.12	4.05	4.00	4.05	616	
I18	Working Near Home	3.75	3.77	3.82	3.77	550	
I19	Legal Work	4.34	4.32	4.24	4.30	617	
I20	High Position at Work	3.94	3.96	3.99	3.97	589	
I21	Job Suited to Education	3.58	3.77	3.79	3.74	604	
I22	Household Member Working Abroad	3.42	3.61	3.97	3.66	261	**
I23	Good Relations With Boss	4.06	4.00	3.99	4.01	482	
I24	Good Relations With Co-workers	4.21	4.05	4.02	4.07	535	•
I25	Praised at Work	4.05	3.99	3.97	4.00	591	
I26	Regular Work Schedule	4.03	3.92	3.91	3.94	599	
I27	Length of Work Time	3.92	3.83	3.81	3.84	611	
I28	Job Teaches Skills	4.12	4.01	3.91	4.01	612	•
I29	Enough Income Own Needs	3.84	3.75	3.71	3.76	622	
SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS							
I30	Land Ownership	4.30	4.23	4.25	4.25	843	
I31	House Ownership	4.40	4.38	4.42	4.39	1015	
I32	Furniture Appliances	3.94	3.80	3.91	3.85	1153	
I33	Ownership of Vehicle	3.98	3.86	4.14	3.94	308	
I34	To Save	3.97	3.64	3.72	3.73	553	
I35	Freedom from Debt	2.70	2.77	2.79	2.76	851	
I36	Access to Credit	3.20	3.37	3.39	3.34	1151	•
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS							
I37	Having Spouse	4.51	4.48	4.26	4.44	936	**
I38	Having Children	4.66	4.60	4.51	4.59	935	•
I39	Good Marital Relation	4.60	4.57	4.42	4.56	929	**
I40	Love of Spouse	4.61	4.59	4.42	4.56	930	**
I41	Share in the Decision Making	4.26	4.41	4.35	4.37	1166	
I42	Love of Children	4.64	4.60	4.48	4.58	927	**
I43	Love of Parents, Siblings	4.54	4.45	4.28	4.44	1192	***

Mean Satisfaction Ratings Across Age Categories

		Age Category				
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS (cont)		18-25	26-49	50+	ALL	N
I44	Love of Relatives	4.28	4.26	4.11	4.23	1192 **
I45	Love of Friends	4.12	4.07	3.90	4.05	1192 **
I46	Being with Spouse	4.34	4.42	4.22	4.37	918 *
I47	Being with Children	4.55	4.50	4.38	4.48	918 **
I48	Being with Parent, Siblings	4.38	4.32	4.14	4.29	1138 **
I49	Being with Friends	3.96	3.82	3.78	3.84	1144 *
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES						
I50	Time Alone	3.80	3.73	3.70	3.74	1193
I51	Time for Rest	4.04	3.96	4.09	4.00	1193
I52	Leisure with Spouse	4.27	4.20	4.12	4.19	915
I53	Leisure with Children	4.33	4.31	4.24	4.30	925
I54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	4.23	4.12	4.03	4.13	1190 **
I55	Leisure with Friends	3.89	3.70	3.70	3.74	1192 **
I56	News from Media	3.80	3.69	3.75	3.73	1190
I57	Leisure from Media	3.67	3.66	3.69	3.67	1189
I58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	1.70	1.83	1.79	1.70	945
PHYSICAL HEALTH						
I59	Freedom from Sickness	4.38	4.32	4.21	4.31	1193 *
I60	Regular Exercise	3.58	3.53	3.64	3.56	1073
I61	Long Life	4.51	4.46	4.39	4.45	1192 *
I62	Regular Food	4.41	4.38	4.25	4.36	1189 **
I63	Nutritious Food	4.46	4.41	4.26	4.39	1193 ***
I64	Sound Sleep	4.27	4.25	4.17	4.24	1187
I65	Smoking	2.79	2.90	2.76	2.85	421
I66	Drinking	2.50	2.84	2.75	2.74	435
I67	Drug Use	2.33	2.75	1.78	2.43	114
PSYCHOLOGICAL/MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING						
I68	Importance Given to Self	4.32	4.26	4.25	4.27	1192
I69	Mental Alertness	4.36	4.27	4.21	4.28	1192 *
I70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	4.34	4.23	4.23	4.25	1192
I71	Respect from Family	4.44	4.39	4.34	4.39	1192 *
I72	Respect from Others	4.27	4.17	4.16	4.19	1193 *
I73	Ability Carry Problems	4.21	4.12	4.11	4.14	1191
I74	Ability Face Changes	4.26	4.22	4.14	4.21	1193 *
I75	Peace of Mind	4.37	4.33	4.24	4.32	1192 *
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE						
I76	Faith in God	4.77	4.65	4.67	4.67	1193 *
I77	Prayer and Reflection	4.69	4.62	4.58	4.63	1193 *
I78	Going to Church Activities	4.57	4.50	4.50	4.51	1192 *
I79	Doing Good to Others	4.44	4.41	4.42	4.42	1190
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE						
I80	Ability Read and Write	4.47	4.30	4.06	4.29	1191 ***
I81	To Finish College	3.98	3.76	3.74	3.80	1168 **
I82	Attended Good School	4.02	3.84	3.81	3.87	1187 *
I83	Learn from other Sources	3.87	3.76	3.77	3.79	1185
I84	Apply Knowledge Learned	4.04	3.85	3.83	3.89	1163 **

Mean Satisfaction Ratings Across Age Categories

POLITICAL PARTICIPATION		Age Category				N
		18-25	26-49	50+	ALL	
I85	Aware National Events	3.93	3.81	3.85	3.84	1191
I86	Vote Participation	3.91	3.96	4.02	3.96	1170
I87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	3.90	3.84	3.84	3.85	1178
I88	Join in Community Organizations	3.75	3.80	3.81	3.79	746
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE						
I89	Peaceful Vicinity	4.20	4.02	4.04	4.06	1178 *
I90	Security from Crime	4.36	4.23	4.19	4.25	1188 **
I91	Far from War Confrontation	4.30	4.23	4.17	4.24	1160
I92	Efficient Local Government Official	4.00	3.96	3.79	3.94	1191 **
I93	Efficient National Govt Official	3.95	3.90	3.79	3.89	1192 *
I94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	3.90	3.77	3.59	3.77	1188 ***
I95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.88	3.75	3.60	3.75	1188 ***
I96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.78	3.64	3.50	3.65	1192 **
I97	Efficient Justice System	3.80	3.67	3.47	3.66	1192 ***
I98	Police Efficiency	3.72	3.66	3.50	3.64	1191 *
I99	Fast Government Services	3.82	3.71	3.56	3.71	1189 **
I100	Equality Men Between Women	4.00	3.89	3.74	3.89	1187 ***
I101	Being FILIPINO	4.50	4.41	4.39	4.42	1190 *
I102	Unity of Filipinos	4.22	4.11	4.03	4.12	1192 **

* $p < .05$

** $p < .01$

*** $p < .001$



Appendix H
Percentage Distribution
of Satisfaction Ratings
by Area

Percentage Distribution of Satisfaction Ratings: ALL

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

SECTION 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE									
		Definite Not		Maybe		HAPPY		N	MC
HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD		Not Happy		Happy					
SA1	House Tenure	2.0	3.8	11.3	49.0	33.9	1190	10	
SA2	Access of House to Work School	1.1	4.4	11.0	57.0	26.5	1200	0	
SA3	Security of House From Crime	3.6	7.1	9.7	47.8	31.8	1200	0	
SA4	Security of House From Calamities	3.8	6.5	10.1	48.1	31.5	1199	1	
SA5	Sufficient House Space	1.3	4.4	12.8	57.5	24.1	1199	1	
SA6	Sturdy House	1.3	5.0	13.9	52.2	27.7	1197	3	
SA7	Toilet Facility	1.2	4.8	16.2	53.9	23.9	1094	106	
SA8	Regular Water	2.5	6.5	14.0	50.5	26.5	1187	13	
SA9	Regular Electricity	2.6	8.5	9.8	52.3	26.9	1065	135	
SA10	Telephone	24.5	23.9	13.2	23.3	15.1	477	723	
SA11	Clean Surroundings	1.3	3.7	9.4	58.6	26.9	1196	4	
SA12	Pollution Free Environment	1.5	3.4	9.8	56.9	28.3	1198	2	
SA13	Good Neighbor Relations	0.4	1.9	9.7	65.0	23.0	1187	13	
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE									
SA14	Permanent Job	0.6	3.7	16.2	51.5	28.0	629	571	
SA15	Regular Income	0.6	8.9	21.7	48.0	20.7	617	583	
SA16	High Income	1.9	11.5	26.8	43.2	16.6	627	573	
SA17	Safe Workplace	0.5	3.2	13.1	56.8	26.4	618	582	
SA18	Working Near Home	2.4	8.2	16.1	56.3	17.0	552	648	
SA19	Legal Work	0	2.4	5.5	51.2	40.9	619	581	
SA20	High Position at Work	0.2	4.2	16.4	57.2	22.0	591	609	
SA21	Job Suited to Education	2.3	6.8	22.6	50.8	17.5	606	594	
SA22	Household Member Working Abroad	3.4	11.1	19.9	47.5	18.0	261	939	
SA23	Good Relations With Boss	0.8	2.1	12.4	65.1	19.6	484	716	
SA24	Good Relations With Co-workers	0.2	1.3	10.6	67.0	20.9	537	663	
SA25	Praised at Work	0.2	2.2	13.8	65.4	18.4	593	607	
SA26	Regular Work Schedule	0.8	3.0	14.1	66.1	16.0	601	599	
SA27	Length of Work Time	1.6	4.7	15.0	65.7	12.9	613	587	
SA28	Job Teaches Skills	1.0	2.6	12.2	62.7	21.5	614	586	
SA29	Enough Income Own Needs	1.3	9.0	19.6	53.4	16.8	624	576	
SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS									
SA30	Land Ownership	1.4	2.5	6.8	48.6	40.7	850	350	
SA31	House Ownership	0.6	1.6	3.3	47.4	47.2	1022	178	
SA32	Furniture Appliances	2.6	6.6	19.8	45.0	25.9	1160	40	
SA33	Ownership of Vehicle	4.8	4.8	14.8	42.8	32.8	311	889	
SA34	To Save	4.7	9.5	16.3	47.4	22.1	557	643	
SA35	Freedom from Debt	17.0	25.2	26.3	28.0	3.5	857	343	
SA36	Access to Credit	6.8	12.6	28.2	44.9	7.5	1158	42	
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS									
SA37	Having Spouse	1.2	1.7	3.2	40.2	53.8	943	257	
SA38	Having Children	0.1	0.3	1.4	37.3	60.9	942	258	
SA39	Good Marital Relation	0.7	0.7	1.9	36.6	59.9	936	264	
SA40	Love of Spouse	0.6	1.1	1.8	35.0	61.5	937	263	
SA41	Share in the Decision Making	0.2	0.6	4.6	51.7	43.0	1173	27	
SA42	Love of Children	0	0.1	1.5	39.0	59.4	934	266	
SA43	Love of Parents, Siblings	0.3	0.3	3.4	47.3	48.7	1199	1	

Sheet1
Percentage Distribution of Satisfaction Ratings: ALL

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Definite Not		Maybe		HAPPY Very		N	MS
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS		Not	Happy	Happy	HAPPY				
SA44	Love of Relatives	0.2	1.0	7.9	57.4	33.5	1199	1	
SA45	Love of Friends	0.7	1.8	14.3	58.6	24.6	1199	1	
SA46	Being with Spouse	1.0	1.5	4.0	46.5	47.0	925	275	
SA47	Being with Children	0	0.6	2.4	45.3	51.7	925	275	
SA48	Being with Parent, Siblings	0.3	1.4	6.5	52.1	39.7	1145	55	
SA49	Being with Friends	1.0	3.7	19.6	61.4	14.2	1151	49	
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES									
SA50	Time Alone	2.4	7.3	17.6	59.6	13.1	1200	0	
SA51	Time for Rest	0.7	1.4	13.3	66.9	17.7	1200	0	
SA52	Leisure with Spouse	0.9	2.1	6.9	57.6	32.5	921	279	
SA53	Leisure with Children	0.1	1.1	4.8	57.2	36.8	932	268	
SA54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	0.3	2.0	10.9	58.6	28.2	1197	3	
SA55	Leisure with Friends	1.6	4.5	23.6	58.9	11.4	1199	1	
SA56	News from Media	1.9	5.3	23.9	55.8	13.0	1197	3	
SA57	Leisure from Media	1.4	5.9	27.7	54.3	10.7	1196	4	
SA58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	61.4	14.2	10.4	10.8	3.2	948	252	
PHYSICAL HEALTH									
SA59	Freedom from Sickness	1.3	2.9	4.9	45.5	45.4	1200	0	
SA60	Regular Exercise	4.8	12.8	20.8	44.6	17.0	1076	124	
SA61	Long Life	0.2	0.3	2.9	47.5	49.1	1199	1	
SA62	Regular Food	0.2	0.2	2.8	57.1	39.7	1196	4	
SA63	Nutritious Food	0	0.4	3.7	52.5	43.4	1200	0	
SA64	Sound Sleep	0.3	1.1	7.0	58.1	33.4	1194	6	
SA65	Smoking	15.4	20.3	31.9	28.1	4.3	423	777	
SA66	Drinking	48.2	9.6	7.9	19.3	14.9	114	1086	
SA67	Drug Use	0.3	1.0	4.5	59.2	35.0	1199	1	
PSYCHOLOGICAL/MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING									
SA68	Importance Given to Self	0.3	0.7	4.0	61.9	33.2	1199	1	
SA69	Mental Alertness	0.3	1.0	4.5	59.2	35.0	1199	1	
SA70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	0.5	0.6	5.4	60.6	32.9	1199	1	
SA71	Respect from Family	0	0.3	2.8	54.7	42.2	1199	1	
SA72	Respect from Others	0.4	0.8	8.0	61.4	29.3	1200	0	
SA73	Ability Carry Problems	0.6	2.0	10.5	57.1	29.8	1198	2	
SA74	Ability Face Changes	0.2	0.6	9.6	57.3	32.4	1200	0	
SA75	Peace of Mind	0	0.4	5.0	56.7	37.9	1199	1	
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE									
SA76	Faith in God	0	0.1	0.8	30.8	68.3	1200	0	
SA77	Prayer and Reflection	0	0.3	1.8	33.3	64.7	1200	0	
SA78	Going to Church Activities	0.3	1.5	4.0	35.1	59.0	1198	2	
SA79	Doing Good to Others	0.3	0.6	4.8	46.1	48.2	1197	3	
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE									
SA80	Ability Read and Write	0.6	1.4	7.0	50.3	40.7	1198	2	
SA81	To Finish College	2.7	9.0	19.5	42.5	26.3	1173	27	
SA82	Attended Good School	2.5	5.3	17.7	51.7	22.9	1194	6	
SA83	Learn from other Sources	1.8	2.9	25.1	55.2	15.0	1192	8	
SA84	Apply Knowledge Learned	1.5	4.9	18.9	53.0	21.7	1167	33	

Percentage Distribution of Satisfaction Ratings: ALL

Variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Definite Not		Maybe		HAPPY		N	MS
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION		Not	Happy	Happy					
SA85	Aware National Events	1.3	4.4	16.3	64.7	13.3	1198	2	
SA86	Vote Participation	1.0	3.1	12.1	66.9	16.9	1177	23	
SA87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	1.4	4.3	16.5	63.6	14.2	1185	15	
SA88	Join in Community Organizations	1.3	4.7	21.3	58.7	14.0	750	450	
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE									
SA89	Peaceful Vicinity	0.5	2.7	11.7	60.5	24.6	1185	15	
SA90	Security from Crime	0.8	1.7	6.7	53.8	37.1	1195	5	
SA91	Far from War Confrontation	0.9	1.6	5.6	56.6	35.2	1166	34	
SA92	Efficient Local Government Official	0.8	4.3	15.2	59.9	19.8	1198	2	
SA93	Efficient National Govt Official	1.2	4.4	17.1	58.9	18.4	1199	1	
SA94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	1.3	6.4	20.2	58.6	13.6	1195	5	
SA95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	1.8	7.2	20.2	56.1	14.8	1195	5	
SA96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.2	11.0	21.1	47.9	16.8	1199	1	
SA97	Efficient Justice System	3.3	9.9	20.9	50.0	15.9	1199	1	
SA98	Police Efficiency	3.6	9.8	21.2	50.1	15.4	1198	2	
SA99	Fast Government Services	2.2	9.1	20.5	52.6	15.6	1196	4	
SA100	Equality Men Between Women	1.1	4.5	16.3	60.9	17.2	1194	6	
SA101	Being FILIPINO	0.3	0.4	2.9	49.5	46.8	1197	3	
SA102	Unity of Filipinos	0.6	2.7	10.9	56.3	29.5	1199	1	

Sheet1
Percentage Distribution of Satisfaction Ratings: NCR

Variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD									
		Definite Not		Maybe		HAPPY Very		N	MS
		Not Happy		Happy					
SA1	House Tenure	2.0	7.7	17.4	43.3	29.5	298	2	
SA2	Access of House to Work School	1.0	3.7	11.0	50.7	33.7	300	0	
SA3	Security of House From Crime	5.0	13.3	16.3	35.7	29.7	300	0	
SA4	Security of House From Calamities	2.3	8.3	12.7	42.7	34.0	300	0	
SA5	Sufficient House Space	2.0	8.7	19.3	46.7	23.3	300	0	
SA6	Sturdy House	1.3	9.7	17.4	39.6	31.9	298	2	
SA7	Toilet Facility	0.3	6.8	9.6	54.1	29.1	292	8	
SA8	Regular Water	5.0	16.1	16.8	39.6	22.5	298	2	
SA9	Regular Electricity	0.7	3.7	10.1	55.2	30.3	297	3	
SA10	Telephone	1.4	7.7	11.3	44.4	35.2	142	158	
SA11	Clean Surroundings	1.3	6.3	15.0	52.0	25.3	300	0	
SA12	Pollution Free Environment	1.7	7.7	15.7	50.0	25.0	300	0	
SA13	Good Neighbor Relations	0.7	4.0	14.3	64.3	16.7	300	0	
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE									
SA14	Permanent Job	0	6.2	18.0	45.3	30.4	161	139	
SA15	Regular Income	0.6	10.6	25.0	39.4	24.4	160	140	
SA16	High Income	2.5	14.3	31.1	37.3	14.9	161	139	
SA17	Safe Workplace	0	4.5	21.7	47.8	26.1	157	143	
SA18	Working Near Home	4.0	9.6	20.8	48.8	16.8	125	175	
SA19	Legal Work	0	1.9	3.1	52.5	42.5	160	140	
SA20	High Position at Work	0	8.3	10.8	56.7	24.2	157	143	
SA21	Job Suited to Education	1.9	6.9	25.6	45.0	20.6	160	140	
SA22	Household Member Working Abroad	2.2	20.9	22.0	40.7	14.3	91	209	
SA23	Good Relations With Boss	0	3.3	22.3	51.2	23.1	121	179	
SA24	Good Relations With Co-workers	0	3.2	11.2	63.2	22.4	125	175	
SA25	Praised at Work	0	2.8	17.7	53.2	26.2	141	159	
SA26	Regular Work Schedule	0	4.5	14.1	59.6	21.8	156	144	
SA27	Length of Work Time	0	6.9	20.1	55.3	17.6	159	141	
SA28	Job Teaches Skills	0.6	2.5	12.0	60.1	24.7	158	142	
SA29	Enough Income Own Needs	0.6	15.0	26.3	43.8	14.4	160	140	
SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS									
SA30	Land Ownership	1.8	4.1	4.1	33.5	56.5	170	130	
SA31	House Ownership	0.5	3.5	4.5	35.8	55.7	201	99	
SA32	Furniture Appliances	0.7	6.4	10.8	46.3	35.8	296	4	
SA33	Ownership of Vehicle	2.9	4.9	9.8	42.2	40.2	102	198	
SA34	To Save	2.2	10.4	17.0	43.7	26.7	135	165	
SA35	Freedom from Debt	14.0	41.5	28.7	12.9	2.9	171	129	
SA36	Access to Credit	13.4	10.0	30.1	39.8	6.7	299	1	
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS									
SA37	Having Spouse	1.9	2.4	1.0	29.0	65.7	210	90	
SA38	Having Children	0	0	0.9	23.7	75.4	211	89	
SA39	Good Marital Relation	2.4	1.0	1.9	25.5	69.2	208	92	
SA40	Love of Spouse	1.9	1.9	2.4	24.0	69.7	208	92	
SA41	Share in the Decision Making	0	0.7	5.7	44.0	49.7	298	2	
SA42	Love of Children	0	0	0.5	28.2	71.3	209	91	
SA43	Love of Parents, Siblings	0.7	1.0	3.0	37.3	58.0	300	0	

Sheet1
Percentage Distribution of Satisfaction Ratings:

Description of Variables

BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS	Definite Not		Maybe HAPPY Very			N	MS
	Not Happy	Happy	HAPPY				
Love of Relatives	0	1.3	7.3	54.3	37.0	300	0
Love of Friends	1.7	1.0	15.7	57.3	24.3	300	0
Being with Spouse	2.4	2.4	1.4	36.2	57.5	207	93
Being with Children	0	0	1.5	35.4	63.1	206	94
Being with Parent, Siblings	0.4	3.0	5.7	40.8	50.2	265	35
Being with Friends	1.1	4.5	21.3	57.1	16.0	268	32
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES							
Time Alone	1.3	2.3	16.0	62.3	18.0	300	0
Time for Rest	0.7	1.7	12.3	63.7	21.7	300	0
Leisure with Spouse	2.9	1.5	6.8	43.9	44.9	205	95
Leisure with Children	0.5	0.5	3.4	45.2	50.5	208	92
Leisure with Parents, Siblings	1.0	3.0	12.7	45.7	37.7	300	0
Leisure with Friends	2.3	4.3	28.0	51.7	13.7	300	0
News from Media	2.0	7.7	26.0	52.3	12.0	300	0
Leisure from Media	1.0	5.3	30.7	52.3	10.7	300	0
Play Jueteng, Lotto	57.0	14.5	13.6	8.4	6.5	214	86
PHYSICAL HEALTH							
Freedom from Sickness	0	1.3	3.3	33.7	61.7	300	0
Regular Exercise	7.3	13.9	17.2	39.2	22.3	273	27
Long Life	0	0.7	1.3	35.7	62.3	300	0
Regular Food	0	0	1.7	39.3	59.0	300	0
Nutritious Food	0	0	2.7	37.3	60.0	300	0
Sound Sleep	0	0.7	7.1	48.5	43.8	297	3
Smoking	11.9	27.7	27.7	25.7	6.9	101	199
Drinking	14.2	31.1	34.9	17.0	2.8	106	194
Drug Use	42.9	0	28.6	14.3	14.3	7	293
PSYCHOLOGICAL/MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING							
Importance Given to Self	0	0	3.0	49.7	47.3	300	0
Mental Alertness	0	0	1.3	51.5	47.2	299	1
Ability to Decide for Oneself	0	0	2.0	51.3	46.7	300	0
Respect from Family	0	0	1.7	42.8	55.5	299	1
Respect from Others	0	0	7.0	55.0	38.0	300	0
Ability Carry Problems	0.3	0.3	5.3	51.7	42.3	300	0
Ability Face Changes	0.3	0	5.7	47.0	47.0	300	0
Peace of Mind	0	0.3	3.3	47.7	48.7	300	0
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE							
Faith in God	0	0	1.7	24.7	73.7	300	0
Prayer and Reflection	0	0.3	2.0	29.7	68.0	300	0
Going to Church Activities	0	3.0	4.7	30.7	61.7	300	0
Doing Good to Others	0	1.0	3.3	45.2	50.5	299	1
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE							
Ability Read and Write	0.3	1.3	3.0	41.3	54.0	300	0
To Finish College	2.3	9.4	13.7	40.5	34.1	299	1
Attended Good School	0.7	6.7	12.7	51.7	28.3	300	0
Learn from other Sources	0.7	2.7	21.1	54.0	21.5	298	2
Apply Knowledge Learned	0.7	4.0	15.0	52.3	28.0	300	0

Sheet1
Percentage Distribution of Satisfaction Ratings:
PANGASINAN

Variable: Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Definite Not	Maybe	HAPPY	Very	N	MS
		Not Happy	Happy		HAPPY		
HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD							
SA1	House Tenure	0.7	1.3	15.7	49.7	300	0
SA2	Access of House to Work School	1.3	2.7	16.7	55.3	24.0	300
SA3	Security of House From Crime	3.7	3.0	11.0	48.0	34.3	300
SA4	Security of House From Calamities	5.7	3.0	12.3	44.3	34.7	300
SA5	Sufficient House Space	1.3	2.3	14.3	52.3	29.7	300
SA6	Sturdy House	1.0	1.7	12.0	53.3	32.0	300
SA7	Toilet Facility	1.2	2.7	12.4	55.0	28.7	258
SA8	Regular Water	1.0	1.0	12.4	49.3	36.2	298
SA9	Regular Electricity	1.5	1.1	8.7	52.3	36.4	264
SA10	Telephone	8.2	8.2	16.4	47.5	19.7	61
SA11	Clean Surroundings	0.3	2.7	7.7	53.7	35.6	298
SA12	Pollution Free Environment	1.7	2.7	9.3	50.7	35.7	300
SA13	Good Neighbor Relations	0	2.0	12.8	55.2	30.0	297
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE							
SA14	Permanent Job	0.7	2.2	20.3	43.5	33.3	138
SA15	Regular Income	0	9.8	26.3	39.8	24.1	133
SA16	High Income	2.2	11.6	29.0	37.0	20.3	138
SA17	Safe Workplace	1.5	3.8	20.3	49.6	24.8	133
SA18	Working Near Home	2.5	6.8	26.3	44.1	20.3	118
SA19	Legal Work	0	1.5	15.3	45.0	38.2	131
SA20	High Position at Work	0	6.1	22.6	47.0	24.3	115
SA21	Job Suited to Education	3.3	5.7	23.8	43.4	23.8	122
SA22	Household Member Working Abroad	1.3	6.5	20.8	46.8	24.7	77
SA23	Good Relations With Boss	4.5	2.2	18.0	53.9	21.3	89
SA24	Good Relations With Co-workers	0	1.9	22.2	48.1	27.8	108
SA25	Praised at Work	0	3.1	24.0	49.6	23.3	129
SA26	Regular Work Schedule	0.8	2.4	29.1	52.8	15.0	127
SA27	Length of Work Time	3.1	5.4	25.6	51.2	14.7	129
SA28	Job Teaches Skills	1.5	4.6	21.4	51.1	21.4	131
SA29	Enough Income Own Needs	1.5	7.3	25.5	48.2	17.5	137
SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS							
SA30	Land Ownership	1.9	2.6	10.2	41.7	43.6	266
SA31	House Ownership	1.1	0.4	6.5	44.4	47.7	277
SA32	Furniture Appliances	1.8	2.8	15.1	48.6	31.7	284
SA33	Ownership of Vehicle	5.6	3.5	14.8	43.0	33.1	142
SA34	To Save	8.7	7.6	18.6	41.9	23.3	172
SA35	Freedom from Debt	23.8	20.1	29.9	21.0	5.1	214
SA36	Access to Credit	4.1	10.3	34.2	41.8	9.6	292
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS							
SA37	Having Spouse	0.4	1.7	4.2	49.2	44.6	240
SA38	Having Children	0.4	0.4	3.0	46.6	49.6	236
SA39	Good Marital Relation	0.8	0.4	4.6	37.7	56.5	239
SA40	Love of Spouse	0.8	0.8	2.1	38.5	57.7	239
SA41	Share in the Decision Making	0.7	0.7	7.7	54.2	36.8	299
SA42	Love of Children	0	0	3.8	46.8	49.4	235

Sheet1
Percentage Distribution of Satisfaction Ratings:
PANGASINAN

Variable-Description of Variables

to BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		definite		Not	Maybe	HAPPY	Very	N	MC
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS		Not	Happy	Happy		HAPPY			
SA43	Love of Parents, Siblings	0	0	6.7	53.7	39.7		300	0
SA44	Love of Relatives	0	0.7	15.1	54.8	29.4		299	1
SA45	Love of Friends	0	2.7	18.7	54.7	24.0		300	0
SA46	Being with Spouse	1.3	0.8	6.7	50.4	40.8		238	62
SA47	Being with Children	0	0.9	5.1	48.9	45.1		235	65
SA48	Being with Parent, Siblings	0.3	0.7	7.6	58.5	32.9		289	11
SA49	Being with Friends	2.4	1.7	21.6	58.4	15.8		291	9
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES									
SA50	Time Alone	2.3	6.0	22.7	54.7	14.3		300	0
SA51	Time for Rest	0.7	1.7	20.0	59.3	18.3		300	0
SA52	Leisure with Spouse	0.4	2.1	7.2	55.3	34.9		235	65
SA53	Leisure with Children	0	0.4	7.6	56.1	35.9		237	33
SA54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	0	1.7	12.3	59.3	26.7		300	0
SA55	Leisure with Friends	1.3	3.3	26.7	55.7	13.0		300	0
SA56	News from Media	4.7	7.7	27.1	44.5	16.1		299	1
SA57	Leisure from Media	1.7	8.0	29.4	48.2	12.7		299	1
SA58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	56.1	11.7	15.1	14.6	2.5		239	61
PHYSICAL HEALTH									
SA59	Freedom from Sickness	1.3	4.0	8.7	44.0	42.0		300	0
SA60	Regular Exercise	3.1	5.2	20.7	48.3	22.8		290	10
SA61	Long Life	0.3	0.3	5.7	41.0	52.7		300	0
SA62	Regular Food	0	0.3	4.3	48.7	46.7		300	0
SA63	Nutritious Food	0	0.7	4.0	48.7	46.7		300	0
SA64	Sound Sleep	0	1.0	10.3	53.3	35.3		300	0
SA65	Smoking	30.6	18.5	38.9	12.0	0		108	192
SA66	Drinking	30.1	19.5	39.8	9.7	0.9		113	187
SA67	Drug Use	73.6	7.5	9.4	7.5	1.9		53	247
PSYCHOLOGICAL/MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING									
SA68	Importance Given to Self	0	1.7	7.7	56.7	34.0		300	0
SA69	Mental Alertness	1.0	2.0	13.0	58.7	25.3		300	0
SA70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	2.0	1.7	12.3	59.7	24.3		300	0
SA71	Respect from Family	0	0.3	5.3	55.0	39.3		300	0
SA72	Respect from Others	0.7	1.0	8.7	59.0	30.7		300	0
SA73	Ability Carry Problems	1.7	2.0	13.3	58.7	24.3		300	0
SA74	Ability Face Changes	0	1.3	16.0	57.3	25.3		300	0
SA75	Peace of Mind	0	0.7	9.7	57.2	32.4		299	1
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE									
SA76	Faith in God	0	0	1.3	29.0	69.7		300	0
SA77	Prayer and Reflection	0	0	1.7	30.3	68.0		300	0
SA78	Going to Church Activities	0	0	3.7	34.8	61.5		299	1
SA79	Doing Good to Others	0.3	0.3	4.7	42.0	52.7		300	0
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE									
SA80	Ability Read and Write	0.3	0	8.0	47.5	44.1		299	1
SA81	To Finish College	2.1	5.0	13.8	43.6	35.5		282	18
SA82	Attended Good School	2.4	1.7	18.9	47.6	29.4		296	4

Percentage Distribution of Satisfaction Ratings:

PANGASINAN

Variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Definite Not		Maybe		HAPPY	N	MS
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE		Not	Happy	Happy				
SA83	Learn from other Sources	1.7	4.0	28.8	46.8	18.7	299	1
SA84	Apply Knowledge Learned	2.2	1.8	15.5	49.8	30.6	271	29
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION								
SA85	Aware National Events	1.7	5.0	24.3	54.3	14.7	300	0
SA86	Vote Participation	1.0	2.7	20.3	55.4	20.6	296	4
SA87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	2.0	4.1	23.1	56.8	13.9	294	6
SA88	Join in Community Organizations	0.4	2.6	30.5	50.2	16.3	233	67
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE								
SA89	Peaceful Vicinity	0.3	0.7	16.8	57.3	24.8	286	14
SA90	Security from Crime	0.3	1.0	7.3	53.3	38.0	300	0
SA91	Far from War Confrontation	1.7	2.4	8.5	49.8	37.6	295	5
SA92	Efficient Local Government Official	0.7	2.7	17.3	54.7	24.7	300	0
SA93	Efficient National Govt Official	0.3	3.7	20.3	53.0	22.7	300	0
SA94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	0.7	5.7	24.7	53.7	15.3	300	0
SA95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	1.0	7.3	23.7	51.3	16.7	300	0
SA96	Fast Handling of Cases	3.0	8.0	30.3	41.7	17.0	300	0
SA97	Efficient Justice System	2.3	8.7	28.0	46.3	14.7	300	0
SA98	Police Efficiency	3.3	10.4	25.4	48.5	12.4	299	1
SA99	Fast Government Services	1.7	7.4	27.4	47.2	16.4	299	1
SA100	Equality Men Between Women	1.3	4.7	24.1	56.2	13.7	299	1
SA101	Being FILIPINO	0.3	0.7	5.4	54.0	39.6	298	2
SA102	Unity of Filipinos	0.7	1.7	13.7	53.0	31.0	300	0

Percentage Distribution of Satisfaction Ratings:

ILOILO

Variable Description of Variables

BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Definitely Not	Maybe	HAPPY	Very	N	MS
		Happy	Happy				
HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD							
		Not Happy	Happy		HAPPY		
SA1	House Tenure	3.7	4.3	7.0	45.8	39.1	299
SA2	Access of House to Work School	0.7	7.3	8.0	54.7	29.3	300
SA3	Security of House From Crime	3.3	8.0	5.0	50.0	33.7	300
SA4	Security of House From Calamities	4.7	6.7	6.0	49.2	33.4	299
SA5	Sufficient House Space	0.7	4.0	7.4	58.9	29.1	299
SA6	Sturdy House	1.7	6.3	8.3	55.7	28.0	300
SA7	Toilet Facility	2.0	5.3	8.5	56.7	27.5	247
SA8	Regular Water	2.4	6.2	9.6	52.2	29.6	291
SA9	Regular Electricity	1.0	3.8	6.7	59.5	29.0	210
SA10	Telephone	13.0	13.0	8.7	43.5	21.7	23
SA11	Clean Surroundings	2.7	3.0	8.4	55.2	30.8	299
SA12	Pollution Free Environment	2.3	1.3	8.4	53.8	34.1	299
SA13	Good Neighbor Relations	0.7	1.7	6.0	64.8	26.8	298
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE							
SA14	Permanent Job	1.3	2.6	6.4	58.3	31.4	156
SA15	Regular Income	0.7	10.5	11.2	55.3	22.4	152
SA16	High Income	1.9	13.5	14.1	53.8	16.7	156
SA17	Safe Workplace	0	2.6	6.5	54.8	36.1	155
SA18	Working Near Home	3.5	13.2	13.9	50.7	18.8	144
SA19	Legal Work	0	5.8	3.8	59.0	31.4	156
SA20	High Position at Work	0.7	2.0	10.7	59.7	26.8	149
SA21	Job Suited to Education	3.2	9.1	13.0	55.8	18.8	154
SA22	Household Member Working Abroad	1.9	7.4	14.8	63.0	13.0	54
SA23	Good Relations With Boss	0	0.8	5.7	68.9	24.6	122
SA24	Good Relations With Co-workers	0.7	0	6.6	65.7	27.0	137
SA25	Praised at Work	0	2.0	12.7	68.0	17.3	150
SA26	Regular Work Schedule	2.0	2.6	8.6	68.9	17.9	151
SA27	Length of Work Time	3.3	5.2	11.1	68.6	11.8	153
SA28	Job Teaches Skills	0	1.9	6.5	60.4	31.2	154
SA29	Enough Income Own Needs	1.9	9.1	17.5	48.7	22.7	154
SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS							
SA30	Land Ownership	1.8	2.4	4.8	52.7	38.3	167
SA31	House Ownership	0.4	1.9	1.5	48.7	47.5	261
SA32	Furniture Appliances	1.8	5.7	8.1	58.0	26.5	283
SA33	Ownership of Vehicle	4.3	4.3	8.7	60.9	21.7	23
SA34	To Save	4.3	13.0	13.0	46.1	23.5	115
SA35	Freedom from Debt	30.9	41.1	16.4	9.7	1.9	207
SA36	Access to Credit	6.4	15.4	26.2	43.1	9.0	267
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS							
SA37	Having Spouse	2.1	2.5	6.7	51.3	37.5	240
SA38	Having Children	0	0.8	1.3	51.5	46.4	237
SA39	Good Marital Relation	0	1.3	1.3	50.0	47.4	234
SA40	Love of Spouse	0	1.3	3.0	48.1	47.7	235
SA41	Share in the Decision Making	0	0.7	4.0	59.9	35.4	277
SA42	Love of Children	0	0.4	1.3	49.6	48.7	232

Sheet1

Percentage Distribution of Satisfaction Ratings:

ILOILO

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Definite Not		Maybe		HAPPY		Very			
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS		Not Happy		Happy		HAPPY		N		MS	
SA43	Love of Parents, Siblings	0.3	0.3	2.7	54.8	41.8	299	1			
SA44	Love of Relatives	0.7	1.3	6.7	64.3	27.0	300	0			
SA45	Love of Friends	0.7	2.7	11.3	62.7	22.7	300	0			
SA46	Being with Spouse	0	1.3	6.2	54.0	38.5	226	74			
SA47	Being with Children	0	1.3	1.8	51.8	45.2	228	72			
SA48	Being with Parent, Siblings	0	1.4	9.2	55.3	34.2	295	5			
SA49	Being with Friends	0.3	5.4	20.3	56.1	17.9	296	4			
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES											
SA50	Time Alone	5.0	17.0	15.0	49.3	13.7	300	0			
SA51	Time for Rest	1.3	1.3	12.3	63.7	21.3	300	0			
SA52	Leisure with Spouse	1.4	3.9	9.2	60.7	25.8	229	71			
SA53	Leisure with Children	0	3.0	5.7	57.4	33.9	230	70			
SA54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	0.3	2.7	14.1	56.0	26.8	298	2			
SA55	Leisure with Friends	2.0	8.7	23.7	53.2	12.4	299	1			
SA56	News from Media	0.3	5.0	15.8	63.8	15.1	298	2			
SA57	Leisure from Media	1.7	8.1	16.5	59.9	13.8	297	3			
SA58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	52.8	25.5	8.0	9.9	3.8	212	88			
PHYSICAL HEALTH											
SA59	Freedom from Sickness	2.3	4.7	4.0	45.0	44.0	300	0			
SA60	Regular Exercise	1.3	4.0	19.2	59.4	16.1	224	76			
SA61	Long Life	0	0.3	1.7	45.2	52.8	299	1			
SA62	Regular Food	0.7	0.3	2.7	51.0	45.3	300	0			
SA63	Nutritious Food	0	0.3	3.0	52.3	44.3	300	0			
SA64	Sound Sleep	1.0	2.0	5.0	54.4	37.6	298	2			
SA65	Smoking	13.9	27.0	22.6	27.0	9.6	115	185			
SA66	Drinking	13.3	22.5	30.8	28.3	5.0	120	180			
SA67	Drug Use	15.4	5.1	5.1	35.9	38.5	39	261			
PSYCHOLOGICAL/MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING											
SA68	Importance Given to Self	1.0	1.0	3.3	62.9	31.8	299	1			
SA69	Mental Alertness	0	1.7	2.7	58.3	37.3	300	0			
SA70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	0	0.7	4.3	60.2	34.8	299	1			
SA71	Respect from Family	0	1.0	2.3	56.7	40.0	300	0			
SA72	Respect from Others	1.0	1.7	9.3	61.3	26.7	300	0			
SA73	Ability Carry Problems	0	4.3	8.0	57.9	29.8	299	1			
SA74	Ability Face Changes	0	0.7	7.3	58.0	34.0	300	0			
SA75	Peace of Mind	0	0	3.7	59.0	37.3	300	0			
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE											
SA76	Faith in God	0	0.3	0	40.0	59.7	300	0			
SA77	Prayer and Reflection	0	0.7	2.7	41.3	55.3	300	0			
SA78	Going to Church Activities	1.3	2.0	5.4	41.5	49.8	299	1			
SA79	Doing Good to Others	0.3	1.0	7.0	52.0	39.6	298	2			
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE											
SA80	Ability Read and Write	1.3	2.3	7.7	51.8	36.8	299	1			
SA81	To Finish College	4.1	11.9	12.6	47.1	24.2	293	7			
SA82	Attended Good School	1.3	5.7	12.1	56.0	24.8	298	2			

Percentage Distribution of Satisfaction Ratings:

ILOILO

Variable Description of Variables

ID BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Definite Not		Maybe	HAPPY	Very		
	INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE	Not	Happy	Happy	HAPPY	N	MS	
SA83	Learn from other Sources	4.4	3.1	22.0	55.6	14.9	295	5
SA84	Apply Knowledge Learned	2.0	8.8	14.5	52.4	22.3	296	4
	POLITICAL PARTICIPATION							
SA85	Aware National Events	2.0	8.7	13.0	60.5	15.7	299	1
SA86	Vote Participation	1.7	4.5	9.0	63.1	21.7	290	10
SA87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	2.0	7.4	16.2	57.4	16.9	296	4
SA88	Join in Community Organizations	3.0	10.0	20.5	52.5	14.0	200	100
	GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE							
SA89	Peaceful Vicinity	0.3	2.3	5.0	64.0	28.3	300	0
SA90	Security from Crime	1.3	0.3	3.3	55.3	39.7	300	0
SA91	Far from War Confrontation	1.0	1.0	2.0	56.3	39.7	300	0
SA92	Efficient Local Government Official	0.3	4.7	10.0	60.0	25.0	300	0
SA93	Efficient National Govt Official	2.0	9.0	13.0	55.0	21.0	300	0
SA94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	2.0	10.1	14.2	55.7	17.9	296	4
SA95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	3.0	13.2	15.2	49.7	18.9	296	4
SA96	Fast Handling of Cases	2.7	14.3	12.7	46.7	23.7	300	0
SA97	Efficient Justice System	3.7	10.3	11.3	51.3	23.3	300	0
SA98	Police Efficiency	2.7	8.3	13.0	51.7	24.3	300	0
SA99	Fast Government Services	3.0	11.7	9.7	53.3	22.3	300	0
SA100	Equality Men Between Women	1.0	8.1	10.4	59.3	21.2	297	3
SA101	Being FILIPINO	0.3	0.7	3.0	56.0	40.0	300	0
SA102	Unity of Filipinos	0.7	3.3	9.0	54.3	32.7	300	0

Percentage Distribution of Satisfaction Ratings:

ZAMBOANGA

Variable Description of Variables

BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

HOUSING AND QUALITY OF NEIGHBORHOOD		Definite-Not Happy		Maybe		HAPPY		Very		N		MS	
SA1	House Tenure	1.7	1.7	4.8	57.3	34.5	293	7					
SA2	Access of House to Work School	1.3	4.0	8.3	67.3	19.0	300	0					
SA3	Security of House From Crime	2.3	4.0	6.3	57.7	29.7	300	0					
SA4	Security of House From Calamities	2.3	8.0	9.3	56.3	24.0	300	0					
SA5	Sufficient House Space	1.0	2.7	10.0	72.0	14.3	300	0					
SA6	Sturdy House	1.0	2.3	17.7	60.2	18.7	299	1					
SA7	Toilet Facility	1.3	4.0	32.3	50.5	11.8	297	3					
SA8	Regular Water	1.7	2.7	17.0	60.7	18.0	300	0					
SA9	Regular Electricity	6.8	23.1	12.6	44.2	13.3	294	6					
SA10	Telephone	42.6	37.8	13.9	3.6	2.0	251	49					
SA11	Clean Surroundings	1.0	2.7	6.7	73.6	16.1	299	1					
SA12	Pollution Free Environment	0.3	2.0	6.0	73.2	18.4	299	1					
SA13	Good Neighbor Relations	0.3	0	5.5	75.7	18.5	292	8					
EMPLOYMENT AND QUALITY OF WORKING LIFE													
SA14	Permanent Job	0.6	3.4	20.1	57.5	18.4	174	126					
SA15	Regular Income	1.2	5.2	24.4	55.8	13.4	172	128					
SA16	High Income	1.2	7.0	32.6	44.2	15.1	172	128					
SA17	Safe Workplace	0.6	2.3	5.8	72.3	19.1	173	127					
SA18	Working Near Home	0	3.6	7.3	75.8	13.3	165	135					
SA19	Legal Work	0	0.6	1.7	47.7	50.0	172	128					
SA20	High Position at Work	0	1.2	22.4	62.4	14.1	170	130					
SA21	Job Suited to Education	1.2	5.3	27.6	57.1	8.8	170	130					
SA22	Household Member Working Abroad	12.8	2.6	20.5	43.6	20.5	39	261					
SA23	Good Relations With Boss	0	2.0	6.6	79.6	11.8	152	148					
SA24	Good Relations With Co-workers	0	0.6	6.0	83.2	10.2	167	133					
SA25	Praised at Work	0.6	1.2	4.0	85.0	9.2	173	127					
SA26	Regular Work Schedule	0.6	2.4	7.8	79.6	9.6	167	133					
SA27	Length of Work Time	0.6	1.7	5.8	83.7	8.1	172	128					
SA28	Job Teaches Skills	1.8	1.8	10.5	76.0	9.9	171	129					
SA29	Enough Income Own Needs	1.2	4.6	10.4	70.5	13.3	173	127					
SAVINGS, WEALTH AND ASSETS													
SA30	Land Ownership	0.4	1.2	6.5	63.6	28.3	247	53					
SA31	House Ownership	0.4	1.1	1.1	57.2	40.3	283	17					
SA32	Furniture Appliances	6.1	11.4	44.4	27.9	10.1	297	3					
SA33	Ownership of Vehicle	6.8	9.1	29.5	34.1	20.5	44	256					
SA34	To Save	2.2	8.1	15.6	59.3	14.8	135	165					
SA35	Freedom from Debt	2.6	6.4	29.4	57.7	3.8	265	35					
SA36	Access to Credit	3.3	15.0	22.0	54.7	5.0	300	0					
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS													
SA37	Having Spouse	0.4	0.4	0.8	30.4	68.0	253	47					
SA38	Having Children	0	0	0.4	26.7	72.9	258	42					
SA39	Good Marital Relation	0	0.4	0	32.5	67.1	255	45					
SA40	Love of Spouse	0	0.4	0	28.6	71.0	255	45					
SA41	Share in the Decision Making	0	0.3	1.0	49.2	49.5	299	1					
SA42	Love of Children	0	0	0.4	31.0	68.6	258	42					

Sheet1
Percentage Distribution of Satisfaction Ratings:
ZAMBOANGA

Variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Definite Not		Maybe		HAPPY Very		N	MS
SOCIAL RELATIONSHIPS		Not Happy	Happy			HAPPY			
SA43	Love of Parents, Siblings	0	0	1.3	43.3	55.3	300	0	
SA44	Love of Relatives	0	0.7	2.7	56.0	40.7	300	0	
SA45	Love of Friends	0.3	1.0	11.4	59.9	27.4	299	1	
SA46	Being with Spouse	0.4	1.6	1.6	44.5	52.0	254	46	
SA47	Being with Children	0	0.4	1.2	44.1	54.3	256	44	
SA48	Being with Parent, Siblings	0.7	0.7	3.4	53.0	42.2	296	4	
SA49	Being with Friends	0.3	3.4	15.5	73.6	7.1	296	4	
LEISURE AND SPARE TIME ACTIVITIES									
SA50	Time Alone	1.0	4.0	16.7	72.0	6.3	300	0	
SA51	Time for Rest	0	1.0	8.7	81.0	9.3	300	0	
SA52	Leisure with Spouse	0	0.8	4.7	68.0	26.5	253	47	
SA53	Leisure with Children	0	0.4	2.7	67.7	29.2	257	43	
SA54	Leisure with Parents, Siblings	0	0.7	4.3	73.2	21.7	299	1	
SA55	Leisure with Friends	0.7	1.7	16.0	75.0	6.7	300	0	
SA56	News from Media	0.7	1.0	26.7	62.7	9.0	300	0	
SA57	Leisure from Media	1.3	2.3	34.0	56.7	5.7	300	0	
SA58	Play Jueteng, Lotto	75.6	7.8	6.0	9.9	0.7	283	17	
PHYSICAL HEALTH									
SA59	Freedom from Sickness	1.3	1.7	3.7	59.3	34.0	300	0	
SA60	Regular Exercise	6.8	26.0	25.7	34.6	6.8	292	8	
SA61	Long Life	0.3	0	3.0	68.0	28.7	300	0	
SA62	Regular Food	0	0	2.7	89.9	7.4	296	4	
SA63	Nutritious Food	0	0.7	5.0	71.7	22.7	300	0	
SA64	Sound Sleep	0.3	0.7	5.7	76.3	17.1	299	1	
SA65	Smoking	4.0	7.1	39.4	49.5	0	99	201	
SA66	Drinking	5.2	12.4	42.3	39.2	1.0	97	203	
SA67	Drug Use	46.7	33.3	0	20.0	0	15	285	
PSYCHOLOGICAL/MENTAL HEALTH AND EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING									
SA68	Importance Given to Self	0	0	2.0	78.3	19.7	300	0	
SA69	Mental Alertness	0	0.3	1.0	68.3	30.3	300	0	
SA70	Ability to Decide for Oneself	0	0	3.0	71.0	26.0	300	0	
SA71	Respect from Family	0	0	1.7	64.3	34.0	300	0	
SA72	Respect from Others	0	0.7	7.0	70.3	22.0	300	0	
SA73	Ability Carry Problems	0.3	1.3	15.4	60.2	22.7	299	1	
SA74	Ability Face Changes	0.3	0.3	9.3	66.7	23.3	300	0	
SA75	Peace of Mind	0	0.7	3.3	63.0	33.0	300	0	
RELIGION AND SPIRITUAL LIFE									
SA76	Faith in God	0	0	0.3	29.7	70.0	300	0	
SA77	Prayer and Reflection	0	0	1.0	31.7	67.3	300	0	
SA78	Going to Church Activities	0	1.0	2.3	33.7	63.0	300	0	
SA79	Doing Good to Others	0.3	0	4.3	45.3	50.0	300	0	
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE									
SA80	Ability Read and Write	0.3	2.0	9.3	60.3	28.0	300	0	
SA81	To Finish College	2.3	9.7	37.5	38.8	11.7	299	1	
SA82	Attended Good School	5.7	7.0	27.0	51.3	9.0	300	0	

Percentage Distribution of Satisfaction Ratings:

ZAMBOANGA

variable Description of Variables

10 BLOCK 4: LEVELS OF SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC DOMAINS OF LIFE

		Definite Not		Maybe		HAPPY Very			
INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE		Not Happy		Happy		HAPPY		N	MS
SA83	Learn from other Sources	0.7	1.7	28.3	64.3	5.0	300	0	
SA84	Apply Knowledge Learned	1.0	4.7	30.3	57.3	6.7	300	0	
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION									
SA85	Aware National Events	0.3	0.7	12.4	81.9	4.7	299	1	
SA86	Vote Participation	0	2.0	3.7	87.2	7.1	296	4	
SA87	Freedom to Express Political Idea	1.0	1.0	10.1	82.4	5.4	296	4	
SA88	Join in Community Organizations	1.5	2.3	7.6	79.5	9.1	132	128	
GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE									
SA89	Peaceful Vicinity	0.3	0.7	8.7	78.6	11.7	299	1	
SA90	Security from Crime	0	0.3	3.4	71.2	25.1	295	5	
SA91	Far from War Confrontation	0	0.7	3.7	76.9	18.7	299	1	
SA92	Efficient Local Government Official	0.3	1.3	9.7	79.5	9.1	298	2	
SA93	Efficient National Govt Official	0.3	1.0	13.0	75.6	10.0	299	1	
SA94	Stable/Progressive Local Economy	0.3	1.7	16.7	73.9	7.4	299	1	
SA95	Stable/Progressive National Economy	0.7	0.7	14.7	75.6	8.4	299	1	
SA96	Fast Handling of Cases	0.3	2.0	12.4	73.6	11.7	299	1	
SA97	Efficient Justice System	0	2.0	14.0	73.2	10.7	299	1	
SA98	Police Efficiency	0.3	0.7	15.7	73.2	10.0	299	1	
SA99	Fast Government Services	0	2.0	12.1	74.2	11.7	298	2	
SA100	Equality Men Between Women	0.7	0	7.0	78.5	13.8	298	2	
SA101	Being FILIPINO	0.3	0	0.7	50.8	48.2	299	1	
SA102	Unity of Filipinos	0.7	0.3	3.3	71.6	24.1	299	1	



Appendix J
Factor Loadings from the
Varimax Rotations of
Importance Ratings

Appendix J: Factor Loadings from the Varimax Rotations of Importance Ratings^a

	Component							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
stable/progress neco	.780	8.195E-02	.156	.123	9.209E-02	4.062E-02	4.756E-02	4.948E-02
efficient justicesys	.777	.114	.110	.121	.152	9.671E-02	4.504E-02	7.558E-02
efficient ngoofficial	.769	8.651E-02	.154	7.335E-02	5.192E-02	.139	7.890E-02	3.331E-02
stable/progress leco	.761	.100	.141	.124	9.375E-02	8.539E-02	6.344E-02	5.910E-02
fast govt services	.747	6.454E-02	.126	.163	.102	.123	4.382E-02	.102
police efficiency	.745	.115	.121	.116	.110	9.472E-02	3.629E-02	8.583E-02
fast handling cases	.742	9.355E-02	.140	.104	.105	.123	5.160E-02	9.075E-02
efficient lgoofficial	.710	5.397E-02	.148	.126	9.593E-02	.154	7.797E-02	6.881E-02
far fr war confronts	.661	9.485E-02	.147	.104	.146	4.403E-02	.157	3.954E-02
security from crime	.651	.149	.207	.138	.119	2.965E-02	.134	-4.81E-02
peaceful vicinity	.647	7.532E-02	.186	.113	7.503E-02	5.855E-02	7.509E-02	6.421E-02
unity of Filipinos	.627	.138	.173	.273	.106	9.301E-02	.187	7.462E-02
equality men/women	.605	5.974E-02	9.294E-02	.146	.143	2.006E-02	6.670E-02	.197
being FILIPINO	.527	.128	.147	.213	.123	-4.59E-02	.345	3.768E-02
love of spouse	.125	.806	9.645E-02	9.027E-02	8.175E-02	6.051E-02	8.467E-02	.101
good marital rel	.166	.763	.163	.124	6.218E-02	5.854E-02	.112	6.048E-02
being with spouse	.107	.735	6.801E-02	.102	.106	9.468E-02	2.776E-02	.175
having children	.131	.732	7.888E-02	2.422E-02	2.388E-02	4.947E-02	.103	-6.87E-04
love of children	.129	.724	.211	.137	8.570E-02	7.426E-02	.140	.170
having spouse	2.289E-02	.712	-1.62E-02	-4.43E-02	1.414E-02	4.303E-02	6.654E-02	5.190E-04
being with children	.143	.699	.150	.148	.120	.110	6.641E-02	.203
share-decision-make	.184	.446	.203	.183	.118	6.505E-02	.129	.350
love of parents/sib	.276	.411	.238	.242	7.922E-02	7.910E-02	.150	.406
toilet facility	.254	.135	.690	.105	.120	7.660E-02	1.680E-02	-9.88E-03
regular water	.309	.175	.668	8.748E-02	.130	.111	7.658E-02	-1.76E-02
clean surroundings	.233	9.738E-02	.664	.130	.146	3.028E-02	8.766E-02	4.327E-02
pollution free en	.246	.105	.649	.101	.120	3.834E-02	.111	4.722E-02
regular electricity	.236	.105	.595	3.556E-02	.143	.228	1.031E-02	-3.17E-02
sturdy house	7.950E-02	.130	.557	.138	9.552E-02	.191	2.168E-02	5.467E-02
good neighbor rel	.232	5.943E-02	.551	6.576E-02	.148	8.779E-03	.164	.167
sufficient hs space	9.941E-02	6.859E-02	.477	.193	.239	.138	1.651E-02	.171
permanent job	.230	4.779E-02	.445	.114	.210	.361	.114	4.108E-04
access-work, school	6.241E-02	6.552E-02	.367	.221	.158	3.596E-02	-6.48E-02	4.899E-02
safe workplace	.232	7.422E-02	.342	.107	.240	.253	.220	5.685E-02
ability face changes	.255	.119	.144	.654	8.634E-02	7.758E-02	.107	8.677E-02
peace of mind	.292	.102	.159	.603	9.602E-02	.111	.231	8.446E-02
ability decide-self	.257	.112	.175	.599	.172	.123	.108	.127
ability carry prob	.234	9.784E-02	7.690E-02	.598	1.466E-02	.174	9.874E-02	.104
respect from family	.327	.177	.238	.584	6.538E-02	6.641E-02	.247	3.123E-02
give importance-self	.332	.100	.144	.553	.144	6.303E-02	4.647E-02	5.433E-02
mental alertness	.296	.140	.111	.537	.185	3.488E-02	.185	8.987E-02
respect from others	.272	9.616E-02	.207	.478	.106	.123	.241	.173
good rel with boss	.129	.132	.103	9.504E-02	.653	8.703E-02	2.200E-02	1.102E-03

Appendix J: Factor Loadings from the Varimax Rotations of Importance Ratings^a

	Component							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
good rel co-workers	.241	9.651E-02	.252	8.917E-02	.650	7.402E-02	6.899E-02	9.226E-02
regular work sched	.158	.101	.208	7.802E-02	.609	.168	5.562E-02	9.512E-02
praised at work	.214	5.392E-02	.115	.148	.584	.227	4.844E-02	.158
length work time	7.841E-02	9.085E-02	9.143E-02	5.093E-02	.571	9.021E-02	8.386E-02	.151
job teaches skills	.270	5.090E-03	.274	.119	.569	5.915E-02	4.753E-02	.148
job suited to educ	.148	8.827E-02	.181	6.711E-02	.455	.327	3.307E-02	6.275E-02
enough income	.281	.107	.247	3.548E-02	.421	.153	8.125E-02	6.935E-02
own needs								
high income	7.933E-02	9.377E-02	.118	.102	.137	.636	.120	2.490E-02
land ownership	.283	.178	.265	4.348E-02	6.471E-02	.536	8.949E-02	5.257E-02
high position-work	9.951E-02	9.885E-02	5.778E-02	.147	.345	.504	-5.57E-02	6.794E-02
ownership vehicle	.161	6.318E-02	7.888E-02	6.585E-02	6.024E-02	.487	-1.07E-02	.150
hh member work								
abroad	2.717E-02	-4.98E-02	3.164E-02	4.801E-02	.205	.441	-2.04E-02	7.935E-02
furniture/appliance	.185	6.651E-02	.220	4.104E-02	.124	.436	-3.62E-02	.152
working near home	.126	9.063E-02	.229	6.440E-02	.252	.417	5.126E-02	7.804E-02
regular income	.162	7.009E-02	.361	.137	.243	.390	.164	-1.05E-02
house ownership	.329	.232	.285	9.965E-02	4.957E-02	.386	.225	7.154E-02
to save	.202	.243	.122	.171	.197	.374	.163	5.890E-02
legal work	.174	8.812E-02	.282	.158	.199	.339	.281	6.859E-02
prayer & reflection	.184	.185	8.713E-02	.165	4.954E-02	3.807E-02	.797	8.680E-02
going to church act	9.164E-02	.108	6.893E-02	.102	9.323E-02	5.901E-02	.743	.115
faith in God	.255	.222	9.618E-02	.207	1.555E-02	2.586E-02	.742	4.718E-02
doing good to others	.254	.109	8.155E-02	.205	6.858E-02	.122	.642	.187
love of friends	.167	.209	8.436E-02	4.097E-02	.146	-4.46E-03	9.317E-02	.720
being with friends	5.337E-02	.138	-5.48E-02	7.398E-02	.142	.135	7.222E-02	.708
love of relatives	.190	.301	.150	9.982E-02	.142	6.424E-02	.130	.673
being with par-sib	.185	.253	.102	.210	.118	.107	.134	.565
leisure w/ friends	-3.16E-02	-5.37E-02	-7.14E-02	5.284E-02	4.960E-02	.129	4.338E-02	.464
finishing college	.219	.102	9.811E-02	8.800E-02	.103	.178	.175	-3.70E-02
attend good school	.186	5.056E-02	7.763E-02	.110	8.380E-02	.163	6.912E-02	7.311E-02
apply knowledge/learn	.256	.102	.222	.169	.253	-2.26E-02	.158	1.106E-02
telephone	.109	4.693E-02	.189	9.604E-02	3.910E-02	.399	-8.51E-02	7.679E-02
learn other sources	.167	.123	9.868E-02	.193	.163	8.035E-02	.125	.109
ability read & write	.303	5.939E-02	.162	.190	.144	.125	.381	5.654E-02
regular food	.246	.202	.207	.208	1.173E-02	.138	.156	7.076E-02
long life	.235	.216	.190	.166	9.589E-02	1.814E-02	.171	-8.61E-03
sound sleep	.141	4.753E-02	6.310E-02	.165	.124	.112	.117	7.232E-02
nutritious food	.241	.193	.158	.259	3.788E-02	.218	.177	.115
regular exercise	.236	-3.54E-03	.134	7.040E-02	.194	1.802E-02	-6.64E-02	.104
leisure from media	7.711E-03	-6.85E-03	4.966E-02	5.413E-02	5.923E-02	8.950E-02	.156	.101
news from media	.130	8.587E-02	.148	.137	.219	-3.20E-02	.129	4.026E-02
time for rest	6.650E-02	-4.08E-02	6.123E-02	8.801E-02	9.897E-02	9.868E-02	1.561E-02	.137

Appendix J: Factor Loadings from the Varimax Rotations of Importance Ratings^a

	Component							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
access to credit	-6.03E-03	2.683E-02	-1.30E-02	-8.79E-02	5.858E-04	.116	-1.96E-02	-8.69E-02
time alone	7.044E-02	-9.57E-02	2.425E-02	.124	5.310E-02	.163	-2.15E-02	.258
join community org	.271	7.274E-02	3.981E-02	.101	6.140E-02	.159	2.393E-02	.194
free express polidea	.351	.136	5.187E-02	.121	.110	.171	9.172E-02	9.897E-02
vote participation	.369	7.596E-02	.109	6.127E-02	.174	-4.16E-02	9.720E-02	7.818E-02
aware nationalevents	.341	6.267E-02	.158	.150	.154	4.817E-02	.143	6.896E-02
security from crime	.219	8.075E-02	.309	5.433E-02	5.533E-02	3.587E-02	.103	.123
secure - calamities	.220	9.810E-02	.330	4.701E-02	.127	4.308E-02	4.176E-02	1.205E-02
free from sickness	.322	.208	7.741E-02	.149	9.277E-02	.160	.116	-4.70E-02
freedom from debt	9.307E-02	.232	2.501E-02	.133	.104	.359	9.209E-02	.130
tenure	.135	.149	.287	.233	2.630E-02	6.732E-02	1.251E-02	3.786E-02
leisure with spouse	7.994E-02	.431	-1.55E-02	4.310E-02	6.343E-02	2.535E-03	-4.21E-02	6.468E-02
leisure w/ children	.191	.400	9.095E-02	8.889E-02	8.879E-02	-1.27E-02	-5.44E-02	8.477E-02
leisure w/ par-sib	.151	8.998E-03	7.839E-02	.157	8.064E-02	7.628E-02	5.370E-02	.395
drug use	-3.95E-03	-9.70E-02	-2.35E-03	1.684E-02	9.916E-03	-9.60E-02	-.125	.111
drinking	3.955E-02	3.328E-02	-2.24E-02	-2.15E-02	6.442E-02	-3.58E-02	-.101	-6.83E-02
smoking	-5.09E-02	-3.31E-02	-2.18E-02	3.674E-03	6.073E-02	-1.94E-02	-9.50E-02	-1.34E-02
play jueteng,lotto	-7.44E-03	-1.20E-02	6.548E-03	4.490E-02	-3.53E-02	.154	1.524E-03	.121

Appendix J: Factor Loadings from the Varimax Rotations of Importance Ratings*

	Component						
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
stable/progress neco	5.036E-02	1.579E-02	.100	.181	4.669E-02	3.524E-03	-1.91E-02
efficient justicesys	.132	.105	-1.68E-02	-7.65E-03	.133	-1.63E-02	-1.59E-02
efficient ngoofficial	4.603E-02	-1.58E-02	6.148E-02	.111	3.478E-02	7.197E-03	-4.27E-02
stable/progress leco	3.000E-02	3.478E-02	6.072E-02	.212	1.774E-02	-1.14E-02	-1.65E-02
fast govt services	.134	8.385E-02	-2.89E-02	2.561E-02	6.312E-02	6.758E-02	-4.66E-03
police efficiency	.117	7.796E-02	-4.00E-03	-1.71E-02	6.379E-02	1.517E-02	-1.53E-02
fast handling cases	.157	7.708E-02	1.679E-02	7.258E-04	7.685E-02	3.373E-03	-3.44E-02
efficient lgoofficial	7.232E-02	-1.63E-02	4.457E-02	.187	6.043E-02	9.548E-02	2.216E-02
far fr war confronts	5.613E-02	.152	1.202E-02	.113	.177	.134	6.159E-02
security from crime	7.885E-02	.139	-5.67E-02	3.389E-02	7.499E-02	.145	5.415E-02
peaceful vicinity	8.288E-02	.157	4.503E-02	.191	8.196E-02	3.030E-02	3.748E-03
unity of Filipinos	3.527E-02	6.184E-02	5.198E-02	1.585E-02	2.407E-02	-3.98E-02	7.390E-03
equality men/women	.120	.129	2.938E-02	.133	9.366E-02	2.134E-02	-1.68E-02
being FILIPINO	-1.37E-02	.126	6.749E-02	-3.49E-02	4.305E-02	2.524E-02	3.081E-02
love of spouse	5.855E-02	5.165E-02	-2.83E-02	5.090E-02	8.679E-02	7.934E-02	-2.84E-02
good marital rel	7.563E-02	6.073E-02	-3.65E-02	5.784E-02	2.624E-02	-2.07E-03	5.563E-03
being with spouse	7.524E-02	.128	-.106	7.455E-03	8.689E-02	.126	-3.83E-02
having children	-4.87E-03	-4.17E-02	.165	5.785E-02	7.477E-02	6.949E-03	4.622E-02
love of children	6.756E-02	4.194E-02	-.107	4.383E-02	3.017E-03	6.460E-02	-1.37E-02
having spouse	-5.38E-02	1.495E-02	.189	1.731E-02	7.920E-02	5.303E-02	2.163E-02
being with children	7.965E-02	6.412E-02	-.134	3.662E-03	4.266E-02	.128	-3.44E-02
share-decision-make	.119	.110	8.314E-05	.105	2.185E-02	-1.80E-02	5.272E-03
love of parents/sib	.103	.134	-.174	7.019E-04	-3.69E-02	3.403E-02	-6.59E-02
toilet facility	.155	5.360E-02	4.768E-02	5.305E-02	-8.65E-03	-6.88E-02	-1.45E-02
regular water	2.583E-02	2.209E-02	3.229E-02	-8.61E-03	3.985E-02	7.147E-02	-2.39E-02
clean surroundings	9.033E-02	.135	-5.52E-02	2.775E-02	8.617E-02	5.538E-02	-5.02E-02
pollution free en	.112	8.966E-02	3.597E-03	4.739E-02	.184	6.170E-02	-1.87E-02
regular electricity	.212	-1.20E-02	.139	-4.58E-02	2.009E-02	2.372E-02	-7.45E-03
sturdy house	4.294E-02	.108	.118	5.428E-02	.135	-1.06E-02	-1.93E-02
good neighbor rel	-2.59E-02	.216	2.508E-02	.103	7.967E-02	5.074E-02	3.369E-02
sufficient hs space	2.238E-02	2.668E-02	8.231E-02	5.333E-02	.172	-4.06E-02	6.747E-02
permanent job	-1.85E-02	1.604E-02	-.150	.138	.186	4.434E-02	-2.60E-02
access-work, school	.127	3.894E-02	6.409E-02	.105	.346	-.131	2.223E-02
safe workplace	5.084E-02	.157	-.120	.211	.301	.117	-3.16E-02
ability face changes	.138	.171	5.295E-02	.110	.116	9.571E-03	-6.54E-03
peace of mind	.133	.104	-2.87E-02	7.602E-02	6.269E-02	.131	1.750E-02
ability decide-self	3.477E-02	8.592E-02	5.599E-02	.136	.108	1.933E-02	6.801E-02
ability carry prob	.115	.151	2.668E-02	.134	.271	-2.08E-02	1.748E-02
respect from family	.138	.156	-2.31E-02	-1.45E-02	-5.15E-03	8.805E-02	4.603E-02
give importance-self	8.507E-02	.134	.126	-.128	-.104	1.952E-02	-.125
mental alertness	6.842E-02	7.097E-02	6.241E-02	.119	7.179E-02	7.217E-02	1.037E-02
respect from others	7.600E-02	6.282E-02	.103	.135	2.828E-02	8.514E-02	2.561E-02
good rel with boss	.106	8.199E-02	3.905E-02	7.854E-02	.173	-7.68E-02	8.925E-02

Appendix J: Factor Loadings from the Varimax Rotations of Importance Ratings^a

	Component						
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
good rel co-workers	8.969E-02	.111	-3.39E-02	-8.84E-03	6.791E-02	1.386E-02	3.744E-02
regular work sched	9.041E-02	.112	8.469E-02	.112	3.750E-02	-5.95E-02	-1.06E-02
praised at work	2.731E-03	2.971E-03	.140	-5.16E-02	-.100	.135	-2.43E-02
length work time	4.121E-03	-4.01E-02	.289	7.387E-02	.102	4.908E-02	2.852E-02
job teaches skills	.146	5.493E-02	-8.58E-02	.100	3.330E-02	.144	2.227E-02
job suited to educ	.187	.107	3.292E-02	.185	.148	3.753E-02	-1.62E-03
enough income	.151	.137	-4.94E-02	5.025E-02	-2.12E-02	.166	-1.46E-02
own needs							
high income	3.197E-02	1.204E-02	-8.67E-02	.248	.146	6.661E-02	-4.99E-04
land ownership	5.854E-02	.139	5.178E-02	-8.07E-02	-4.71E-02	5.119E-02	-3.74E-02
high position-work	.150	-2.82E-02	.195	6.753E-02	-2.12E-02	4.654E-02	7.829E-02
ownership vehicle	.411	-5.88E-02	.248	-.108	6.014E-02	9.780E-02	.116
h/m member work							
abroad	.215	.159	.186	4.135E-02	-.165	-7.04E-02	.177
furniture/appliance	.330	.192	.190	-8.84E-02	4.424E-02	-.112	2.163E-02
working near home	-1.24E-02	.232	8.408E-02	.151	.104	1.977E-02	-7.04E-03
regular income	-8.00E-03	7.853E-02	-.161	.239	.259	7.784E-02	-1.80E-02
house ownership	4.018E-02	.262	1.946E-02	-8.98E-02	5.180E-02	-1.76E-02	-5.19E-02
to save	8.213E-02	.102	9.185E-02	-1.53E-02	.236	-2.11E-02	-9.87E-02
legal work	8.111E-02	1.179E-02	-.114	.209	.241	.136	-4.42E-03
prayer & reflection	6.813E-02	7.336E-02	6.415E-02	6.235E-02	6.776E-03	-3.21E-02	-7.51E-02
going to church act	.111	7.477E-02	7.680E-02	.119	6.362E-02	5.194E-02	-9.37E-02
faith in God	4.000E-02	6.558E-02	4.833E-02	5.701E-04	9.670E-03	-3.47E-02	-8.48E-02
doing good to others	.113	9.430E-02	9.024E-02	4.196E-02	.139	7.040E-03	-5.49E-02
love of friends	.105	.134	5.641E-02	.105	8.337E-02	-1.67E-02	3.310E-03
being with friends	-2.09E-02	2.359E-02	.184	.112	.124	8.132E-02	8.089E-02
love of relatives	5.333E-02	9.511E-02	-4.09E-03	7.131E-02	-7.17E-02	3.102E-02	-5.98E-02
being with par-sib	3.788E-02	2.013E-02	-1.47E-02	-5.42E-03	-1.92E-04	.154	-1.28E-02
leisure w/ friends	-1.03E-02	-1.08E-02	.382	.164	.135	.409	.146
finishing college	.718	.136	-5.34E-02	.115	.110	2.992E-02	-5.77E-02
attend good school	.712	.181	2.822E-02	.121	5.958E-02	2.551E-02	-3.21E-02
apply knowledge/learn	.608	.123	7.057E-02	.129	-8.19E-03	5.818E-02	-3.31E-03
telephone	.433	-.143	.247	-5.74E-02	.106	7.438E-03	6.368E-02
learn other sources	.426	-7.73E-03	.157	.268	-4.41E-02	.121	3.601E-02
ability read & write	.396	.155	-6.92E-02	8.906E-02	.162	-5.84E-03	-7.13E-03
regular food	4.011E-02	.568	-3.97E-02	-6.80E-03	.102	.120	6.038E-03
long life	2.349E-02	.524	1.921E-02	-3.22E-02	-2.94E-02	2.994E-02	-4.72E-02
sound sleep	.161	.518	8.779E-02	.136	5.718E-02	1.387E-02	-9.57E-03
nutritious food	.124	.496	-1.82E-02	-1.13E-02	6.331E-02	.114	5.755E-02
regular exercise	.224	.392	.104	9.749E-02	5.083E-02	5.192E-02	5.507E-02
leisure from media	7.685E-02	-1.00E-01	.616	.117	-2.70E-02	.185	.152
news from media	.148	4.469E-02	.509	.184	2.085E-02	5.460E-03	-6.17E-02
time for rest	.111	.416	.430	2.252E-02	5.576E-02	5.883E-02	-7.23E-02

Appendix J: Factor Loadings from the Varimax Rotations of Importance Ratings^a

	Component						
	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
access to credit	-.135	.174	.416	7.021E-02	6.087E-02	.186	.133
time alone	.187	.187	.408	8.565E-02	.166	4.178E-02	-4.71E-03
join community org	.122	-1.05E-02	.189	.621	-4.01E-03	.121	2.345E-03
free express polidea	.149	4.229E-03	9.181E-02	.606	-3.16E-03	7.426E-02	2.702E-02
vote participation	.163	.162	.121	.547	-8.63E-03	1.432E-02	-1.18E-02
aware nationalevents	7.651E-02	.112	.172	.522	2.681E-02	-7.63E-02	-2.67E-02
security from crime	6.578E-02	-2.35E-02	4.230E-02	1.038E-02	.637	9.413E-02	-2.27E-02
secure - calamities	5.102E-02	5.270E-02	3.118E-02	-8.17E-02	.636	7.017E-02	1.194E-02
free from sickness	2.776E-02	.210	7.977E-02	-3.16E-02	.411	-5.86E-02	-1.92E-02
freedom from debt	.132	.127	.146	4.613E-02	.403	-4.23E-02	-1.19E-02
tenure	-1.16E-02	1.118E-02	.224	-1.64E-02	.313	-.171	1.854E-02
leisure with spouse	7.073E-02	.136	.211	5.088E-02	4.877E-02	.657	1.963E-02
leisure w/ children	8.580E-02	.112	.157	5.815E-02	-2.94E-03	.650	3.099E-02
leisure w/ par-sib	3.669E-02	7.380E-02	.258	6.770E-02	-1.97E-02	.597	-1.26E-02
drug use	-3.65E-02	.255	6.802E-02	.217	2.908E-03	-.262	.187
drinking	-4.06E-02	6.093E-02	2.348E-02	-2.17E-02	1.424E-03	2.820E-03	.804
smoking	-3.03E-03	2.753E-02	-4.06E-02	2.304E-02	6.492E-03	5.043E-02	.796
play jueteng,lotto	4.189E-02	-9.26E-02	.191	-2.09E-03	-2.24E-02	-3.34E-02	.592

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

a. Rotation converged in 32 iterations.



Appendix K

Reliability Analysis of Factors from the Varimax Rotation

Appendix K: Reliability Analysis of Factors from the Varimax Rotation

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS - SCALE (1 - Government Perf) Factor Loadings

1.	I89	peaceful vicinity	.633
2.	I90	security from crime	.655
3.	I91	far fr war confronts	.658
4.	I92	efficient lgoofficial	.701
5.	I93	efficient ngoofficial	.752
6.	I94	stable/progress leco	.759
7.	I95	stable/progress neco	.778
8.	I96	fast handling cases	.739
9.	I97	efficient justicesys	.775
10.	I98	police efficiency	.740
11.	I99	fast govt services	.743
12.	I100	equality men/women	.610
13.	I101	being FILIPINO	.534
14.	I102	unity of Filipinos	.612

		Mean	Std Dev	Cases
1.	I89	3.4383	.5704	1191.0
2.	I90	3.5474	.5569	1191.0
3.	I91	3.4509	.6183	1191.0
4.	I92	3.3778	.6163	1191.0
5.	I93	3.4249	.6102	1191.0
6.	I94	3.3946	.5670	1191.0
7.	I95	3.3946	.5758	1191.0
8.	I96	3.4240	.5804	1191.0
9.	I97	3.4467	.5654	1191.0
10.	I98	3.4576	.5766	1191.0
11.	I99	3.4232	.5671	1191.0
12.	I100	3.3392	.5990	1191.0
13.	I101	3.5802	.5219	1191.0
14.	I102	3.5088	.5374	1191.0

Correlation Matrix

	I89	I90	I91	I92	I93
I89	1.0000				
I90	.6143	1.0000			
I91	.5924	.7176	1.0000		
I92	.5445	.5549	.5869	1.0000	
I93	.5607	.5193	.5232	.7281	1.0000
I94	.5561	.5448	.5875	.6504	.7198
I95	.5450	.5417	.6163	.6522	.6968
I96	.5372	.5136	.5300	.5760	.5801
I97	.5780	.5518	.5869	.5716	.5943
I98	.5369	.5408	.5310	.5441	.5839
I99	.5380	.5430	.5746	.5736	.5728
I100	.4351	.4505	.4580	.4743	.4929
I101	.4267	.4792	.4673	.4257	.4339
I102	.4945	.5230	.4597	.4796	.5293

	I94	I95	I96	I97	I98
I94	1.0000				
I95	.8354	1.0000			
I96	.5815	.6279	1.0000		
I97	.6267	.6584	.7591	1.0000	
I98	.5705	.5820	.6376	.7026	1.0000
I99	.5988	.6128	.6518	.7047	.7154
I100	.5210	.5472	.5528	.5671	.5186
I101	.4695	.4623	.4689	.5051	.4742
I102	.5623	.5726	.5524	.5928	.5850
I99	1.0000				
I100	.5790	1.0000			
I101	.4872	.4748	1.0000		
I102	.5558	.5415	.6125	1.0000	
N of Cases =	1191.0				
Statistics for	Mean	Variance	Std Dev	N of Variables	
Scale	48.2082	38.7432	6.2244	14	
Item Means	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min Variance
	3.4434	3.3392	3.5802	.2410	1.0722 .0042
Inter-item					
Correlations	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min Variance
	.5638	.4257	.8354	.4097	1.9625 .0061
Item-total Statistics					
Scale	Scale	Corrected			
Mean	Mean	Item-	Squared	Alpha	
if Item	if Item	Total	Multiple	if Item	
Deleted	Deleted	Correlation	Correlation	Deleted	
I99	44.7699	33.8344	.6907	.5117	.9448
I90	44.6608	33.8613	.7054	.6034	.9444
I91	44.7573	33.2377	.7187	.6251	.9441
I92	44.8304	33.1477	.7350	.6167	.9437
I93	44.7834	33.0858	.7529	.6630	.9432
I94	44.8136	33.2913	.7842	.7457	.9424
I95	44.8136	33.1249	.7977	.7552	.9420
I96	44.7842	33.3458	.7549	.6391	.9431
I97	44.7615	33.2036	.8012	.7077	.9419
I98	44.7506	33.4159	.7493	.6253	.9433
I99	44.7851	33.3756	.7701	.6432	.9427
I100	44.8690	33.8400	.6521	.4548	.9458
I101	44.6280	34.7346	.6074	.4425	.9467
I102	44.6994	34.0726	.6984	.5584	.9446
Reliability Coefficients		14 items			
Alpha =	.9476	Standardized item alpha =	.9476		

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS - SCALE (2: Nuclear Fam)

			Factor Loadings
1.	I37	having spouse	.702
2.	I38	having children	.722
3.	I39	good marital rel	.766
4.	I40	love of spouse	.808
5.	I41	share-decision-make	.444
6.	I42	love of children	.725
7.	I46	being with spouse	.741
8.	I47	being with children	.704

		Mean	Std Dev	Cases
1.	I37	3.3686	.7871	1191.0
2.	I38	3.5273	.6264	1191.0
3.	I39	3.6423	.5436	1191.0
4.	I40	3.6289	.5666	1191.0
5.	I41	3.4878	.5722	1191.0
6.	I42	3.6289	.5423	1191.0
7.	I46	3.5189	.6465	1191.0
8.	I47	3.5701	.5797	1191.0

Correlation Matrix					
	I37	I38	I39	I40	I41
I37	1.0000				
I38	.6316	1.0000			
I39	.4557	.5717	1.0000		
I40	.4992	.5543	.7856	1.0000	
I41	.2889	.3579	.4804	.5097	1.0000
I42	.3739	.5419	.6696	.7028	.5244
I46	.5074	.4506	.5908	.6386	.4238
I47	.3844	.4790	.5810	.6320	.4631

	I42	I46	I47
I42	1.0000		
I46	.5833	1.0000	
I47	.6816	.7684	1.0000

N of Cases = 1191.0

Statistics for		N of				
Scale		Mean	Variance	Std Dev	Variables	
		28.3728	13.9920	3.7406	8	
Item Means		Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min
		3.5466	3.3686	3.6423	.2737	1.0813

Inter-item		Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
Correlations		.5404	.2889	.7856	.4966	2.7188	.0147

Item-total Statistics		Scale	Scale	Corrected	Squared	Alpha
		Mean	Variance	Item-	Multiple	if Item
		if Item	if Item	Total		Deleted
		Deleted	Deleted	Correlation	Correlation	Deleted
I37	25.0042	10.4680	.5703	.4890	.9009	
I38	24.8455	10.8568	.6645	.5395	.8860	
I39	24.7305	10.9584	.7608	.6665	.8783	
I40	24.7439	10.7033	.8006	.7096	.8742	
I41	24.8850	11.5725	.5374	.3269	.8969	
I42	24.7439	11.0209	.7435	.6337	.8798	
I46	24.8539	10.5114	.7306	.6670	.8797	
I47	24.8027	10.8644	.7305	.6830	.8802	

Reliability Coefficients

Alpha = .8975

8 items

Standardized item alpha = .9039

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS - SCALE (3: Housing)

		Factor Loadings	
1.	I5	sufficient hs space	.494
2.	I6	sturdy house	.568
3.	I7	toilet facility	.692
4.	I8	regular water	.660
5.	I9	regular electricity	.595
6.	I11	clean surroundings	.657
7.	I12	pollution free en	.646
8.	I13	good neighbor rel	.554

	Mean	Std Dev	Cases
1.	I5	3.2986	.6184 1182.0
2.	I6	3.4255	.6179 1182.0
3.	I7	3.4602	.5889 1182.0
4.	I8	3.5152	.5561 1182.0
5.	I9	3.3714	.6836 1182.0
6.	I11	3.4915	.5653 1182.0
7.	I12	3.4255	.5928 1182.0
8.	I13	3.4459	.5702 1182.0

Correlation Matrix

	I5	I6	I7	I8	I9
I5	1.0000				
I6	.4627	1.0000			
I7	.3942	.4735	1.0000		
I8	.3475	.4357	.5913	1.0000	
I9	.3283	.3732	.4983	.5542	1.0000
I11	.3815	.4018	.5051	.5189	.4189
I12	.3737	.4091	.5179	.5339	.4622
I13	.3305	.3670	.4071	.4338	.3459

	I11	I12	I13
I11	1.0000		
I12	.6437	1.0000	
I13	.4937	.5154	1.0000

N of Cases =	1182.0
Statistics for	Mean
Scale	27.4340
Item Means	Mean
	3.4293
Inter-item	Variance
Correlations	Mean
	.4471
Item-total Statistics	Scale
	Mean
	if Item
	Deleted
I5	24.1354
I6	24.0085
I7	23.9738
I8	23.9188
I9	24.0626
I11	23.9425
I12	24.0085
I13	23.9882
Reliability Coefficients	8 items
Alpha =	.8639

	Variance
	11.8140
	Minimum
	3.2986
	Maximum
	3.5152
	Range
	.2166
	Max/Min
	1.0657
	Variance
	.0047
	Mean
	.3283
	Maximum
	.6437
	Range
	.3155
	Max/Min
	1.9610
	Variance
	.0066
	Scale
	Variance
	if Item
	Deleted
I5	9.5007
I6	9.2802
I7	9.0755
I8	9.2042
I9	8.9631
I11	9.2109
I12	9.0211
I13	9.5121
	Corrected
	Item-
	Total
	Correlation
I5	.5065
I6	.5716
I7	.6740
I8	.6818
I9	.5823
I11	.6655
I12	.6857
I13	.5620
	Squared
	Multiple
	Correlation
I5	.2871
I6	.3534
I7	.4745
I8	.4999
I9	.3796
I11	.4979
I12	.5235
I13	.3443
	Alpha
	if Item
	Deleted
I5	.8595
I6	.8521
I7	.8405
I8	.8403
I9	.8521
I11	.8419
I12	.8392
I13	.8529

Standardized item alpha = .8661

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS - SCALE (4: Work Hygenic)

		Factor Loadings
1.	I21	job suited to educ .459
2.	I23	good rel with boss .646
3.	I24	good rel co-workers .656
4.	I25	praised at work .610
5.	I26	regular work sched .635
6.	I27	length work time .589
7.	I28	job teaches skills .586
8.	I29	enough income own needs .405

		Mean	Std Dev	Cases
1.	I21	3.1556	.7119	1131.0
2.	I23	3.1618	.7185	1131.0
3.	I24	3.2714	.5977	1131.0
4.	I25	3.1600	.7015	1131.0
5.	I26	3.1866	.6474	1131.0
6.	I27	3.0221	.6992	1131.0
7.	I28	3.3130	.5899	1131.0
8.	I29	3.3563	.5885	1131.0

Correlation Matrix

	I21	I23	I24	I25	I26
I21	1.0000				
I23	.3833	1.0000			
I24	.4040	.6024	1.0000		
I25	.3577	.3910	.4958	1.0000	
I26	.4132	.4487	.4842	.4779	1.0000
I27	.3167	.3434	.3626	.4186	.4405
I28	.4571	.3836	.5118	.4456	.4425
I29	.3808	.3114	.3940	.3419	.3735
I27		I28	I29		
I27	1.0000				
I28	.3973	1.0000			
I29	.3013	.5222	1.0000		

N of Cases = 1131.0

		N of				
Statistics for		Mean	Variance	Std Dev	Variables	
Scale		25.6269	13.4164	3.6628	8	
Item Means		Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Variance
		3.2034	3.0221	3.3563	.3342	1.1106
Inter-item						
Correlations		Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Variance
		.4144	.3013	.6024	.3011	1.9995

Item-total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Alpha if Item Deleted
I21	22.4713	10.4175	.5423	.3081	.8343
I23	22.4651	10.2455	.5771	.4122	.8298
I24	22.3554	10.4665	.6704	.5049	.8192
I25	22.4668	10.2615	.5925	.3713	.8276
I26	22.4403	10.3688	.6304	.4026	.8229
I27	22.6048	10.5791	.5163	.2867	.8374
I28	22.3139	10.6014	.6422	.4509	.8226
I29	22.2706	11.0329	.5210	.3222	.8359

Reliability Coefficients

Alpha = .8469

Standardized item alpha = .8499

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS - SCALE (5: Mental Health)

			Factor Loadings					
1.	I68	give importance-self		.565				
2.	I69	mental alertness		.555				
3.	I70	ability decide-self		.606				
4.	I71	respect from family		.584				
5.	I72	respect from others		.487				
6.	I73	ability carry prob		.591				
7.	I74	ability face changes		.653				
8.	I75	peace of mind		.605				
			Mean	Std Dev	Cases			
1.	I68		3.3950	.5900	1195.0			
2.	I69		3.4477	.5828	1195.0			
3.	I70		3.3900	.6086	1195.0			
4.	I71		3.5916	.4934	1195.0			
5.	I72		3.4042	.6041	1195.0			
6.	I73		3.3615	.6661	1195.0			
7.	I74		3.4100	.5635	1195.0			
8.	I75		3.5096	.5326	1195.0			
Correlation Matrix								
	I68	I69	I70	I71	I72			
I68	1.0000							
I69	.5059	1.0000						
I70	.4570	.5652	1.0000					
I71	.4740	.4587	.5279	1.0000				
I72	.3906	.4015	.4388	.6103	1.0000			
I73	.3546	.4177	.4928	.4750	.4524			
I74	.4345	.4811	.5053	.5094	.4821			
I75	.4437	.4067	.5155	.5759	.4682			
	I73	I74	I75					
I73	1.0000							
I74	.6267	1.0000						
I75	.5048	.6260	1.0000					
N of Cases =		1195.0						
Statistics for		Mean	Variance	Std Dev	N of			
Scale		27.5096	11.8582	3.4436	Variables			
Item Means		Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance	
		3.4387	3.3615	3.5916	.2301	1.0685	.0058	
Inter-item								
Correlations		Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance	
		.4887	.3546	.6267	.2721	1.7676	.0044	
Item-total Statistics								
	Scale	Scale	Corrected					
	Mean	Variance	Item-	Squared				
	if Item	if Item	Total	Multiple				
	Deleted	Deleted	Correlation	Correlation				
I68	24.1146	9.4332	.5731	.3592				
I69	24.0619	9.2809	.6302	.4351				
I70	24.1197	9.0384	.6693	.4679				
I71	23.9180	9.4958	.6968	.5294				
I72	24.1054	9.2519	.6099	.4297				
I73	24.1481	8.9069	.6307	.4574				
I74	24.0996	9.1316	.7074	.5522				
I75	24.0000	9.3216	.6928	.5122				
Reliability Coefficients		8 items						
Alpha =		.8815						
		Standardized item alpha = .8843						

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS - SCALE (6: Status)

			Factor Loadings
1.	I10	telephone	.592
2.	I20	high position-work	.484
3.	I22	hhmember work abroad	.521
4.	I30	land ownership	.432
5.	I32	furniture\appliance	.553
6.	I33	ownership vehicle	.638
7.	I34	to save	.304
8.	I50	time alone	.336

		Mean	Std Dev	Cases
1.	I10	2.3529	1.0924	1139.0
2.	I20	2.9245	.8517	1139.0
3.	I22	2.6014	1.0161	1139.0
4.	I30	3.4486	.6887	1139.0
5.	I32	3.1449	.8059	1139.0
6.	I33	2.5320	1.0537	1139.0
7.	I34	3.4873	.6236	1139.0
8.	I50	2.7735	.8245	1139.0

Correlation Matrix					
	I10	I20	I22	I30	I32
I10	1.0000				
I20	.3328	1.0000			
I22	.2947	.3622	1.0000		
I30	.2589	.2900	.2495	1.0000	
I32	.3711	.3757	.3024	.3989	1.0000
I33	.5238	.3992	.3460	.3210	.4648
I34	.2194	.3092	.1931	.3294	.3438
I50	.2606	.2372	.1774	.1668	.2610
I33		I34	I50		
I33	1.0000				
I34	.3353	1.0000			
I50	.2481	.1567	1.0000		

Statistics for		Mean	Variance	Std Dev	N of Variables		
Scale		23.2651	19.4288	4.4078	8		
Item Means		Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
		2.9081	2.3529	3.4873	1.1343	1.4821	.1780
Inter-item		Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
Correlations		.3046	.1567	.5238	.3670	3.3413	.0074

Item-total Statistics					
Scale	Scale	Corrected	Squared	Alpha	
Mean	Variance	Item-	Multiple	if Item	
if Item	if Item	Total	Correlation	Deleted	
Deleted	Deleted	Correlation	Correlation	Deleted	
I10	20.9122	13.9448	.5259	.3223	.7433
I20	20.3406	15.2230	.5237	.2817	.7430
I22	20.6637	14.9879	.4332	.2036	.7602
I30	19.8165	16.4944	.4398	.2287	.7579
I32	20.1203	15.1903	.5713	.3455	.7362
I33	20.7331	13.5280	.6180	.4129	.7231
I34	19.7779	16.9374	.4096	.2054	.7627
I50	20.4917	16.5208	.3325	.1170	.7730

Reliability Coefficients 8 items
Alpha = .7750 Standardized item alpha = .7780

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS - SCALE (7: Spirituality)

Factor Loadings

1.	I76	faith in God	.747
2.	I77	prayer & reflection	.805
3.	I78	going to church act	.748
4.	I79	doing good to others	.651
		Mean	Std Dev
1.	I76	3.7834	.4319
2.	I77	3.7333	.4788
3.	I78	3.6329	.5726
4.	I79	3.6614	.5238
			Cases
			1196.0
			1196.0
			1196.0
			1196.0

Correlation Matrix

	I76	I77	I78	I79
I76	1.0000			
I77	.7564	1.0000		
I78	.6020	.6987	1.0000	
I79	.5966	.6173	.5729	1.0000

N of Cases = 1196.0

Statistics for Scale

	Mean	Variance	Std Dev	N of Variables
Scale	14.8110	2.9350	1.7132	4

Item Means

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
Item Means	3.7028	3.6329	3.7834	.1505	1.0414	.0047

Inter-item Correlations

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
Inter-item Correlations	.6407	.5729	.7564	.1836	1.3204	.0046

Item-total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Alpha if Item Deleted
I76	11.0276	1.8679	.7459	.6034	.8323
I77	11.0778	1.7002	.8054	.6775	.8041
I78	11.1781	1.5825	.7112	.5254	.8459
I79	11.1497	1.7374	.6686	.4489	.8579

Reliability Coefficients 4 items

Alpha = .8709 Standardized item alpha = .8770

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS - SCALE (8: Extended Family)

Factor Loadings

1.	I43	love of parents/sib	.428
2.	I44	love of relatives	.679
3.	I45	love of friends	.719
4.	I48	being with par-sib	.567
5.	I49	being with friends	.699
		Mean	Std Dev
1.	I43	3.5818	.5166
2.	I44	3.3464	.6044
3.	I45	3.1811	.6564
4.	I48	3.3890	.6696
5.	I49	2.9466	.7823
			Cases
			1198.0
			1198.0
			1198.0
			1198.0

Correlation Matrix						
	I43	I44	I45	I48	I49	
I43	1.0000					
I44	.6008	1.0000				
I45	.4330	.6672	1.0000			
I48	.5117	.4966	.4136	1.0000		
I49	.2733	.4509	.5818	.5373	1.0000	
N of Cases =	1198.0					

Statistics for	Mean	Variance	Std Dev	N of Variables		
Scale	16.4449	6.2488	2.4998	5		
Item Means	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
	3.2890	2.9466	3.5818	.6352	1.2156	.0570
Inter-item						
Correlations	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
	.4966	.2733	.6672	.3939	2.4410	.0118

Item-total Statistics					
	Scale	Scale	Corrected		
	Mean	Variance	Item-	Squared	Alpha
	if Item	if Item	Total	Multiple	if Item
	Deleted	Deleted	Correlation	Correlation	Deleted
I43	12.8631	4.7381	.5530	.4362	.8109
I44	13.0985	4.1507	.7037	.5779	.7686
I45	13.2638	4.0340	.6767	.5485	.7739
I48	13.0559	4.1180	.6191	.4437	.7910
I49	13.4983	3.8292	.5904	.4592	.8071

Reliability Coefficients 5 items

Alpha = .8252 Standardized item alpha = .8314

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS - SCALE (9: Social Leisure)

Factor Loadings			
1.	I52	leisure with spouse	.693
2.	I53	leisure w/ children	.649
3.	I54	leisure w/ par-sib	.657
4.	I55	leisure w/ friends	.591
5.	I57	leisure from media	.449

		Mean	Std Dev	Cases
1.	I52	3.1459	.7456	1193.0
2.	I53	3.2858	.6461	1193.0
3.	I54	3.0914	.7077	1193.0
4.	I55	2.6681	.8030	1193.0
5.	I57	2.7117	.7979	1193.0

Correlation Matrix					
	I52	I53	I54	I55	I57
I52	1.0000				
I53	.6971	1.0000			
I54	.4485	.5226	1.0000		
I55	.3360	.3043	.5391	1.0000	
I57	.2160	.1958	.2993	.3991	1.0000
N of Cases =	1193.0				

Statistics for	Mean	Variance	Std Dev	N of Variables		
Scale	14.9028	7.0174	2.6490	5		
Item Means	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
	2.9806	2.6681	3.2858	.6178	1.2315	.0757
Inter-item						
Correlations	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
	.3958	.1958	.6971	.5013	3.5597	.0236
Item-total Statistics						
	Scale	Scale	Corrected			
	Mean	Variance	Item-	Squared		Alpha
	if Item	if Item	Total	Multiple		if Item
	Deleted	Deleted	Correlation	Correlation		Deleted
I52	11.7569	4.6573	.5606	.5058		.7036
I53	11.6169	4.9328	.5809	.5426		.7013
I54	11.8114	4.6146	.6255	.4377		.6819
I55	12.2347	4.5304	.5389	.3614		.7121
I57	12.1911	5.0725	.3640	.1725		.7764
Reliability Coefficients	5 items					
Alpha =	.7592					
	Standardized item alpha = .7661					

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS - SCALE (10: Participation)
Factor Loadings

1.	I85	aware nationalevents	.584
2.	I86	vote participation	.562
3.	I87	free express polidea	.604
4.	I88	join community org	.633
		Mean	Std Dev
1.	I85	3.1390	.6918
2.	I86	3.2370	.5919
3.	I87	3.0871	.7062
4.	I88	2.9414	.7392
		Cases	
		1194.0	
		1194.0	
		1194.0	
		1194.0	

Correlation Matrix

	I85	I86	I87	I88
I85	1.0000			
I86	.5213	1.0000		
I87	.4779	.5382	1.0000	
I88	.4257	.4954	.5798	1.0000
N of Cases =	1194.0			

Statistics for	Mean	Variance	Std Dev	N of Variables		
Scale	12.4045	4.6920	2.1661	4		
Item Means	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
	3.1011	2.9414	3.2370	.2956	1.1005	.0152
Inter-item						
Correlations	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
	.5064	.4257	.5798	.1541	1.3619	.0026
Item-total Statistics						
	Scale	Scale	Corrected			
	Mean	Variance	Item-	Squared		Alpha
	if Item	if Item	Total	Multiple		if Item
	Deleted	Deleted	Correlation	Correlation		Deleted
I85	9.2655	2.8842	.5657	.3371		.7743
I86	9.1675	3.0314	.6358	.4077		.7461
I87	9.3174	2.6712	.6594	.4433		.7277
I88	9.4631	2.6713	.6101	.3931		.7545
Reliability Coefficients	4 items					
Alpha =	.8008					
	Standardized item alpha = .8041					

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS - SCALE (11: Health)

		Factor Loadings					
1.	I51	time for rest	.417				
2.	I60	regular exercise	.416				
3.	I61	long life	.511				
4.	I62	regular food	.560				
5.	I63	nutritious food	.496				
6.	I64	sound sleep	.530				
7.	I67	drug use	.319				
		Mean	Std Dev	Cases			
1.	I51	3.0911	.6445	1174.0			
2.	I60	3.0170	.7634	1174.0			
3.	I61	3.6721	.5030	1174.0			
4.	I62	3.6031	.5182	1174.0			
5.	I63	3.5647	.5451	1174.0			
6.	I64	3.3390	.6377	1174.0			
7.	I67	1.1951	.6531	1174.0			
Correlation Matrix							
	I51	I60	I61	I62	I63		
I51	1.0000						
I60	.2567	1.0000					
I61	.1685	.2477	1.0000				
I62	.2105	.2628	.5206	1.0000			
I63	.2198	.2657	.4459	.6553	1.0000		
I64	.3251	.2911	.2672	.3637	.4395		
I67	.0732	.1318	.0314	.0073	.0064		
	I64	I67					
I64	1.0000						
I67	.0253	1.0000					
N of Cases =	1174.0						
				N of			
Statistics for	Mean	Variance	Std Dev	Variables			
Scale	21.4821	6.3249	2.5149	7			
Item Means	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance	
	3.0689	1.1951	3.6721	2.4770	3.0727	.7471	
Inter-item							
Correlations	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance	
	.2484	.0064	.6553	.6489	102.2934	.02940	
Item-total Statistics							
	Scale	Scale	Corrected				
	Mean	Variance	Item-				
	if Item	if Item	Total				
	Deleted	Deleted	Correlation	Squared	Alpha		
				Multiple	if Item		
I51	18.3910	4.9237	.3447	.1424	.6553		
I60	18.4651	4.4553	.3993	.1624	.6432		
I61	17.8101	5.0645	.4450	.3012	.6320		
I62	17.8790	4.8208	.5429	.5012	.6072		
I63	17.9174	4.7272	.5487	.4885	.6027		
I64	18.1431	4.6291	.4697	.2710	.6184		
I67	20.2871	5.6541	.0786	.0210	.7281		
Reliability Coefficients							
Alpha = .6778		Standardized item alpha =		.6982			

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS - SCALE (13: Basic Work)

		Factor Loadings					
1.	I14	permanent job	.404				
2.	I15	regular income	.482				
3.	I16	high income	.559				
4.	I17	safe workplace	.409				
5.	I19	legal work	.491				
		Mean	Std Dev	Cases			
1.	I14	3.5114	.5799	1138.0			
2.	I15	3.4842	.5992	1138.0			
3.	I16	3.3673	.7339	1138.0			
4.	I17	3.4868	.5691	1138.0			
5.	I19	3.4104	.7309	1138.0			
Correlation Matrix							
	I14	I15	I16	I17	I19		
I14	1.0000						
I15	.6232	1.0000					
I16	.3662	.4612	1.0000				
I17	.5054	.4894	.3822	1.0000			
I19	.3863	.4396	.3910	.5088	1.0000		
N of Cases =		1138.0					
		N of Variables					
Statistics for Scale	Mean	Variance	Std Dev	5			
	17.2601	5.7845	2.4051				
Item Means	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance	
	3.4520	3.3673	3.5114	.1441	1.0428	.0037	
Inter-item Correlations	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance	
	.4553	.3662	.6232	.2570	1.7016	.0060	
Item-total Statistics							
	Scale	Scale	Corrected				
	Mean	Variance	Item-	Squared	Alpha		
	if Item	if Item	Total	Multiple	if Item		
	Deleted	Deleted	Correlation	Correlation	Deleted		
I14	13.7487	4.0423	.6029	.4443	.7542		
I15	13.7759	3.8680	.6609	.4832	.7359		
I16	13.8928	3.7897	.5096	.2702	.7868		
I17	13.7733	4.0506	.6155	.3965	.7513		
I19	13.8497	3.6951	.5535	.3298	.7712		
Reliability Coefficients		5 items					
Alpha = .7979		Standardized item alpha = .8069					

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS - SCALE (14: Security)

		Factor Loadings				
1.	I1	tenure	.368			
2.	I2	access-work, school	.383			
3.	I3	security from crime	.609			
4.	I4	secure - calamities	.604			
5.	I35	freedom from debt	.339			
6.	I59	free from sickness	.352			
		Mean	Std Dev	Cases		
1.	I1	3.3884	.6653	1192.0		
2.	I2	3.3372	.6598	1192.0		
3.	I3	3.3918	.7556	1192.0		
4.	I4	3.3708	.7098	1192.0		
5.	I35	3.2013	.8296	1192.0		
6.	I59	3.4916	.7014	1192.0		

Correlation Matrix

	I1	I2	I3	I4	I35
I1	1.0000				
I2	.3249	1.0000			
I3	.3033	.3259	1.0000		
I4	.2868	.3100	.5664	1.0000	
I35	.2126	.2425	.2652	.2866	1.0000
I59	.2148	.2347	.3302	.3486	.3319

N of Cases = 1192.0

Statistics for Scale	Mean	Variance	Std Dev	N of Variables
	20.1812	7.8882	2.8086	6

Item Means	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
	3.3635	3.2013	3.4916	.2903	1.0907	.0090

Inter-item Correlations

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
	.3056	.2126	.5664	.3538	2.6637	.0069

Item-total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Alpha if Item Deleted
I1	16.7928	6.1493	.3929	.1694	.7031
I2	16.8440	6.0697	.4255	.1927	.6944
I3	16.7894	5.3973	.5468	.3722	.6567
I4	16.8104	5.5308	.5552	.3740	.6558
I35	16.9799	5.6436	.3949	.1684	.7085
I59	16.6896	5.8952	.4407	.2051	.6900

Reliability Coefficients 6 items

Alpha = .7234 Standardized item alpha = .7253

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS - SCALE (15: Leisure) Factor Loadings

1.	I58	play jueteng, lotto	.557
2.	I65	smoking	.808
3.	I66	drinking	.811
		Mean	Std Dev
1.	I58	1.3384	.7208
2.	I65	1.3104	.6748
3.	I66	1.2324	.5453

Correlation Matrix

	I58	I65	I66
I58	1.0000		
I65	.2551	1.0000	
I66	.2965	.5281	1.0000

N of Cases = 1179.0

Statistics for Scale	Mean	Variance	Std Dev	N of Variables
	3.8813	2.1421	1.4636	3

Item Means	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
	1.2938	1.2324	1.3384	.1060	1.0860	.0030

Inter-item Correlations

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Max/Min	Variance
	.3599	.2551	.5281	.2731	2.0706	.0173

Item-total Statistics					
	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item- Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Alpha if Item Deleted
I58	2.5428	1.1414	.3124	.1013	.6811
I65	2.5708	1.0499	.4605	.2896	.4439
I66	2.6489	1.2229	.5155	.3069	.4058
Reliability Coefficients		3 items			
Alpha = .6091		Standardized item alpha = .6278			

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS - SCALE (12) Factor Loadings

1.	I80	ability read & write	.395	
2.	I81	finishing college	.680	
3.	I82	attend good school	.660	
4.	I83	learn other sources	.428	
5.	I84	apply knowledgelearn	.594	
		Mean	Std Dev	Cases
1.	I80	3.5526	.5691	1178.0
2.	I81	3.3599	.7785	1178.0
3.	I82	3.2258	.8025	1178.0
4.	I83	3.0993	.7359	1178.0
5.	I84	3.2886	.6491	1178.0

Correlation Matrix					
	I80	I81	I82	I83	I84
I80	1.0000				
I81	.5344	1.0000			
I82	.4149	.6599	1.0000		
I83	.3435	.3691	.4166	1.0000	
I84	.4648	.5727	.5207	.4896	1.0000
N of Cases = 1178.0					

Statistics for		Mean	Variance	Std Dev	N of Variables		
Scale	Mean	16.5263	7.3489	2.7109	5		
Item Means	Mean	3.3053	Minimum	3.5526	Range	.4533	Max/Min
			3.0993				1.1463
Inter-item	Mean		Minimum		Range		Max/Min
Correlations	.4786		.3435	.6599	.3164		1.9210
							.0090

Item-total Statistics					
	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item- Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Alpha if Item Deleted
I80	12.9737	5.5414	.5537	.3323	.8009
I81	13.1664	4.4430	.7007	.5524	.7539
I82	13.3005	4.4670	.6598	.4836	.7683
I83	13.4270	5.1370	.5008	.2839	.8154
I84	13.2377	4.9953	.6660	.4483	.7687
Reliability Coefficients		5 items			
Alpha = .8185		Standardized item alpha = .8211			

